

ORIGINAL ARTICLE Parents, Screens and outdoor play: Unpacking beliefs and practices for preschoolers in Karachi.

Saima Mehmood¹, Ibtisam Qazi², Danish Abdul Aziz³, Farah Naz Qamar⁴

Article Citation: Mehmood S, Qazi I, Abdul Aziz D, Qamar FN. Parents, Screens and outdoor play: Unpacking beliefs and practices for preschoolers in Karachi. Professional Med J 2025; 32(04):474-483. https://doi.org/10.29309/TPMJ/2025.32.04.8814

ABSTRACT... Objective: To explore parental beliefs and practices regarding the regulation of screen time and outdoor playtime among children aged 2-5 years in a densely populated urban setting in Pakistan. **Study Design:** Descriptive Cross-sectional study. **Setting:** In the Outpatient Clinics of (Secondary & Tertiary Care Setup) of a Private Hospital in Karachi. **Period:** July 2022 to December 2022. **Methods:** Interviews were conducted with 314 parents of children aged 2-5 years. Primary measures included parental practices and beliefs about screen time and outdoor playtime. Sociodemographic characteristics, parental practices, and beliefs were analyzed using frequencies and percentages; screen time durations were reported as means with standard deviations. Multivariate logistic regression was used to identify predictors of screen exposure. **Results:** A total of 69.4% of parents agreed that screen time for children under 5 years should be restricted to under 2 hours daily. Among participants, 60 parents (19.1%) reported no screen exposure for their children. Around two-third of parents (66.6%) used smart devices to occupy children during household tasks, and 68.2% believed these devices positively impacted learning, vocabulary, and responsiveness. More children had under 60 minutes of outdoor playtime on weekdays. **Conclusion:** This highlights a disconnect between parental awareness of screen time guidelines and actual practices, alongside limited outdoor play. Majority of the parents understood the need to regulate screen exposure, however Positive parental engagement to promote balanced screen use and increased physical activity is essential.

Key words: Early Childhood, Outdoor Playtime, Parental Beliefs, Pakistan, Screen Time.

INTRODUCTION

In today's digital era, exposure to smart devices has become increasingly prevalent, beginning early in children's lives. Devices such as televisions, laptops, smartphones, and tablets are now integral to daily routines, but their impact on young children is complex, with both beneficial and potentially harmful effects. Access to engaging games, videos, and interactive applications promotes screen-based activities, often at the cost of physical play, which is crucial during early childhood-a formative stage for cognitive and physical development. The increasing use of these technologies has seen a mixed response about their advantages and disadvantages. Despite being an invaluable educational resource their harmful impact on children at an early age of brain development cannot be overlooked.1

Evidence has shown that while smart devices can serve as valuable educational tools, providing opportunities for early learning and social engagement, they are also associated with negative outcomes. These include disturbed reduced concentration. diminished sleep. learning opportunities, mood disturbances, and physical health issues such as weight gain and obesity.² The link between sedentary behavior and rising obesity rates among preschool-aged children underscores the need to balance screen exposure with active, outdoor play to support healthy cognitive and physical development.^{2,3} Healthcare providers are the main source for providing guidance and recommendations for a healthy lifestyle in young children during routine visits. The current recommendations by The American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP), prohibit the use of any electronic devices by children

1. MB	BS, FCPS	Postgraduate	Trainee Paedia	trics and Child H	lealth, Aga Khar	n University F	lospital, Kara	ichi.
2 MB	BS FCPS	Assistant Pro	fessor Commun	hity Health Scier	ces Aga Khan	University Ho	ospital Karac	hi

^{3.} MBBS, FCPS, Associate Professor Pediatrics, Aga Khan University Hospital, Karachi.

Correspondence Address: Dr. Saima Mehmood Paediatrics and Child Health Aga Khan University Hospital, Karachi. samm_sultan@yahoo.com

 Article received on:
 14/11/2024

 Accepted for publication:
 20/01/2025

Professional Med J 2025;32(04):474-483.

MBBS, FCPS (Peds), FCPS (Pediatric Infectious Diseases) Professor Pediatric Child Health, Aga Khan University Hospital, Karachi.

under 2 years of age. It also recommends that children who are older than 2 years of age should not be allowed to spend more than two hours per day using electronic devices.^{4,5} It further restricts the presence of televisions inside children's rooms. Interactive activities that help in the proper development of the brain, like talking, playing, singing, and reading are recommended for this age group for enhanced development. The Australian Department of Health recommends that children aged between 2 to 5 years should be supervised and screen time use should be limited to a maximum of 1 hour per day.⁶ Despite these guidelines, data from the International Children's Accelerometer suggests that twothirds of children have failed to meet this criteria. Guidelines from the National Association for Sport and Physical Education 2002 also recommend increasing outdoor playtime for children aged 2-5 years to enhance their psychosocial development in early childhood.7 Routine outdoor play which is any unorganized, outdoor physical activity is a significant factor that contributes to the physical and mental health of young children.8,9

Research indicates that screen time exposure that starts in infancy may be a predictor of screen time use as age increases.¹⁷ In the unique socioeconomic and cultural context of LMICs, parental practices around screen time and outdoor play often differ from high-income countries, highlighting the need for localized research. This study addresses this gap by exploring screen exposure and parental regulation among children aged 2-5 years in Karachi, Pakistan. The findings provide insights into how socioeconomic and cultural factors shape early childhood screen habits, offering a foundation for policies and parental guidance strategies tailored to similar LMIC settings to promote healthier screen use and outdoor play.

METHODS

This descriptive study was conducted from July 2022 to December 2022 in the Department of Pediatrics at a private hospital in Karachi, after obtaining approval from the institutional ethics committee (2022-7311-22042-26/06/2022). The sample size was based on an Australian study

that demonstrated that mothers' perceptions of the impact of screen time on social and cognitive well-being had a significant indirect effect on children's actual screen time through mothers' perception of the appropriate amount of screen time for their child.¹⁰⁻¹² We employed a nonprobability convenience sampling technique to recruit apparently developmentally normal and healthy male and female children aged 2-5 years, who were visiting the designated hospital's outpatient departments (OPDs). Non-parent caregivers, children with co-morbidities (such as severe malnutrition, chronic neurological, gastrointestinal, hematological, and oncological illnesses), physical or mental disabilities, and parents with a language barrier were excluded. Enrolled parents were interviewed using a structured questionnaire on a one-to-one basis after obtaining informed consent. Information comprising sociodemographic characteristics, parental practices regarding children's screen time and outdoor playtime, and parental beliefs about screen time in children was obtained. Data collection was conducted in a designated area to ensure privacy and confidentiality.

Screen time was defined as the time spent using devices such as televisions, tablets, laptops, and smartphones for educational or leisure activities, or both.^{4,13} Screen time was assessed separately for weekdays and weekends. Weekdays were defined as Monday to Friday, and weekends included Saturdays and Sundays. Outdoor playtime was considered as any time spent engaging in activities that amuse or occupy children in outdoor environments.¹⁴ Parental perceptions of their child's screen time were also recorded. Responses regarding giving screens to children were graded on a 3-point Likert scale. Parental awareness of recommended screen time was also assessed. Additionally, parents were asked for their opinions on the various reasons for giving/showing screen-based devices to their children. Duration of screen time on weekends and weekdays was recorded separately in hours per day as open-ended responses. The amount of time children spent using smart devices for educational and leisure activities was also recorded separately in hours per day as openended responses. Outdoor playtime of a child was categorized as being engaged in outdoor activities for less than or more than 60 minutes on weekdays and weekends, respectively. Responses for parental beliefs were recorded on a Likert scale with responses categorized as agree, neutral, and disagree.

Data analysis was conducted using SPSS version 21.0. Descriptive statistics were used to summarize sociodemographic characteristics, parental practices, beliefs about screen time exposure, and outdoor playtime in children. Frequencies and percentages were reported for categorical variables, while means and standard deviations were calculated for continuous variables, such as hours of screen time. To explore factors associated with screen use (dependent variable: screen use = 1, no screen use = 0), multivariate binary logistic regression analysis was performed. Independent variables sociodemographic included characteristics (e.g., number of siblings, family structure, and mother's education), parental practices, and parental beliefs. Adjusted odds ratios (OR) with 95% confidence intervals (CI) were reported to quantify associations between independent variables and screen exposure. P-values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

We interviewed 314 parent-child pairs who had children aged between 2-5 years. Amongst the children surveyed, most were aged 3 years (115,36.6%) and were slightly more often female(160,51%). Children were generally from nuclear families (166,52.9%) with 1-2 siblings. Mothers tended to be homemakers with graduate-level education (152,48.4%) while fathers, also often educated to a graduate level, and mostly employed(303, 96.5%). Household income commonly fell between Rs. 50,000 to 100,000, this reflects a pattern of educated, predominantly nuclear families.

Smartphones were the most commonly used device (59.9%) and there is a high proportion of parents (82%) who believe children under 5 should not have smart devices, (Figure-1) reflecting

strong parental caution around early device exposure. Additionally, many parents enforce this by using kid's mode (73.6%). This underscores a cautious approach by parents towards screen time and device access for young children. 77.7% of parents actively engage in physical activities with their children. Play often includes interaction with toys (51.9%) and peers or siblings (40.1%). However, outdoor play remains limited, as many children spend less than 60 minutes outdoors on both weekdays (48.1%) and weekends (43%). (Figure-3) Despite parental involvement, the combination of screen time exceeding 2 hours and limited physical activity indicates an imbalance, highlighting the need for more outdoor or active play opportunities. (Table-I)

On weekdays, children had an average of 2.17 hours (SD \pm 1.93) of screen time per day while weekends saw a slightly lower average screen time of 2.05 hours (SD \pm 1.97) per day. Average screen time for educational purposes per day was approximately 0.7 hours (SD \pm 1) and for leisure, it was an average of 2.1 hours (SD \pm 2.16) per day revealing a more substantial proportion of time spent on entertainment-related content. (Table-II)

While assessing parental beliefs about screen time use in children, a majority of the parents agreed that they used smart devices to distract children (72%) and to facilitate the completion of chores (66.6%). A significant proportion (72.6%) believed that children's early learning can be enhanced, and noted vocabulary improvement (74.5%) and increased responsiveness (64.3%) in their children. (Table-III)

The multivariate logistic regression analysis identified several significant predictors of screen exposure in children. The use of YouTube's kid mode was associated with lower odds of screen exposure (OR = 0.44, 95% CI = 0.20–0.96, p = 0.038). Similarly, children who were perceived to watch screens with less attention were significantly less likely to have screen exposure (OR = 0.19, 95% CI = 0.093–0.42, p < 0.001). Parental disagreement with the idea that screens enhance early learning also reduced the likelihood of screen exposure (OR = 0.26, 95%

CI = 0.10-0.67, p = 0.007). Additionally, parental practices, such as providing screens to occupy children, were associated with reduced screen

exposure (OR = 0.36, 95% CI = 0.16–0.81, p = 0.01) (Table-IV).

Variables	Categories			N (%)			
	Not using any screen			60(19.1)			
Type of godget used most frequently	Smart phone			188 (59.9)			
Type of gauget used most frequently	Tablet			24 (7.6)			
	Television			42 (13.4)			
	None			60(19.1)			
Type of App used most frequently	Social Apps (Facebook, Instagram, Twitter)			9 (2.9)			
Type of App used most frequently	YouTube			137 (43.6)			
	Gaming			108 (34.4)			
Po vou use kid's mode?				231 (73.6)		
	No			83 (26.4)			
Should children under 5 years of	Yes			58 (18.5)			
age be given a smart devices?	No			256 (81.5)			
Do other children at home have the	Yes			152 (48.4)			
same screen time as this child?	No			162 (51.6)			
Does your child use any smart	Yes			186 (59.2)			
device while eating meals?	No			128 (40.8)			
On weekdays where does your child	At home			253 (80.6)			
spend time?	Daycare			13 (4.1)			
•	Preschool			48 (15.3)			
On average how many hours of	< 60 minutes			151 (48.1)			
outdoor playtime does your child	> 60 minutes			110 (35)			
nave in one day on weekdays?	None			53 (16.9)			
On average how many hours of	< 60 minutes			135 (43)			
outdoor playtime does your child	>60 minutes			135 (43)			
have in one day on weekends?	None			44 (14)			
Table-I. Parental pract	ices related to screen th	me and ph	ysical activity	in children (n=3	14)		
Variables (Hours) Mean (± SD)							
Average screen time on a weekday		2.17 (1.93)					
Average screen time on a week ends			2	2.05 (1.97)			
Table-III. Average so	creen time (in hours) of	children ha	aving screen e	exposure (n=254)		
Variab	oles		Agree n (%)	Neutral n (%)	Disagree n (%)		
Do you think parents give smart device	ract them?	226 (72)	57 (18.2)	31 (9.9)			
Do you think parents give smart devic complete chores?	at they can	209 (66.6)	78 (24.8)	27 (8.6)			
Can you easily complete your chores child?	ce to your	192 (61.1)	79 (25.2)	43 (13.7)			
Do you think using smart devices can	enhance early learning?		228 (72.6)	50 (15.9)	36 (11.5)		
Do you think your child's learning had devices?	sing smart	214 (68.2)	69 (22)	31 (9.9)			
Do you think your child's vocabula programs on smart devices?	watching	234 (74.5)	58 (18.5)	22 (7)			
Do you think your child responds me children in family who do not use sma	d to those	202 (64.3)	86 (27.4)	26 (8.3)			
Is your child more attentive while usin	g a smart device?		186 (59.2)	81 (25.8)	47 (15)		
Do you think keeping a child occup safer than sending the child outdoors	163 (51.9)	99 (31.5)	52 (16.6)				
Table-II. Pa	rental beliefs about scre	een exposu	ire in children	(n=314)			

Variables	Adjusted OR (95%CI)	P-Value
Use of kid's mode in You tube Yes No	Reference OR=0.44(95% CI:0.20,0.96)	0.038
Does your Child watches screen with more attention? Yes No	Reference OR=0.19 (95% CI:0.093,0.42)	0.00
Do you think screen use is related to enhance early learning? Agree Neutral Disagree	Reference OR=0.37 (95% Cl:0.16,0.85) OR=0.26 (95% Cl:0.10,0.67)	0.019 0.05
Do you think parents give screen to their children to distract them? Agree Neutral Disagree	Reference OR=1.27 (95% Cl:0.51,3.16) OR=0.21 (95% Cl:0.06 ,0.80)	0.59 0.02
Do you think parents give screen to their children to keep them occupied? Agree Neutral Disagree	Reference OR=0.36 (95% Cl:0.16,0.81) OR=9.03 (95% Cl:1.04 ,78.0)	0.01 0.04
Do you think your child respond smartly as compared to other children who do not have screen exposure? Agree Neutral Disagree	Reference OR=0.56 (95% Cl:0.27,1.17) OR=4.24 (95% Cl:0.73,24.6)	0.12 0.10

Table-IV. Multivariate logistic regression analysis including all variables with screen exposure (Screen Use vs. No Screen)



Figure-1. Parental awareness about screen use

DISCUSSION

This study highlights a discrepancy between parents' awareness of screen time recommendations and their actual practices, emphasizing the influence of convenience and perceived educational benefits on early device introduction during a crucial developmental period Study from India reported that 99.7% Indian children are exposed to screen till 18

screen-based device? 250 218 200 150

How many hours should a child be given a



Figure-2. Parental belief about using screen use

months of age and exposure started as early as 2 months of age.^{15,16} A similar study on children's use of media in Korea found that smart devices were introduced to children as early as 12-24 months of age.

Screen exposure was not a primary outcome, but several factors were assessed affecting screen exposure in children.



The definition of excessive screen time should be considered carefully when comparing studies, as well as when evaluating their generalizability and reproducibility. As it varies significantly across literature. For instance, one study¹⁷ defined it as more than 4 hours per day, while another classified it as over 3 hours.¹⁸ The Indian Academy of Pediatrics sets the threshold at 2 hours.¹³ In contrast, the American Academy of Pediatrics recommends no screen time for children under 2 years of age and limits of 1 hour per day for children aged 2–5 years¹⁹

The average screen time for weekdays and weekends are in opposition with the beliefs of the parents most of whom believed (69.4%) that the recommended daily screen time in children should be less than 2 hours. Consistent engagement with smart devices coupled with low levels of physical activity can have implications for the psychosocial development of children in early childhood. Pediatric guidelines, from the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry (AACAP) suggest limiting noneducational screen time to about 1 hour on weekdays and 3 hours on weekend days in children aged 2-5 years and guidelines from Indian Psychiatry Society suggests no screen use under 24 months.^{20,21} The average screen times from our study however are consistent with screen time patterns in children from around the world with a meta-analysis revealing the prevalence of adherence to screen time guidelines being only 36.5% in children aged 2-5 years.²² A study from India reported a mean screen time of 2.02 hours

per day on weekdays, and 1.8 and hours per day on the weekends which is consistent with the findings of our study.²³ A comparative study from the USA found that the average screen time in children aged less than 2 years was 3 hours per day, which doubled from 1997 to 2014 in the same group.²⁴

The observation that children spend more time on leisure-oriented screen activities compared to educational ones aligns with previous research, highlighting the need for balanced screen time guidelines that promote both learning and entertainment.²⁰ Additionally, the popularity of "YouTube" and "gaming apps" highlights the appeal of visually engaging content found in these applications. The development of visually appealing and engaging educational digital content can help overcome this issue and enhance digital children's educational experiences. Parental beliefs and practices regarding screen exposure exhibit a range of perspectives, showcasing the complexity of attitudes toward screen time. However, one prevailing parental practice stood out which is the use of smart devices to distract children. This mirrors findings from a study conducted on low-income Mexican mothers which found that parents who work from home or need to do household chores have a greater reliance on screen media to entertain their children.²⁵ A greater reliance on smart devices for managing children's behavior and daily routines; specifically in lower-income households where parents may not be able to devote time and monetary resources was also found in other similar studies.^{26,27} Moreover, 59.2% of children were having screen use during meal times which could be an obesogenic factor for children. This is consistent with findings from a study from Lithuania where a majority of children (55.7%) aged 2-5 years old were using a smart device during meal times.²⁸ These findings highlight the established association between increased screen time use and the risk of negative emotional and behavioral problems, childhood obesity, and low school performance.^{29,30} However, a large body of research also suggests that educational screen time has a positive impact on cognitive development, vocabulary, literacy, social behavior,

and academic knowledge. Thus, promoting awareness among parents about how to optimize screen time use for education and leisure can contribute to a more balanced approach toward the use of technology which may have benefits for early childhood development.^{31,32}

These associations highlight the influence of parental attitudes and practices on young children's screen exposure, suggesting that both parental intentions and specific screenmanagement practices play a role in screen time behavior. The scoping review revealed that parents who perceived themselves as more self-efficacious in managing screen time-related tasks tended to have children with reduced screen viewing.33 Parental self-efficacy refers to a parent's belief in their own ability to effectively manage and influence their child's behavior and development. The findings related to physical activity reveal high levels of parental engagement in playtime by the parents. This emphasizes the recognition of its importance by parents which is reflected in their efforts to engage in active play with their children.³⁴ Parental involvement or supervision during physical activity may play a crucial role in maximizing physical activity in children, especially for outdoor activities in urban areas.^{35,36} A considerable proportion of parents in our study perceive smart devices as safer alternatives to outdoor play. This may be a contributing factor to the high proportion of parents giving smart devices to their children. A Canadian study identified 82% of parents as having concerns about their children's safety during outdoor playtime.37 Furthermore, an Australian longitudinal study saw parents' concerns about outdoor safety rise notably from 26% to 42%, between the years 2007 to 2013.38 The concerns about outdoor safety highlight the importance of the provision of safe play areas and interventions for a safe outdoor environment for children. This may be of help in limiting the use of smart devices as an alternative to physical playtime. The findings emphasize the need for culturally sensitive educational initiatives to raise parental awareness about balancing screen time for educational and leisure purposes. Policymakers should consider diverse parental

480

beliefs, economic realities, and safety concerns when formulating guidelines and interventions. Additionally, promoting parental engagement in outdoor activities and creating accessible, safe play environments could help reduce excessive reliance on digital devices.

This study has several notable strengths. It provides a detailed exploration of parental practices and beliefs regarding screen time and physical activity in a low- and middle-income country context, a setting where such research is limited. The use of a large and demographically diverse sample enhances the generalizability of the findings within urban populations. Additionally, the integration of both quantitative measures (e.g., screen time patterns, physical activity) and qualitative insights (e.g., parental beliefs and attitudes) provides a comprehensive understanding of the topic. The use of multivariate logistic regression to identify predictors of screen exposure adds analytical rigor and highlights actionable factors for interventions.

LIMITATIONS

Reliance on parent-reported data for screen time use and outdoor playtime can be prone to potential recall and social desirability bias and may overstate or underestimate the actual exposure.³⁹ The questionnaire used in this study was piloted to assess its clarity, relevance, and appropriateness, thereby ensuring face validity. However, additional validation steps, such as construct validity or reliability testing, were not conducted. This may limit the robustness of the tool in accurately measuring the intended constructs. Future research conducted in this area can employ more reliable measures, such as diary methods for screen time use along with objectively measured outdoor playtime.

CONCLUSION

Our Findings highlight a disconnect between parental awareness of screen time guidelines and actual practices, alongside limited outdoor play. Majority of the parents understood the need to regulate screen exposure, however Positive parental engagement to promote balanced screen use and increased physical activity

7

is essential. Parents reliance on technology as a convenient tool for managing children's behavior was identified, highlighting the need for culturally sensitive educational initiatives and policies for safe outdoor play to support healthier developmental outcomes for children.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

SOURCE OF FUNDING

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Copyright© 20 Jan, 2025.

REFERENCES

- 1. Hill DJ, Ameenuddin N, Chassiakos YR, Cross C, Hutchinson J, Levine A, et al. **Media and young minds.** Pediatrics. 2016; 138 (5): e20162591.
- Reid Chassiakos YL, Radesky J, Christakis D, Moreno MA, Cross C, Hill D, et al. Children and adolescents and digital media. Pediatrics. 2016 Nov 1; 138(5).
- Brussoni M, Gibbons R, Gray C, Ishikawa T, Sandseter EBH, Bienenstock A, et al. What is the relationship between risky outdoor play and health in children? A Systematic Review. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health. 2015; 12(6):6423-54. doi: 10.3390/ijerph120606423 [published Online First: 2015/06/11]
- 4. Bar-On ME, Broughton DD, Buttross S, Corrigan S, Gedissman A, De Rivas MR, et al. **Children, adolescents, and television.** Pediatrics. 2001; 107(2):423-6.
- Ozturk Eyimaya A, Yalçin Irmak A. Relationship between parenting practices and children's screen time during the COVID-19 Pandemic in Turkey. Journal of Pediatric Nursing. 2021; 56:24-29. doi: 10.1016/j.pedn.2020.10.002 [published Online First: 2020/11/13]
- Straker L, Edwards S, Kervin L, Burley M, Hendry A, Cliff D. Moving screen use guidelines: Nine reasons why screen use guidelines should be separated. 2023 [cited 2024 Dec 2].
- Brown A. Media use by children younger than 2 years. Pediatrics. 2011; 128(5):1040-5. doi: 10.1542/ peds.2011-1753 [published Online First: 2011/10/19]

- Bento G, Dias G. The importance of outdoor play for young children's healthy development. Porto Biomedical Journal. 2017; 2(5):157-60. doi: 10.1016/j. pbj.2017.03.003 [published Online First: 20170406]
- Wang Q, Ma J, Maehashi A, Kim H. The associations between outdoor playtime, screen-viewing time, and environmental factors in chinese young children: The "Eat, Be Active and Sleep Well" Study. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health. 2020; 17(13):4867.
- Ozturk Eyimaya A. Relationship between parenting practices and children's screen time during the COVID-19 Pandemic. Journal of Pediatric Nursing. 2020; 56 doi: 10.1016/j.pedn.2020.10.002
- Hinkley T, Salmon J, Okely AD, Crawford D, Hesketh K. Preschoolers' physical activity, screen time, and compliance with recommendations. Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise. 2012; 44(3):458-65. doi: 10.1249/MSS.0b013e318233763b
- Hinkley T, Carson V, Kalomakaefu K, Brown H. What mums think matters: A mediating model of maternal perceptions of the impact of screen time on preschoolers' actual screen time. Preventive Medicine Reports. 2017; 6:339-45. doi: 10.1016/j. pmedr.2017.04.015 [published Online First: 20170425]
- Gupta P, Shah D, Bedi N, Galagali P, Dalwai S, Agrawal S, et al. Indian academy of pediatrics guidelines on screen time and digital wellness in infants, children and adolescents. Indian Pediatr. 2022; 59(3):235-44. [published Online First: 20211229]
- Burdette HL, Whitaker RC. Resurrecting free play in young children: Looking beyond fitness and fatness to attention, affiliation, and affect. Archives of Pediatrics & Adolescent Medicine. 2005; 159(1):46-50. doi: 10.1001/archpedi.159.1.46 [published Online First: 2005/01/05]
- Meena P, Gupta P, Shah D. Screen Time in Indian Children by 15-18 Months of Age. Indian Pediatr 2020; 57(11):1033-36. [published Online First: 20200809]
- 16. Reddy D, Earan S, Kalaimani T, Aurangirinathan A. Mobile phones and children: exposure and pattern of usage of mobile phones among children - a descriptive cross-sectional study. International Journal of Contemporary Pediatrics. 2023; 10:873-77. doi: 10.18203/2349-3291.ijcp20231492
- Çaylan N, Yalçın SS, Erat Nergiz M, Yildiz D, Oflu A, Tezol O, et al. Associations between parenting styles and excessive screen usage in preschool children. Turk Arch Pediatr. 2021; 56(3):261-66. doi: 10.14744/ TurkPediatriArs.2020.43765 [published Online First: 20210112]

- Baiden P, Tadeo SK, Peters KE. The association between excessive screen-time behaviors and insufficient sleep among adolescents: Findings from the 2017 youth risk behavior surveillance system. Psychiatry Res. 2019; 281:112586. doi: 10.1016/j. psychres.2019.112586 [published Online First: 20190927]
- Hill D, Ameenuddin N, Reid Chassiakos YL, Cross C, Hutchinson J, Levine A, et al. Media and young minds. Pediatrics. 2016 Nov 1; 138(5).
- 20. Society IP. **Recommendations for screen use:** Indian Psychiatry Society. 2020; 2020.
- 21. Psychiatry AAoCaA. Children and Watching TV. 2024.
- Kaur N, Gupta M, Malhi P, Grover S. Screen time in under-five children. Indian Pediatr. 2019; 56(9):773-88.
- Kaur N, Gupta M, Malhi P, Grover S. Prevalence of screen time among children aged 2 to 5 years in Chandigarh, a North Indian Union Territory. J Dev Behav Pediatr. 2022; 43(1):e29-e38. doi: 10.1097/ dbp.0000000000000964
- Chen W, Adler JL. Assessment of Screen Exposure in Young Children, 1997 to 2014. JAMA Pediatrics. 2019; 173(4):391-93. doi: 10.1001/jamapediatrics.2018.5546 [published Online First: 2019/02/19]
- 25. Thompson DA, Polk S, Cheah CS, Vandewater EA, Johnson SL, Chrismer MC, et al. Maternal beliefs and parenting practices regarding their preschool child's television viewing: An exploration in a sample of low-income mexican-origin mothers. Clinical pediatrics 2015; 54(9):862-70. doi: 10.1177/0009922815574074 [published Online First: 2015/03/01]
- Njoroge WF, Elenbaas LM, Garrison MM, et al. Parental cultural attitudes and beliefs regarding young children and television. JAMA Pediatrics 2013; 167(8):739-45. doi: 10.1001/jamapediatrics.2013.75 [published Online First: 2013/06/20]
- 27. Evans CA, Jordan AB, Horner J. Only two hours? A qualitative study of the challenges parents perceive in restricting child television time. Journal of Family Issues. 2011; 32(9):1223-44.
- Jusienė R, Urbonas V, Laurinaitytė I, Rakickiene L, Breidokiene R, Kuzminskaite M, et al. Screen use during meals among young children: Exploration of associated variables. Medicina (Kaunas, Lithuania). 2019; 55(10):688. doi: 10.3390/medicina55100688 [published Online First: 20191014]

- Tamana SK, Ezeugwu V, Chikuma J, Lefebvre D, Azad MB, Moraes TJ, et al. Screen-time is associated with inattention problems in preschoolers: Results from the CHILD birth cohort study. PloS one. 2019; 14(4):e0213995. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0213995 [published Online First: 2019/04/18]
- McDonald SW, Kehler HL, Tough SC. Risk factors for delayed social-emotional development and behavior problems at age two: Results from the All Our Babies/ Families (AOB/F) cohort. Health Science Reports. 2018; 1(10):e82. doi: 10.1002/hsr2.82 [published Online First: 2019/01/10]
- Anderson DR, Subrahmanyam K. Digital screen media and cognitive development. Pediatrics. 2017; 140(Suppl 2):S57-s61. doi: 10.1542/peds.2016-1758C
- Fisch SM. Children's learning from educational television: Sesame Street and beyond: Routledge. 2014.
- Milford SC, Vernon L, Scott JJ, Johnson NF. Parent self[]efficacy and its relationship with children's screen viewing: A scoping review. Human Behavior and Emerging Technologies. 2024; 2024(1):8885498.
- Larson LR, Cordell HK, Betz CJ. Children's time outdoors: Results from a national survey. Journal of Pak Recreation Administration. 2011; 29(2):1-20.
- Rebold MJ, Lepp A, Kobak MS, McDaniel J, Barkley JE. The effect of parental involvement on children's physical activity. The Journal of Pediatrics. 2016 Mar 1; 170:206-10.
- Beets MW, Vogel R, Chapman S, Pitetti KH, Cardinal BJ. Parent's social support for children's outdoor physical activity: do weekdays and weekends matter?. Sex Roles. 2007 Jan;56:125-31.
- Barnes JD, Colley RC, Tremblay MS. Results from the Active Healthy Kids Canada 2011 report card on physical activity for children and youth. Applied physiology, nutrition, and metabolism = Physiologie appliquee, nutrition et metabolisme 2012; 37(4):793-7. doi: 10.1139/h2012-033 [published Online First: 2012/05/05]
- Xu H, Wen LM, Hardy LL, Rissel C. Mothers' Perceived Neighbourhood Environment and Outdoor Play of 2- to 3.5-Year-Old Children: Findings from the Healthy Beginnings Trial. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health. 2017; 14(9)1082. doi: 10.3390/ijerph14091082 [published Online First: 2017/09/21]

9

 Downing KL, Hnatiuk J, Hesketh KD. Prevalence of sedentary behavior in children under 2 years: A systematic review. Preventive Medicine. 2015; 78:105-14.

	AUTHORSHIP AND CONTRIBUTION DECLARATION				
1	Saima Mehmood: Conceptualized the idea, Data collection and writing.				
2	Ibtisam Qazi: Data analysis, Proposal writing and Manuscript review.				
3	Danish Abdul Aziz: Manuscript review and Finalization.				
4	Farah Naz Qamar: Supervisor, Conceptualized the idea and final manuscript review.				

Professional Med J 2025;32(04):474-483.