

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Assessment of patient satisfaction in inpatient department of Ayub Teaching Hospital Abbottabad, Pakistan.

Obaid Ur Rehman¹, Mujeeb Ur Rehman², Noor Ul Huda Rahim³, Muhammad Zubair⁴, Parveen Bibi⁵, Shahzeen Sulaman⁶, Sobia Ali⁷

Article Citation: Obaid Ur Rehman, Mujeeb Ur Rehman, Rahim N, Zubair M, Bibi P, Sulaman S, Ali S. Assessment of patient satisfaction in inpatient department of Ayub Teaching Hospital Abbottabad, Pakistan. Professional Med J 2023; 30(07):929-935. https://doi.org/10.29309/TPMJ/2023.30.07.7392

ABSTRACT... Objective: To assess level of patient satisfaction in inpatient department of Ayub teaching hospital Abbottabad. **Study Design:** Cross-sectional Observational study. **Setting:** Different Wards of Ayub Teaching Hospital (ATH) Abbottabad. **Period:** July 2022 to September 2022. **Material & Methods:** Three hundred five sample size was calculated by WHO sample size calculator. Data was collected through a self-administered questionnaire by convenient sampling technique. Six parameters were marked on a Likert scale from 0-4 and total score were categorized into high satisfaction, mediocre satisfaction and low satisfaction. Data was analyzed on SPSS Version 23. **Results:** 240(78.69%) patients were highly satisfied, 38(12.46%) were mediocrely satisfied, while 27(8.85%) had low satisfaction with registration process. 252(82.62%) patients were highly satisfied, (10.49%) were mediocrely satisfied while 21(6.89%) patients had low satisfaction with the courtesy received from the staff. 158(51.80%) patients were highly satisfied, 86(28.2%) were mediocrely satisfied while 61(20%) had low satisfaction from hygiene of hospital. Similarly patient satisfaction during hospital stay, technical and professional expertise of hospital staff and affordability of medical treatment was also measured. Overall patients satisfaction with all six parameters combined was: 177(58.03%) patients were highly satisfied, 100(32.79%) were mediocrely satisfied and 28(9.18%) patients had low satisfaction. **Conclusion:** The results of this study confirm that patient satisfaction was high in the setup studied. However this study was conducted in one hospital to make it generalize there is a need of more studies at larger scale involving more hospitals.

Key words: Abbottabad, Assessment, Ayub Teaching Hospital, Inpatient Department, Pakistan, Patient Satisfaction.

INTRODUCTION

The perception of patient about health care has been accepted as an essential measure of quality of health care and a critical component of performance improvement and clinical effectiveness.1 Donabedian defined patient satisfaction as the measurement of the feedback given by patient.² Patients satisfaction with health care is an integral component of guality monitoring in health care systems: "Providers must get firsthand information from their clients, which should help them to reorient their services by adopting a more client centered approach, transforming their attitude and introducing a convivial ambience at health service outlets based on feedback of their clients".³ According to a Gallup Survey, Pakistan has scored the lowest in the world on the Global

1. Final Year MBBS Student, Ayub Medical College, Abbottabad.

Doctor-Patient Communication Assessment test in year 2011 with five points in contrast to the highest score 66 points was recorded for Ireland.¹ The evaluation of patient care is a realistic tool to provide opportunity for improvement of care and enhancing strategic decision making. It helps in reducing cost, meeting patients' expectations, effective plans for management, makina monitoring execution of health plans, and provide comparison across health care institutions.2,4-6 The three domains of patient satisfaction are delivery of essential medical care, treatments given to the patients and the healthcare provider activities and behaviors.7-9 It is very important for doctors to satisfy their patients by maintaining good technical as well as interpersonal skills.¹⁰

28/12/2022

13/04/2023

Correspondence Address:

drsobiaali168@gmail.com

Accepted for publication:

Article received on:

Department of Community Medicine

Avub Medical College, Abbottabad,

Dr. Sobia Ali

^{2.} Final Year MBBS Student, Ayub Medical College, Abbottabad.

Final Year MBBS Student, Ayub Medical College, Abbottabad.
Final Year MBBS Student, Ayub Medical College, Abbottabad.

^{5.} Final Year MBBS Student, Ayub Medical College, Abbottabad.

^{6.} MBBS, House Officer, Ayub Teaching Hospital, Abbottabad.

^{7.} MBBS, Lecturer Community Medicine, Ayub Medical College, Abbottabad.

In addition, the doctors are required to maintain professionalism and ethical practice to meet the expectations of patients.¹¹ The technical expertise of physicians is defined as : maintaining an appropriate level of experience, ability to diagnose, performance of clinical procedures. prescribina medicine and learning about the latest medical developments.¹² Patient satisfaction is a multidimensional construct that depends on technical, infrastructural, functional, environmental, and interpersonal components of health services.¹⁰ Furthermore, a satisfied patient selects the health services and becomes more compliant to treatment and follow-up advice.^{11,12} Experimental literature shows that dissatisfied patients discontinue seeking healthcare with a physician whom they perceive as incompetent.^{13,14} Similarly, the delays in seeking healthcare and self-medication are also often seen among dissatisfied patients.¹⁰ Patient satisfaction is important criterion for improvement in the transition to high-quality health systems in lowincome and middle-income countries.¹³ Tertiary care institutes in the public sector are the referral centers for specialized services, and assessment of patients' satisfaction becomes crucial for improving the guality of health care at this level. For this purpose our study was conducted in tertiary care hospital. it was a questionnaire based cross sectional study.

This study was carried out to assess the patients' satisfaction in order to generate the data which can help not only doctors but also the hospital administration to recognize the issues and solve them. In this study, we assessed patients' opinion on different dimensions in hospital during their stay in different wards such as admission process, courtesy shown by staff, hospital environment and hygiene, their stay experience, their opinion on technical and professional expertise of the health services provided and cost affordability.

MATERIAL & METHODS

This cross sectional study was carried out on 305 admitted patients (sample size calculated by World Health Organization WHO sample size calculator) in different wards of Ayub teaching hospital (ATH) Abbottabad between July 2022

to September 2022 after the approval from institutional ethical review committee (RC-2022/ EA-01/104) and hospital administration. A selfadministered, structured questionnaire was used to collect data using non-probability convenience sampling technique. All those patients who did not want to be part of this research or incomplete questionnaires were excluded. A pre validated questionnaire containing demographic details and Likert scale for six parameters of patient satisfaction (Admission process, staff courtesy, hospital environment and hygiene, their stay experience, their perception on technical and professional expertise and cost affordability) was used. Each Likert scale received a score between 0 and 4. For each of the six parameters, the Likert scale score was determined, and the total score was then calculated. The scores were converted to percentages and divided into three groups: highly satisfied (above 70%), moderately satisfied (between 50% and 70%), and low satisfied (below 50%). The questionnaire was developed in English with back and forth translated to national language Urdu for the better understanding of patients. Patient anonymity was maintained and informed consent was obtained. Data was analyzed on SPSS version 23 and presented in the form of tables. Data is described in terms of frequencies and percentages for categorical variables and continuous variables are described in terms of Mean+SD.

RESULTS

Our sample size was 305 admitted patients in different ward of Ayub teaching hospital. The mean age of our subjects is 33.37±20.59.

There were 153(50.2%) males and 152(49.8%) females in our study. Out of total 305 patients, 82(26.9%) patients lived in urban while 223(73.1%) patients lived in rural area. 206(67.5%) patients were married while the remaining patients were unmarried. In our study 124(40.7%) patients had no formal education, 47(15.4%) patients had primary education, 82(26.9%) patients had higher secondary education, with 25(8.2%) middle school passed, 18(5.9%) graduates and 9(3%) had post-graduation as their highest qualification. 43(14.1%) of the patients were employed while

remaining 262(85.9%) patients were unemployed. 77(25.2%) patients were living in rented house while 228(74.8%) patients had their own house. 35(11.5%) patients had their monthly income less than 10000 PKR (Pakistani Rupees), 134(43.9%) patients had their monthly income in range of 10000-20000 PKR while 32(10.5%) patients were dependent on others for their income. (Table-I)

The sample collected from different wards includes: Medical Ward 39(12.8%) patients, Surgical Ward 54(17.7%) patients, Gynecology and Obstetrics ward 48(15.7%) patients. Pediatrics Ward 26(8.5%) patients, Eye 12(3.9%) 10(3.3%) patients. ENT patients, Uroloav 12(3.9%) patients, Psychiatry 14(4.6%) patients, Neurosurgery 19(6.2%) patients, Cardiothoracic Surgery 4(1.3%) patients, Neurology 3(1%) patients. Cardiology 18(5.9%) patients. Pulmonology 1(0.3%) patients, Gastroenterology 18(5.9%) patients. Covid isolation 11(3.6%) patients, Orthopedic 12(3.9%) patients and Burn unit 4(1.3%) patients. Table-II

Two hundred forty (78.69%) patients were highly satisfied with registration process. 38(12,46%) rated registration process as moderate, while 27(8.85%) patients were unsatisfied with registration process. Similarly 252(82.62%) Patients were highly satisfied with the courtesy received from the doctors and nurses, 32(10.49%) patients were moderately satisfied while 21 (6.89%) patients were unsatisfied from doctor and nurses behavior. 158(51.80%) patients were satisfied from hygiene of hospital, 86(28.2%) rated hospital hygiene as moderate while 61 (20%) patients were unsatisfied from hospital hygiene. 115(37.70%) patients had very satisfactory experience during their hospital stay, 126(41.31%) patients rated their hospital stay experience as moderate, while 64(20.98%) patients were unsatisfied during their hospital stay. 253(82.95%) patients were highly satisfied with technical and professional expertise of hospital, 46(15.08%) patients were moderately satisfied while 6(1.97%) of patients were unsatisfied with technical and professional expertise of hospital. 170(55.74%) patients were unsatisfied with affordability of medical treatment is hospital,65(21.31%) patients were moderate

while only 70(22.95%) patients were highly satisfied with affordability of medical treatment. Overall patients satisfaction with all six parameters combined was: 177(58.03%) patients were highly satisfied, 100(32.79%) patients satisfaction was moderately satisfied and 28 (9.18%) patients were unsatisfied. (Table-III)

Categories		Frequency (n) (%)		
Residence	Urban	82 (26.9%)		
	Rural	223 (73.1%)		
Gender	Male	153 (50.2%)		
	Female	152 (49.8%)		
Marital Status	Married	206 (67.5%)		
	Unmarried	99 (32.5%)		
Education	Uneducated	124 (40.7%)		
	Primary	47 (15,4%)		
	Middle school	25 (8.2%)		
	Higher Secondary	82 (26.9%)		
	Graduation	18 (5.9%)		
	Post-Graduation	9 (3%)		
Occupation	Employed	43 (14.1%)		
	Unemployed	262 (85.9%)		
Начаа	Rented	77 (25.2%)		
House	Own	228 (74.8%)		
Monthly Income (Pkr)	No Income	32 (10.5%)		
	<10,000	35 (11.5%)		
	10,000-20,000	134 (43.9%)		
	20,000-50,000	84 (27.5%)		
	>50,000	20 (6.6%)		
Table-I. Sociodemographic characteristics (n=305)				

Wards	Frequency (%)			
Medical Ward	39 (12.8%)			
Surgical Ward	54 (17.7%)			
Gynecology/Obstetrics	48 (15.7%)			
Pediatric Ward	26 (8.5%)			
Eye	12 (3.9%)			
ENT	10 (3.3%)			
Urology	12 (3.9%)			
Psychiatry	14 (4.6%)			
Neurosurgery	19 (6.2%)			
Cardiothoracic Ward	4 (1.3%)			
Neurology	3 (1%)			
Cardiology	18 (5.9%)			
Pulmonology	1 (0.3%)			
Gastroenterology	18 (5.9%)			
Covid Isolation	11 (3.6%)			
Orthopedic Ward	12 (3.9%)			
Burn Unit	4 (1.3%)			
Table-II. Wards (n=305)				

Variables	Low Satisfaction	Moderately Satisfaction	High Satisfaction		
Registration process satisfaction	27(8.85%)	38(12.46%)	240(78.69%)		
Satisfaction level of courtesy received from doctors and nurses	21 (6.89%)	32(10.49%)	252(82.62%)		
Hospital hygiene satisfaction	61(20%)	86(28.2%)	158(51.80%)		
Hospital stay experience satisfaction	64(20.98%)	126(41.31%)	115(37.70%)		
Technical and Professional Expertise Satisfaction	6(1.97%)	46(15.08%)	253(82.95%)		
Affordability of medical treatment satisfaction	170(55.74%)	65(21.31%)	70(22.95%)		
Overall Patient Satisfaction	28(9.18%)	100(32.79%)	177(58.03%)		
Table-III. Patient satisfaction (n=305)					

DISCUSSION

Patients' satisfaction with health care is an important and commonly used component for quality monitoring. The purpose of assessment of patients' satisfaction is to evaluate the quality of treatment and care provided, identify areas of improvement to provide better services in future. Our study shows that overall patients satisfaction with all six parameters combined was: 177(58.03%) patients were highly satisfied, 100(32.79%) were moderately satisfied and 28(9.18%) patients had low satisfaction in contrast to the study conducted by Ahsen et al[15] in ATH in 2012 where the satisfaction was 68% and 76.1% in medical and surgical wards respectively. One of the reasons for the less satisfaction could be because we conducted our study in different wards not just medical and surgical wards. In study conducted by Kaur et al.¹⁶ inpatients' satisfaction as whole was 78%. Whereas study conducted by Pande et al.¹⁷ shows satisfaction of 82.4-97.45%. The study by Paniyadi et al.¹⁸ shows patients' satisfaction of 92.7%, and study by Verma et al¹⁹ in North India shows patient satisfaction around 77%. Another study carried out on patients admitted to obstetrics and gynecology wards of public hospitals of Ethiopia by Murama et al²⁰ reported overall satisfaction rate of 79.7%. All these statistics are much higher compared to our study. This tells us that there is significant and prompt need for improvement in provision of healthcare services. The overall satisfaction level of our study is almost comparable to study conducted in Nigeria where almost 55% of correspondents were satisfied with hospital services.²¹ Whereas they are high compared to the study conducted by Rajkumari and Nula²² where they were 32.5% and in South Africa where it was 50%.23

In our study 78.69% patients were satisfied with registration process which is higher compared to the study by Verma at al¹⁹ where satisfaction with registration process was 26%. Whereas the study conducted by Pande et al¹⁷ shows higher satisfaction with registration process which was 87.68%. One of the reasons of decrease satisfaction with registration process could be less number of service providers at registration desk and overload of patients.

Politeness and courtesy are dependent on context and culture and is different in different cultures. However the behavior should be acceptable. In our study 82.62% patients were satisfied with the attitude and courtesy shown by the staff which is almost equal to study conducted by Murama et al²⁰ which was 85.7%. It is less compared to the 98% and 96.4% seen in study by Kaur et al¹⁶ and Paniyadi et al¹⁸ respectively, but is higher compared to 75% and 53.8% seen in the study conducted by Verma et al¹⁹ and in Akoijam et al²⁴ respectively. Some of the reasons of less satisfaction with the attitude shown by the staff could be overburden of work, working tirelessly and less time for their own mental health.

Cleanliness is one of the important parameter to be maintained in hospital and is a key factor in patients' satisfaction. 51.8% patients were satisfied with cleanliness of wards in our study which is approximately similar to that of the study conducted by Akoijam et al²⁴ which was 53.3%. It is relatively higher compared to previous study by Ahsen et al¹⁵ where patients' satisfaction in regards to cleanliness was only 44% which means that there has been slight improvement in hygiene conditions of the hospital. Our study results are higher than the studies conducted by

Kaur et al¹⁶ where it was 46% and by Verma et al¹⁹ where it was 47%. It is low compared to that seen in South Africa²³ and Ethopia²⁰ where hygiene satisfaction is 80% and 72.6% respectively. Poor hygiene could be attributed to unavailability of water, sanitation worker not performing their duties and lack of patients/attendants compliance to cleanliness.

Patients' satisfaction was 37.70% in regards to their stay experience in hospital. This is less compared to previous study by Ahsen et al¹⁵ where attitude of nurses was highly friendly. It is also less compared to the study of Verma et al¹⁹ where stay experience was 55.4% and the study by Kaur et al¹⁶ showed 78% of satisfaction regarding care received and attitude of nurses. The study by Akoijam²⁴ also showed high satisfaction of 71.5% regarding stay experience. It is higher than that of study conducted by Anwar et al²⁵ where 84% of patients had negative experience during their stay in hospital. Patients are highly unsatisfied with their stay in the hospital which is contributed to the unprofessional behavior of nurses and the failure of the pain control measurements in ward.

In our study 82.9% patients were satisfied with technical and professional expertise provided in hospital. This included attitude of doctor, explanation of their disease, diagnosis and treatment facility provision. This is higher as compared to previous studies by Ahsen et al¹⁵ where it was 70%, by Verma et al¹⁹ and by Kaur et al¹⁶ where satisfaction was 67% and 78% respectively. It is less compared to study by Paniyadi et al¹⁸ and Pande et al¹⁷ where 94.7% and 97.3% of patients were satisfied with care provision by doctor and explanation of their disease and treatment respectively. Reason for the less satisfaction with technical and professional expertise could be lack of proper communication and counseling of patients.

Patients' satisfaction regarding the cost affordability was 55.74%. This is higher compared to the studies by Ahsen et al¹⁵ and Sultana et al²⁶ where cost affordability was 22-29% and 43% respectively.

CONCLUSION

In our study most of the patient were living in rural areas and had their own house. About half of patients had no formal education, majority of them were unemployed and unmarried. About half of the patient had monthly income 10,000-20,000 PKR. In our study majority of the patients were satisfied with the registration process, courtesy received from doctors and nurses and technical and professional expertise. More than half of the patients had moderate or low satisfaction with hospital hygiene and hospital stay experience. Overall about half of the patients were satisfied while the remaining had moderate or low satisfaction. There are areas of improvement in the service provision. Registration process should be streamlined to ensure the fast provision of health services and to reduce the delay and waiting time. Patients were quite pleased with the behavior of staff and doctors. They were satisfied with the expertise by the doctors and the information provided by them regarding their illness and its treatment. However they were not satisfied with the ward experience which means nurses should be trained regarding their unprofessional behavior in the ward. Hygiene in ward was highly criticized by patients and there is prompt need for measures to ensure cleanliness in the ward. Patients' satisfaction regarding cost affordability is low and there is increase need for patients to be educated regarding the services provided by the hospital. This highlights a number of areas for policymakers and hospital administration to improve hospital services and consequently patients' satisfaction. Finally, a system of patients' feedback must be put in place in order to record and improve quality of healthcare. Copyright© 13 Apr, 2023.

REFERENCES

- Doctor-patient global communication performance assessment by CAT. Media Report. Zurich: Worldwide Independent Network of Market Research; 2011.
- 2. Tanantong T, Pannakkong W, Chemkomnerd N. Resource management framework using simulation modeling and multi-objective optimization: A case study of a front-end department of a public hospital in Thailand. BMC Med Inform Decis Mak. 2022; 22(1):10. DOI: 10.1186/s12911-022-01750-8

5

- 3. World Health Organization (WHO). International guidelines for the evaluation of treatment services and systems for psychoactive substance use disorders. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO, 2000.
- Kamra V, Sethi SK, Sharma JK. An empirical study on service quality comparison between private and public hospitals in Delhi-NCR. International Journal of Marketing & Business Communication. 2019 Oct 1; 8(4):13-27.
- Girma M, Robles C, Asrat M, Hagos H, G/Slassie M, Hagos A. Community perception regarding maternity service provision in public health institutions in 2018 and 2019: A Qualitative Study. Int J Womens Health. 2020; 12:773-83. DOI: 10.2147/IJWH.S250044
- Simsekler MCE, Alhashmi NH, Azar E, King N, Luqman RAMA, Al Mulla A. Exploring drivers of patient satisfaction using a random forest algorithm. BMC Med Inform Decis Mak. 2021; 21(1):157. DOI: 10.1186/ s12911-021-01519-5
- Mercier MR, Galivanche AR, David WB, et al. Hospital Consumer Assessment of Healthcare Providers and Systems survey response rates are significantly affected by patient characteristics and postoperative outcomes for patients undergoing primary total knee arthroplasty. PLoS One. 2021; 16(9):e0257555. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0257555
- 8. NEJM Catalyst. **Patient satisfaction surveys** [Internet] NEJM Catalyst; 2018.
- Larson E, Sharma J, Bohren MA, Tunçalp Ö. When the patient is the expert: Measuring patient experience and satisfaction with care. Bull World Health Organ. 2019; 97:563-9. DOI: 10.2471/BLT.18.225201
- Adebayo CT, Parcell ES, Mkandawire-Valhmu L, Olukotun O. African American women's maternal healthcare experiences: A critical race theory perspective. [published online ahead of print, 2021 Feb 18]. Health Commun. 2021; 1-12. DOI: 10.1080/10410236.2021.1888453
- Stover AM, Kurtzman R, Walker Bissram J, et al. Stakeholder perceptions of key aspects of highquality cancer care to assess with patient reported outcome measures: A systematic review. Cancers (Basel). 2021; 13(14):3628. DOI: 10.3390/ cancers13143628
- Ren W, Sun L, Tarimo CS, Li Q, Wu J. The situation and influencing factors of outpatient satisfaction in large hospitals: Evidence from Henan province, China. BMC Health Serv Res. 2021; 21(1):500. DOI: 10.1186/s12913-021-06520-2

- Shahnazi H, Araban M, Karimy M, Basiri M, Ghazvini A, Stein L. A quasi-experimental study to improve health service quality: Implementing communication and self-efficacy skills training to primary healthcare workers in two counties in Iran. BMC Med Educ. 2021; 21(1):369. DOI: 10.1186/s12909-021-02796-4
- Atnafu T, Daka DW, Debela TF, Ergiba MS. Women's satisfaction with cervical cancer screening services and associated factors in maternal health clinics of Jimma Town Public Health Facilities, Southwest Ethiopia. Cancer Manag Res. 2021; 13:7685-96. DOI: 10.2147/CMAR.S327369
- Ahsan N, Chowla J, Farooq U, Rasool A, Ahmad A, Burki N et al. Assessment of patient's satisfaction in medical and surgical wards in a tertiary care hospital. J Ayub Med Coll Abbottabad 2012; 24(2):3-4.
- Kaur M, Bashar A, Singh T, Kumar R. Cross-Sectional study of clients' satisfaction with outpatient and inpatient services of public health facilities of a North Indian State. Health Services Insights. 2020; 13:1-8. DOI: 10.1177/1178632920929969
- 17. Pande V, Kuthe S, Nayse J, Kshirsagar R, Choudhary M, Awale J. **Patients satisfaction from hospital services in 2019.** Panacea Journal of Medical Sciences. 2021;
- Paniyadi N, Jacob J, Katsuri AK, Ravi A, Parida J, Chhikara N, et al. Patient satisfaction towards nursing care in general wards of tertiary care hospital of Eastern India. Manipal Journal of Nursing and Health Sciences. 2021Jan; 7(1):8-13.
- Verma M, Aggarwal R, Rana K, Kankaria A. Assessment of patient's satisfaction visiting a Tertiary Health Care Institute in North India. Journal of Pharmacy and Bioallied Sciences. 2020Jul20; 12(3):252-61. DOI: 10.4103/jpbs.JPBS_168_20
- Marama T, Bayu H, Merga M, Binu W. Patient Satisfaction and Associated Factors among Clients Admitted to Obstetrics and Gynecology Wards of Public Hospitals in Mekelle Town, Ethiopia: An Institution-Based Cross-Sectional Study. Obstet Gynecol Int. 2018 Feb 1; 2018; 24(7):50-59. DOI: 10.1155/2018/2475059
- 21. Umar I, Oche MO, Umar AS. **Patient waiting time in a tertiary health institution in Northern Nigeria.** J Public Health Epidemiol 2011; 3(2):78-82.
- Rajkumari B, Nula P. Patient's satisfaction with care in a government health facility in North East India: A cross-sectional study. J Med Soc. 2017; 31:94-98. DOI: 10.4103/jms.jms_81_16

- 23. Malangu N, Westhuisen VJ. Patients' satisfaction with inpatient and outpatient aspects of care delivered at a District Hospital in Pretoria. Pula. 2017; 33: 135-153.
- Akoijam BS, Konjengbam S, Bishwalata R, Singh TA. Patients' satisfaction with hospital care in a referral institute in Manipur. Indian J Public Health. 2007 Oct-Dec; 51(4):240-3.
- Khan MH, Hassan R, Anwar S, Babar TS, Babar S. Patient satisfaction with nursing care. Rawal Med J 2007; 32(1):28-30.
- 26. Sultana A, Riaz R, Rehman A, Sabir SA. Patient satisfaction in two tertiary care hospitals of Rawalpindi. J Rawal Med Coll 2009; 13(1):41-3.

AUTHORSHIP AND CONTRIBUTION DECLARATION

No.	Author(s) Full Name	Contribution to the paper	Author(s) Signature
1	Obaid Ur Rehman	Study design, Designing questionnaire, Data collection, analysis,	_cbe
2	Mujeeb Ur Rehman	Conception, Study design, Interpretation, Developing question- nare, Data collection, Data Analysis.	Apmr-
3	Noor UI Huda Rahim	Developing quesainnaire, Data collection, Discussion manuscript	J-luces
4	Muhammad Zubair	writing. Data collection, Data analysis.	4-dr
5	Parveen Bibi	Data collection, Analysis, Discussion writing.	Petruen
6	Shahzeen Sulaman	Data collection, Manuscript writing.	Spent zeensulamen
7	Sobia Ali	Study design, Developing question- naire, Proof reading.	W