

ORIGINAL ARTICLE Variations of facial nerve distribution in the parotid gland.

Hina Jabeen¹, Farukh Mustafa Memon², Hira Ahmed³, Zaheer Amjad⁴, Uzma Nusrat⁵, Maria Khan⁶

Article Citation: Jabeen H, Memon FM, Ahmed H, Amjad Z, Nusrat U, Khan M. Variations of facial nerve distribution in the parotid gland. Professional Med J 2022; 29(5):618-622. https://doi.org/10.29309/TPMJ/2022.29.05.6799

ABSTRACT... Objective: To study anatomical variations of facial nerve encountered during parotid gland surgery. **Study Design:** Observational Study. **Setting:** Anatomy Department with the Collaboration of General Surgery Department at Dow University of Health Sciences and Dow Hospital (Ojha Campus Karachi). **Period:** December 2020 to May 2021. **Material & Methods:** Dissection of parotid gland was done in 50 cases including 10 cadavers (20 parotid glands) in anatomy department and 40 patients (40 parotid glands) admitted in general surgery ward undergoing parotid gland surgery for any pathology of the gland. Total 60 parotid glands were studied. Mean age of the cases was 31.6 ± 2.14 years with age range of 20-60 years. There were 10 female and 40 male cases. **Results:** In this study 60 parotid glands were dissected in 50 cases and facial nerve configuration was studied. In 46(92%) cases facial nerve pattern could be classified into main five types of the nerve pattern but in 04(08%) cases facial nerve pattern did not fit into any category of the classification. Type-III pattern of facial nerve distribution was commonly seen in 21(35%) glands. **Conclusion:** It is very important to keep knowledge of anatomical variations in facial nerve branching pattern in parotid gland to avoid its Injury during surgery.

Key Words: Facial Nerve, Nerve Injury, Parotid Gland, Parotid Gland Tumors.

INTRODUCTION

Salivary gland tumors account 3% of total tumors. Parotid gland tumors account 80% of the tumors involving salivary glands. In parotid gland tumors superficial or total parotidectomy is done with preservation of the facial nerve. It is a big challenge to the surgeon to explore facial nerve carefully and save it from injury during parotid gland surgery. That's why knowledge of the facial nerve branching pattern is very important to avoid any complication.

During parotid gland surgery especially when removing deep lobe tumor, chances of facial nerve injury are very high due to its anatomical variations. If anastomotic branches are present, injury to some facial nerve branches will not cause permanent paralysis, and it will recover most likely later on. Frequency of transient facial nerve palsy is 15%-66% after parotid gland surgery and this rate is higher in total parotidectomy as compared to partial and superficial parotidectomy. Permanent facial nerve palsy after parotid gland surgery has been found in 2.5%-5% cases. Facial nerve is present between superficial and deep lobes of the gland. Facial nerve emerges from the stylomastoid foramen and passes over the posteromedial portion of the parotid gland for short distance. It divides within parotid gland into two main trunks cervico-facial and temporozygomatic, which further divide into terminal branches.

Purpose of this study is to highlight the importance of knowing facial nerve anatomical variations in the parotid gland and providing guidelines to the surgeons so that surgeons may avoid nerve injury and morbidity related to it during parotid gland surgery and the purpose of safe surgery may be accomplished.

MATERIAL & METHODS

This observational study was conducted at Department of Anatomy, Dow University of Health

 MBBS, M.Phil (Anatom), Lecturer Anatomy, (Dr. Ishrat ul Ebad Khan Institute of Oral Health Sciences) Dow University of Health Sciences (Ojha Campus). MBBS, M.Phil (Anatom), Professor and HOD Anatomy, Dow University of Health Sciences (Ojha Campus) MBBS, M.Phil (Anatom), Assistant Professor Anatomy, Karachi Medical and Dental College, Karachi. MBBS, Ph.D, Assistant Professor Anatomy, Dow University of Health Sciences (Ojha Campus) MBBS, FCPS, Assistant Professor Gynecology, Dow university of Health Sciences (Ojha Campus) MBBS, FCPS, Assistant Professor Anatomy, Ow University of Health Sciences (Ojha Campus) MBBS, M.Phil (Anatomy) Assistant Professor Anatomy, (Dr. Ishrat ul Ebad Khan Institute of Oral Health Sciences) Dow University of Health Sciences (Ojha Campus). 	Correspondence Address: Dr. Hina Jabeen Department of Anatomy (Dr. Ishrat ul Ebad Khan Institute of Sciences) Dow University of Health Campus). hinajabeen2009@yahoo.com	Oral Health Sciences (Ojha
	Article received on: Accepted for publication:	15/09/2021 27/11/2021

Sciences from December 2020 to May 2021. The study was conducted on 50 cases including 10 cadavers (20 parotid glands) in anatomy department and 40 patients (40 parotid glands) admitted in general surgery ward undergoing partial or total parotidectomy due to parotid gland tumor. There were 10 female and 40 male cases. Total 60 parotid glands were studied. Mean age of the cases was 31.6 ± 2.14 years with age range of 20-60 years. Study sample was selected using non-probability convenient sampling technique. Parotid gland was dissected using incision anterior to the ear tragus down to the ear lobule. Then inverted S shape incision extended behind the ear and the mandible downward posteriorly. Incision was further extended down to the neck in case of anterior parotid lesion. Flaps were elevated and anterior border of sternocleidomastoid muscle was dissected up to the mastoid process. Digastric muscle posterior belly was visualized. Dissection of external auditory canal cartilage was done to the bony portion. Distal to stylomastoid foramen, facial nerve main trunk was visualized and its dissection done peripherally. After exploration of the nerve, its branching pattern was drawn and classified into five main types according to Katz and Kopuz classification (Figure-1 to 5). Ethical consent was taken from the patients in surgical ward. Approval for conducting this study was taken from the institutional ethical review committee (Ref.no# 722-ERC, dated: 26/12/2020). Results were analyzed using SPSS-20 software and expressed in tabular and graphical forms.

RESULTS

Total 60 parotid glands were dissected in 50 cases (including 40 patients and 10 cadavers) and facial nerve branching configuration was studied. In 46(92%) cases facial nerve pattern could be classified into main five types of the nerve pattern but in 04(08%) cases facial nerve pattern did not fit into any category of the classification. Type-III pattern was the most common facial nerve distribution pattern seen in 21(35%) glands. Type-V pattern was not found in any case.

Type of facial nerve pattern	Number of the parotid glands N (%)	Total	
Type-I I-A I-B	07 (11.7%) 05 (8.3%)	12 (20%)	
Type-II	05 (8.3%)	05 (8.3%)	
Type-III III-A III-B III-C	13 (21.7%) 05 (8.3%) 03 (5%)	21 (35%)	
Type-IV IV-A IV-B		08 (13.3%)	
Type-V	00 (0%)	00%	
Table-I. Frequency of facial nerve branching patternsfound in 46 parotid glands.			

There were 10 (20%) females and 40 (80%) males. Out of total 60 parotid glands, 17(28%) were right side glands, 23 (39%) were left side glands and 20(33.3%) bilateral glands were dissected in 10(20%) cadavers.



Fig-1. Type I facial nerve (straight branching) with variations.



Figure-2. Type-II Facial nerve major connections between buccal and zygomatic nerves.



Figure-3. Type III facial nerve with major connection between buccal & any other nerve.



Fig-4. Type IV Complex branching pattern.



Fig-5. Type V two main trunks.



Professional Med J 2022;29(05):618-622.

DISCUSSION

Successful parotid gland surgery in case of tumors depends upon facial nerve proper exploration and preservation by avoiding its injury.¹¹ It requires thorough anatomical knowledge of the nerve different variations in distribution pattern and about anastomotic branches within the gland. In our study facial nerve pattern was compared to the 5 patterns of nerve branching described by Katz and Kopuz.¹² In 46(92%) cases nerve pattern fit into the classification but in 04(8%) cases nerve branching pattern did not fit into any category. Most common nerve pattern in our study was type-III, found in 21(35%) cases. Type-III is very important for the surgeon due to its greater safety because of extensive anastomosis of the buccal nerve.¹³ In previous studies most common nerve patterns have been mentioned as type-IV 39%, type-III 60% and type-I 43%.¹⁴ Clinically type-I is important because injury of a branch will cause paralysis of the muscles supplied by it, although anastomosis pattern was fond on labiobuccal musculature in 26.3% cases, it is similar to previous study by Mahore et al (24%).¹⁵

According to Stankevicius et al type-IV pattern was most commonly found in their study in 27% cases. In their study group 82% trunks split into bifurcation, 09% into trifurcation while 09% had separate double trunks.¹⁶ In our study type-I pattern was found in 20% cases that is comparable to previous literature showing 13% by Martinez et al.¹⁷ In our study type-II pattern was found in 8.3% cases. This is different in previous studies reporting 13% by davis et al, 43% by Kitamura et al and 24% by Kopuz and Katz et al.¹⁸ Type-III pattern was found in 35% cases in our study. It is similar to previous studies reporting 60% by Callender et al, 33% by Park et al, 44% by Katz et al and 36.7% by Faroog et al.¹⁹ El Kininy et al reported type-V pattern in 03%, type-IV in 15%, type-III in 12%, type-I in 06% while type-II pattern was not found in any study case.²⁰ Frequency of type-V in literature varies from 03%-12% while in many studies this patter is not found.²¹ Type-III pattern is usually found most commonly in previous studies ranging from 10%-60%. And there was no study who did not found this pattern like type-v pattern which is not so common.22

Depending upon origin of the buccal nerve from upper division, type-IV is further divided into two sub types, IV-A having origin of buccal nerve from upper trunk and type IV-B having origin of the buccal nerve from both upper and lower trunks. In our study IV-A was found in 10% and IV-B was found in 3.3% cases. Previous studies also report similar results with origin of buccal nerve from upper trunk ranging from 12% to 42% and from both upper and lower trunks from 4%-36% in various studies.²³

CONCLUSION

If surgeon is aware of facial nerve branching patterns during parotid gland surgeries, injury to the nerve and its related complications can be avoided and a safe surgery can be done. **Copyright**© **27 Nov, 2021.**

REFERENCES

- Chiesa-Estomba CM, Larruscain-Sarasola E, Lechien JR, Mouawad F, Calvo-Henriquez C, Diom ES et al. Facial nerve monitoring during parotid gland surgery: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol. 2020 Jul 11:1-1. https://doi. org/10.1007/s00405-020-06188-0.
- Jeong SH, Kim HY, Lee DH, Lee JK, Lim SC. Facial nerve neurorrhaphy due to unexpected facial nerve injury during parotid gland tumor surgery. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol. 2020 Mar 25:1-4. https://doi. org/10.1007/s00405-020-05931-x.
- Fujii H, Fujita A, Kanazawa H, Sung E, Sakai O, Sugimoto H. Localization of parotid gland tumors in relation to the intraparotid facial nerve on 3D double-echo steady-state with water excitation sequence. Am J Neuroradiol. 2019 Jun 1; 40(6):1037-42.
- Kuriyama T, Kawata R, Higashino M, Nishikawa S, Inui T, Terada T et al. Recurrent benign pleomorphic adenoma of the parotid gland: Facial nerve identification and risk factors for facial nerve paralysis at re-operation. Auris Nasus Larynx. 2019 Oct 1; 46(5):779-84. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.anl.2019.02.010.
- Siddiqui AH, Shakil S, ur Rahim D, Shaikh IA. Post parotidectomy facial nerve palsy: A retrospective analysis. Pak J Med Sci. 2020 Jan; 36(2):126. https:// dx.doi.org/10.12669%2Fpjms.36.2.1706.

- Chiesa-Estomba CM, Sistiaga-Suarez JA, González-García JÁ, Larruscain E, Cammaroto G, Mayo-Yánez M et al. Artificial Neural Network as a Tool to Predict Facial Nerve Palsy in Parotid Gland Surgery for Benign Tumors. Med Sci. 2020 Dec; 8(4):42. https:// doi.org/10.3390/medsci8040042.
- Yi CR, Oh TM, Jeong WS, Choi JW, Oh TS. Quantitative analysis of the impact of radiotherapy on facial nerve repair with sural nerve grafting after parotid gland surgery. J Cranio maxillofac Surg. 2020 Aug 1; 48(8):724-32. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcms.2020.05.012.
- Stathopoulos P, Igoumenakis D, Smith WP. Partial superficial, superficial, and total parotidectomy in the management of benign parotid gland tumors: a 10-year prospective study of 205 patients. J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2018 Feb 1; 76(2):455-9. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.joms.2017.06.018.
- Espinosa CA, Fernández-Valle Á, Lequerica-Fernández P, de Villalaín L, de Vicente JC. Clinicopathologic and surgical study of pleomorphic adenoma of the parotid gland: analysis of risk factors for recurrence and facial nerve dysfunction. J Oral Maxillofac Surg. 2018 Feb 1; 76(2):347-54. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. joms.2017.07.171.
- Nicoli F, D'Ambrosia C, Lazzeri D, Orfaniotis G, Ciudad P, Maruccia M et al. Microsurgical dissection of facial nerve in parotidectomy: a discussion of techniques and long-term results. Gland Surg. 2017 Aug; 6(4):308. https://dx.doi.org/10.21037%2Fgs.2017.03.12.
- 11. Alomar OS. New classification of branching pattern of facial nerve during parotidectomy: A cross sectional study. Ann Med Surg. 2021 Feb 1; 62:190-6. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.amsu.2021.01.006.
- 12. Rana S, Akhtar UB, Atif S, Javaid Z. **Terminal branching** pattern of facial nerve seen in adult cadavers: an anatomical study. Ann Punjab Med Coll (APMC). 2017 Dec 6; 11(4):311-5.
- Khan R, Wani AA, Rehman A. Unusual Relation of Facial Nerve and Retromandibular Vein in Parotid Surgeries: a Case Series. Indian J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2021 May 21:1-5. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s12070-021-02631-8.
- Öksüz CE, KALAYCIOĞLU A, Özlem UZ, KALKIŞIM ŞN. Morphological evaluation of terminal branches of the facial nerve within the parotid gland in fetus cadavers. Cukurova Medical Journal. 2019; 44(2):509-16.

- Mahore D, Mangalgiri AS, Namdev LN, Kapre M. Variations of retromandibular vein and its relation to facial nerve within parotid gland. Indian J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2018 Sep; 70(3):395-7. https://doi. org/10.1007/s12070-018-1389-1.
- Stankevicius D, Suchomlinov A. Variations in facial nerve branches and anatomical landmarks for its trunk identification: a pilot cadaveric study in the Lithuanian population. Cureus. 2019 Nov; 11(11). https://dx.doi.org/10.7759%2Fcureus.6100.
- Martínez Pascual P, Maranillo E, Vázquez T, Simon de Blas C, Lasso JM, Sañudo JR. Extracranial course of the facial nerve revisited. Anat Rec. 2019 Apr; 302(4):599-608. https://doi.org/10.1002/ar.23825.
- Reddy VJ, Hemachandra T, Kumar SN, Reddy PR. Variable relationship of retro-mandibular vein to the facial nerve, a finding during parotid surgery: a rare case report. Int Surg J. 2017 Aug 24; 4(9):3166-8. https://dx.doi.org/10.18203/2349-2902.isj20173909.
- Tsai CH, Ting CC, Wu SY, Chiu JY, Chen H, Igawa K. Clinical significance of buccal branches of the facial nerve and their relationship with the emergence of Stensen's duct: An anatomical study on adult Taiwanese cadavers. J Cranio Maxillofac Surg. 2019 Nov 1; 47(11):1809-18. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jcms.2018.12.018.

- El Kininy W, Davy S, Stassen L, Barry DS. Novel variations in spatial relations between the facial nerve and superficial temporal and maxillary veins. Folia morphologica. 2018; 77(4):775-9.
- Soliman AM, Ramli ES, Das S, Abd Ghafar N. Concomitant Anomalous Branching of Facial Nerve and Double Parotid Duct: A Case Report. Int Med J Malays. 2017 May 31; 16(1). https://doi.org/10.31436/ imjm.v16i1.372.
- Borle RM, Jadhav A, Bhola N, Hingnikar P, Gaikwad P. Borle's triangle: A reliable anatomical landmark for ease of identification of facial nerve trunk during parotidectomy. J Oral Biolog Craniofac Res. 2019 Jan 1;9(1):33-6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobcr.2018.08.004.
- Eltohami YI, Huang SF, Suleiman AM. Origin of the Buccal Branch of Facial Nerve and Anastomosis of the Facial Nerve Branches. J Clin Case Stu. 2019; 4(2).

AUTHORSHIP AND CONTRIBUTION DECLARATION

No.	Author(s) Full Name	Contribution to the paper	Author(s) Signature
1	Hina Jabeen	Topic selection and data collection.	the second second
2	Farukh Mustafa Memon	Proof reading and final approval.	K,
3	Hira Ahmed	Abstract and recording.	Live -
4	Zaheer Amjad	Data collection.	3 abril
5	Uzma Nusrat	Found additional literature for information, Data composing.	Whynig
6	Maria Khan	Data collection, Found references.	Mil