

ORIGINAL ARTICLE Relationship between Apolipoproteins, Lipoprotein (a) and conventional cholesterol and lipids with insulin resistance.

Sikandar Hayat Khan¹, Ahmed Hayat Afzal², Rahat Shahid³, Robina Manzoor⁴

Article Citation: Khan SH, Afzal AH, Shahid R, Manzoor R. Relationship between Apolipoproteins, Lipoprotein (a) and conventional cholesterol and lipids with insulin resistance. Professional Med J 2022; 29(6):745-751. https://doi.org/10.29309/TPMJ/2022.29.06.6590

ABSTRACT... Objective: To evaluate Apo-A1, Apo-B and Lipoprotein (a) and conventional lipid profile parameters among subjects with high and low insulin resistance groups. **Study Design:** Cross Sectional study. **Setting:** Naval Hospital, Islamabad. **Period:** 2018 to 2020. **Material & Methods:** To include 164 subjects after several exclusions. We carried out conventional lipid indices along with serum insulin, Apo-A1, Apo-B and Lp (a) on these subjects after formal permission. We calculated insulin resistance by using the the HOMAIR index. **Results:** Male population demonstrated higher Apo-B [(Male: 66.44+21.75) vs (Female: 60.84+19.96), p=0.095], triglycerides [(Male: 2.29+1.35) vs (Female: 1.84+0.93), p=0.014] while male showed lower Lp (a) [(Male: 126.13+9.15) vs (Female: 16.60+9.39), p=0.095] and Apo-A1. 126.13+21.97) vs (Female: 135.34+25.24), p=0.017]. Apo-A1 showed highest but still weak positive correlation with HDLc, while Apo-B demonstrated higher positive correlation with total correlation, triglycerides, LDLc, non-HDLc and Lp (a). Among comparison between high and low insulin resistance group: 124.91+24.17, p=0.050]. **Conclusion:** Apo-A1 [Low insulin resistance group: 124.91+24.17, p=0.050]. **Conclusion:** Apo-B demonstrated higher positive correlation, triglycerides, LDLc, non-HDLc and Lp (a). There were significant differences for triglycerides and Apo-A1 between insulin resistance groups. Female gender and higher insulin resistance groups demonstrated higher positive correlation with total correlation, triglycerides, LDLc, non-HDLc and Lp (a). There were significant differences for triglycerides and Apo-A1 between insulin resistance groups. Female gender and higher insulin resistance groups demonstrated higher positive correlation with total correlation, triglycerides, LDLc, non-HDLc and Lp (a). There were significant differences for triglycerides and Apo-A1 between insulin resistance groups. Female gender and higher insulin resistance groups demonstrated higher

Key words: Apo-A1, Apo-B, Fasting Triglycerides, HOMAIR, HDL-Cholesterol, Lipoprotein (A), LDL-Cholesterol, Total Cholesterol.

INTRODUCTION

Lipid abnormalities considered to are be associated with insulin resistance and inflammatory responses further leading to coronary artery disease (CAD) and ischemic strokes. The current screening paradigm pivots mainly around traditional lipid profile constituting total cholesterol, triglycerides, Low Density Lipid cholesterol (LDLc) and High Density Lipid Cholesterol (HDLc). Provided their routine use various researchers feel that a conventional lipid profile may not be able to clearly demarcate atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD) risk especially once assessed as 10-year CVD risk.¹ Furthermore, ageing reduce the utility to depict the ability of cholesterol to demonstrate underlying cardiovascular disease (CVD).²

Apart from this routine patients with normal lipid profile are undergoing primary and secondary angioplasties, which indicate sub optimal risk stratification by traditional lipid markers.

The conventional lipid parameters are now possible supplanted by newer lipid markers like Apolipoproteins and lipoprotein (a) [Lp(a)]. Literature has shown variable result in comparison to conventional lipid indices. Lp(a) has been shown as an independent risk factor in literature to identify underlying cardiovascular disease.³ However, mixed data prevails in literature for Lp(a) in terms of racial effect on cardiovascular disease where white populace seem to be affected more by this lipoprotein.⁴ Data on the utility of new lipid indicators like Apo-A1 and Apo-B have

1.	MBBS, FO	CPS (C	hemical	Pathology),	MSc	Molecular	Pathology &	Genomics	(UK),	PgD Er	ndocrinology	Diabetes	(UK),
	Certificate	Clinica	I Resear	rch, Certifica	ation I	Healthcare	Professional	Education	(UK),	Assistar	t Professor	Pathology,	PNS
	Hafeez.												

2. Medical Student, Liaquat National Medical College,

Correspondence Address: Dr. Sikandar Hayat Khan Department of Pathology PNS Hafeez. sik_cpsp@yahoo.com

 Article received on:
 17/05/2021

 Accepted for publication:
 06/12/2021

MBBS, FCPS (Radiology), Professor Radiology, PNS Hafeez,
 MBBS, FCPS (Gynecology), Consultant Gynecology, PNS Hafeez Hospital.

been shown in some studies to outperform the conventional lipid indices in earlier studies.5 Similarly, Kelishadi et al have demonstrated ratio between Apo-B/Apo-A1 to be strongly related with insulin resistance and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD).⁶ Contrary to these findings we have data which suggests that Apo-A1 are poorly related with insulin resistance surrogates like Homeostasis Model Assessment for Insulin Resistance (HOMA-IR), Mastuda Index and other risk associations.7 Similarly, there is evidence that myeloperoxidase modified ApoA1 derived from atherogenic plaques becomes inactivated to perform their role in atherogenic plagues.8 The association between conventionally defined risks to developments of ASCVD and insulin resistance remains further evaluation.

We therefore planned a study to evaluate the role of Apo-A1, Apo-B and Lip (a) and conventional lipid parameters among subjects with low and high insulin resistance groups.

MATERIAL & METHODS

This study, cross sectional in design was carried out between January-2018 to January-2020 at Naval Hospital Islamabad. Adult hospital visitors either coming for routine medical checkup were requested for inclusion in the study as target population. These potential participants were explained about the type of study and later publication as a research article. Subjects who consented were then interviewed according to a structured questionnaire and were also asked to sign a written consent. Major exclusions included individuals who were having prior history of any long-term medication or acute illness, diagnosed metabolic disease including diabetes, hypertension or ischemic heart disease (IHD) on treatment, pregnancy, were taking any kind of vitamin or other supplementation, autoimmune disorder or had some major surgical procedure in recent past. Initially selected individuals (n=182) were further taken to medical examination room for general physical examination followed by standardized anthropometric examination as per WHO criteria. Few subjects were excluded due to dermatological conditions (n=2) or further refused to be included in study (n=5).

Following medical examination, 10 ml of blood was collected in Na Flouride and plain gel tubes, for various investigations including Serum insulin, total cholesterol, LDL-cholesterol (LDLc), HDL-cholesterol (HDLc), triglycerides, ApoA1, ApoB and Lp(a). Total cholesterol and triglycerides were analyzed by using CHOD-PAP and GPO-PAP methodology on Selectra-ProM analyzer. HDLc and LDLc were analyzed using direct selective detergent based enzymatic method Selectra-ProM clinical chemistry analyzer.

Few other patients were lost to follow up where we required repetitions due to some technical reasons. Serum Apo-A1, Apo-B and Lp(a) were analyzed on "HumaStar 200" clinical chemistry analyzer. Serum insulin was measured by chemiluminescence technique on Immulite®-1000. Insulin resistance was measured using "Homeostasis Model Assessment for Insulin resistance (HOMAIR)" as per formula by Mathew's et al.⁹

Data was entered into SPSS- version 20. We calculated descriptive statistics for age and gender for using descriptive function. Independent sample t test was used to measure the differences for various lipid parameters and age. Pearson's correlation was used to measure the correlation between various conventional and newer lipid indices with insulin resistance (HOMAIR). Independent t test was utilized to compare the two insulin resistance groups for various lipid indices. General linear model was used to measure the difference between the two groups of insulin resistance as fixed factor and Apo-A1 and Apo-B as variables along with gender.

RESULTS

Mean age among study participants was 43.31+10.31. There were 97 males and 67 females in the data set. The gender based differences between various types of cholesterols and triglycerides are shown in Table-I. Serum triglycerides were higher in male subjects in comparison to females, while Apo-A1 and Lp(a) were lower in male subjects. Apo-A1 showed highest but still weak positive correlation with HDLc, while Apo-B demonstrated higher positive

correlation with total correlation, triglycerides, LDLc, non-HDLc and Lp(a). (Table-II)

Among comparison between high and low insulin resistance groups, we could only demonstrate significant differences between triglycerides and Apo-A1. (Table-III) Analysis for Apo-A1 by keeping it as dependent variable and gender and insulin

resistance groups as fixed variables via General Linear Model suggested that female and low insulin resistance groups demonstrated higher Apo-A1 levels. (Figure-1) General Linear Model for Apo-B contrary to Apo-A1 showed higher insulin resistance with Apo-B and male gender, however the data was not statistically significant. (Figure-2)

Parameters	Gender	Ν	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean	Sig. (2-tailed)	
Total abalastaral (mmal/l)	М	97	4.63	1.05	0.11	0.228	
	F	67	4.46	0.77	0.09	0.220	
Sorum trialy coridos (mmol/L)	М	97	2.29	1.35	0.14	0.014	
Serum ingrycendes (minor/L)	F	67	1.84	0.93	0.11	0.014	
Low density lipoprotein	М	97	2.82	0.85	0.09	0.075	
cholesterol (mmol/L)	F	67	2.96	0.74	0.09	0.275	
High density lipoprotein	М	97	0.81	0.24	0.03	0.005	
cholesterol (mmol/L)	F	67	0.84	0.23	0.03	0.095	
Non-High density lipoprotein	М	97	3.82	0.97	0.10	0 151	
cholesterol (mmol/L)	F	67	3.62	0.74	0.09	0.151	
App A1 (mg/dl)	М	97	126.13	21.97	2.23	0.017	
Apo-AT (Ing/ul)	F	67	135.34	25.24	3.08	0.017	
Ano R (mg/dl)	М	97	66.44	21.75	2.21	0.005	
Аро-в (Шу/а)	F	67	60.84	19.96	2.44	0.095	
	М	97	13.23	9.15	0.93	0.004	
	F	67	16.60	9.39	1.15	0.024	

Table-I. Gender effects on various lipid parameters.

Parameter	Apo-A1	Аро-В	Lp(a)	HOMA-IR			
	Pearson Correlation	0.042	0.439**	0.051	0.113		
Total cholesterol (mmol/L)	Sig. (2-tailed)	0.584	< 0.001	0.510	0.144		
	Ν	164	164	164	164		
	Pearson Correlation	-0.026	0.308**	-0.015	0.136		
Serum triglycerides (mmol/L)	Sig. (2-tailed)	0.733	< 0.001	.850	0.078		
	Ν	164	164	164	164		
Low density linearatein chalasteral	Pearson Correlation	-0.013	0.308**	.138	0.107		
(mmol/L)	Sig. (2-tailed)	0.866	< 0.001	.074	0.166		
	Ν	164	164	164	164		
High density linearctain	Pearson Correlation	0.203**	0.106	087	0.163*		
	Sig. (2-tailed)	0.008	0.168	.263	0.034		
	Ν	164	164	164	164		
Non High density linearctain	Pearson Correlation	-0.007	0.445**	.077	0.079		
	Sig. (2-tailed)	0.933	< 0.001	.320	0.304		
	Ν	164	164	164	164		
	Pearson Correlation	1	0.084	080	0.078		
Apo-A1 (mg/dl)	Sig. (2-tailed)		0.279	.299	0.315		
	Ν	164	164	164	164		
	Pearson Correlation	.084	1	202	0.125		
Apo-B (mg/dl)	Sig. (2-tailed)	.279		.008	0.105		
	Ν	164	164	164	164		
	Pearson Correlation	-0.080	-0.202**	1	-0.028		
Lp(a) in mg/dl	Sig. (2-tailed)	0.299	0.008		0.714		
	N	164	164	164	164		
Table-II. Correlation among lipid parameters with insulin resistance							

Insulin resistance

Parameters	Groups based upon insulin resistance	N	Mean	Std. Dev	Std. Error Mean	Sig. (2-tailed)	
Total abalactoral (mmal/l)	Low insulin resistance group	107	4.58	0.88	0.085	5 2 0.695	
	High insulin resistance group	57	4.52	1.07	0.142		
Corum trich (coridoo (mmol/l))	Low insulin resistance group	107	1.94	1.15	0.111	0.019	
Serum inglycendes (mmol/L)	High insulin resistance group	57	2.41	1.29	0.171		
Low density lipoprotein	Low insulin resistance group	107	2.89	0.74	0.071	0.744	
cholesterol (mmol/L)	High insulin resistance group	57	2.85	0.92	0.122		
High density lipoprotein	Low insulin resistance group	107	0.84	0.22	0.021	0.240	
cholesterol (mmol/L)	High insulin resistance group	57	0.80	0.26	0.034	0.349	
Non-High density lipoprotein	Low insulin resistance group	107	3.75	0.83	0.080	0.864	
cholesterol (mmol/L)	High insulin resistance group	57	3.72	0.99	0.131		
	Low insulin resistance group	107	132.54	54 23.16 2.238		0.050	
Apo-AT (mg/dl)	High insulin resistance group	57	124.91	24.17	3.201	0.050	
	Low insulin resistance group	107	62.79	19.31 1.867		0.059	
Аро-в (mg/ai)	High insulin resistance group	57	66.72	24.22	3.208	0.258	
	Low insulin resistance group	107	15.28	9.53	0.921	0.206	
Lp-a (mg/ui)	High insulin resistance group	57	13.33	9.01	1.193		
Table III. Deletienskip between conventional and non-conventional linid peremeters within incuits resistance group							

Table-III. Relationship between conventional and non-conventional lipid parameters within insulin resistance group.



Figure-1. General linear model (GLM) showing the Apo-A1 as variable with gender as a covariate and insulin resistance as a fixed variable. (Model significance=0.05)

DISCUSSION

The principal findings from our study included the difference between higher and lower insulin resistance groups was only significant for serum triglycerides and Apo-A1 in comparison to other conventional lipid markers and Lp (a) and Apo-B. In this regard most available data shows similar





findings as Feng et al have shown an inverse relationship between insulin resistance and impaired glucose tolerance and Apo-A1.¹⁰ This aspects highlights the protective role of this apolipoprotein in line with HDLc, which has been well-documented overtime for its atherogenic and anti-inflammatory role which contrasts our results.¹¹ However, not all data supports our

findings as Retnakaran et al have shown that Apo-A1 though associated with HDL C have not been shown to be associated with HOMAIR or other measures of insulin resistance.12 However, this study, undeniably significant, was conducted among pregnant subjects unlike ours where possibly other factors could be at play. Furthermore, insulin resistance is a multi-faceted disorder with probable contributions from multiple pathogenic triggers.¹³ The proof of concept slowly emerging in recent times where recombinant Apo-A1 administration has allowed rapid plasma glucose disposal in tissues.¹⁴ Adding further to support our evidence Huang et al have described the role of dysfunctional Apo-A1 as significant in development of atheromatous plaques.¹⁵

Contrary to the inverse association between Apo-A1 and insulin resistance, Apo-B and Lp(a) did not show significant with insulin resistance or Apo-A1. However, Apo-B did show modest correlation with total cholesterol and LDL. There could be possible reasons to these findings: Firstly, we do learn that insulin resistance did cause an increase in Apo-B levels in our study, which was not found to be statistically significant probably due to type-II statistical error. Secondly, the average age among our data set is 43 years where probably the process of insulin resistance has not deeply rooted and thus insulin was mostly active and was able to dispose of atherogenic apolipoproteins perhaps earlier as a compensatory response. This phenomena is presented by Weir et al where early stage beta cell defect is marked by hyperinsulinemia to dispose glucose.16,17

Our data also highlighted that gender differences were shown to be important for Apo-A1, Apo-B1 and Lp(a), which could confound a generalization based data interpretation especially for Apolipoproteins. Some of the studies have shown separate reference ranges for Apo-A1 and Apo-B with former on the lower side in males and later being on the higher side among males.^{18,19} Interestingly Lp(a) levels were not found to be associated with insulin resistance, which looked quite intriguing at first instance. Review of existing data however, supplanted our findings. Fatty liver disease, considered usually a sequel to insulin resistance was observed to have very low Lp(a) indicating an opposite association between insulin resistance and Lp(a).²⁰ Similarly, Rhee et al have demonstrated that subject with low Lp(a) at baseline were prone to have type-2 diabetes mellitus and higher HOMAIR results further validating our inverse relation between insulin resistance and Lp(a).²¹ Moreover, our findings are in accordance with the earlier identified observation about Lp(a) as an independent risk factor for Cardio Vascular Disease (CVD).²²

Our study had few limitations: This study is a small-sample sized cross-sectional study with more emphasis on lipids, lipoproteins and apolipoprotein evaluation with regards to insulin resistance. A well-controlled trial to fine-tune the exactness of relationship between various measures of insulin resistance and these lipid parameters on a larger sample size must be conducted. Furthermore, we never intended to include known cases of metabolic diseases including ischemic heart disease (IHD), diabetes mellitus and hypertension in our sample size but will be very interesting to learn about changes in Apo-A1. Apo-B and Lp(a) in these diseases.

Though limitations we feel our study has important clinical implications: The study highlights apart from the link of Apo-A1, Apo-B and Lp(a) in comparison with conventional lipid indices in subjects with high and low insulin resistance in our population. Secondly, it identified the requirement of separate reference ranges for both genders, which we feel must be done for their appropriate utilization in clinical care pathways. Lastly, we still need to extend our research to other lipoproteins and enzymes which are involved in transfer of cholesterol between lipoproteins to define personalized medicine for patients.

CONCLUSION

Apo-A1 showed highest but still weak positive correlation with HDLc, while Apo-B demonstrated higher positive correlation with total correlation, triglycerides, LDLc, non-HDLc and Lp(a). There were significant differences for triglycerides and Apo-A1 between insulin resistance groups. Female gender and higher insulin resistance groups demonstrated higher Apo-A1 levels. **Copyright© 06 Dec, 2021.**

REFERENCES

- Lin HQ, Wu JY, Chen ML, Chen FQ, Liao YJ, Wu YT, Guo ZJ. Prevalence of dyslipidemia and prediction of 10year CVD risk among older adults living in southeast coastal regions in China: A cross-sectional study. Clin Interv Aging. 2019 Jun 20; 14:1119-1129. doi: 10.2147/ CIA.S207665. PMID: 31354254; PMCID: PMC6590841.
- Kannel WB. Cholesterol and risk of coronary heart disease and mortality in men. Clin Chem. 1988; 34(8B):B53-9. PMID: 3042200.
- Ferretti G, Bacchetti T, Johnston TP, Banach M, Pirro M, Sahebkar A. Lipoprotein(a): A missing culprit in the management of athero-thrombosis? J Cell Physiol. 2018 Apr; 233(4):2966-2981. doi: 10.1002/jcp.26050. Epub 2017 Jul 11. PMID: 28608522.
- Steffen BT, Duprez D, Bertoni AG, Guan W, Tsai MY. Lp(a) [Lipoprotein(a)]-Related risk of heart failure is evident in whites but not in other racial/ethnic groups. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol. 2018 Oct; 38(10):2498-2504. doi: 10.1161/ATVBAHA.118.311220. PMID: 30354212; PMCID: PMC6207211.
- Avogaro P, Bon GB, Cazzolato G, Quinci GB. Are apolipoproteins better discriminators than lipids for atherosclerosis? Lancet. 1979 Apr 28; 1(8122):901-3. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(79)91375-8. PMID: 86668.
- Kelishadi R, Cook SR, Amra B, Adibi A. Factors associated with insulin resistance and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease among youths. Atherosclerosis. 2009 Jun; 204(2):538-43. doi: 10.1016/j. atherosclerosis.2008.09.034. Epub 2008 Oct 9. PMID: 19013572.
- Retnakaran R, Ye C, Connelly PW, Hanley AJ, Sermer M, Zinman B. Serum apoA1 (Apolipoprotein A-1), Insulin resistance, and the risk of gestational diabetes mellitus in human pregnancy-brief report. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol. 2019 Oct; 39(10):2192-2197. doi: 10.1161/ATVBAHA.119.313195. Epub 2019 Aug 15. PMID: 31412738.
- Cibičková Ľ, Karásek D, Langová K, Vaverková H, Orság J, Lukeš J, Novotný D. Correlation of lipid parameters and markers of insulin resistance: Does smoking make a difference? Physiol Res. 2014; 63(Suppl 3):S387-93. doi: 10.33549/physiolres.932869. PMID: 25428744.

- Matthews DR, Hosker JP, Rudenski AS, Naylor BA, Treacher DF, Turner RC. Homeostasis model assessment: Insulin resistance and beta-cell function from fasting plasma glucose and insulin concentrations in man. Diabetologia. 1985 Jul; 28(7):412-9. doi: 10.1007/BF00280883. PMID: 3899825.
- Feng X, Gao X, Yao Z, Xu Y. Low apoA-I is associated with insulin resistance in patients with impaired glucose tolerance: A cross-sectional study. Lipids Health Dis. 2017 Apr 4; 16(1):69. doi: 10.1186/s12944-017-0446-1. PMID: 28372564; PMCID: PMC5379622.
- Hoofnagle AN, Vaisar T, Mitra P, Chait A. HDL lipids and insulin resistance. Curr Diab Rep. 2010 Feb; 10(1):78-86. doi: 10.1007/s11892-009-0085-7. PMID: 20425071.
- Retnakaran R, Ye C, Connelly PW, Hanley AJ, Sermer M, Zinman B. Serum apoA1 (Apolipoprotein A-1), insulin resistance, and the risk of gestational diabetes mellitus in human pregnancy-brief report. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol. 2019 Oct; 39(10):2192-2197. doi: 10.1161/ATVBAHA.119.313195. Epub 2019 Aug 15. PMID: 31412738.
- Wu WC, Wei JN, Chen SC, Fan KC, Lin CH, Yang CY, Lin MS, Shih SR, Hua CH, Hsein YC, Chuang LM, Li HY.
 Progression of insulin resistance: A link between risk factors and the incidence of diabetes. Diabetes Res Clin Pract. 2020 Mar; 161:108050. doi: 10.1016/j. diabres.2020.108050. Epub 2020 Feb 5. PMID: 32035116.
- Fritzen AM, Domingo-Espín J, Lundsgaard AM, Kleinert M, Israelsen I, Carl CS, Nicolaisen TS, Kjøbsted R, Jeppesen JF, Wojtaszewski JFP, Lagerstedt JO, Kiens B. ApoA-1 improves glucose tolerance by increasing glucose uptake into heart and skeletal muscle independently of AMPKa₂. Mol Metab. 2020 May; 35:100949. doi: 10.1016/j.molmet.2020.01.013. Epub 2020 Mar 4. PMID: 32244181; PMCID: PMC7082546.
- Huang Y, DiDonato JA, Levison BS, Schmitt D, Li L, Wu Y, Buffa J, Kim T, Gerstenecker GS, Gu X, Kadiyala CS, Wang Z, Culley MK, Hazen JE, Didonato AJ, Fu X, Berisha SZ, Peng D, Nguyen TT, Liang S, Chuang CC, Cho L, Plow EF, Fox PL, Gogonea V, Tang WH, Parks JS, Fisher EA, Smith JD, Hazen SL. An abundant dysfunctional apolipoprotein A1 in human atheroma. Nat Med. 2014 Feb; 20(2):193-203. doi: 10.1038/ nm.3459. Epub 2014 Jan 26. PMID: 24464187; PMCID: PMC3923163.
- Weir GC, Bonner-Weir S. Five stages of evolving betacell dysfunction during progression to diabetes. Diabetes. 2004 Dec; 53 Suppl 3:S16-21. doi: 10.2337/ diabetes.53.suppl_3.s16. PMID: 15561905.

- 17. Kahn SE. The importance of the beta-cell in the pathogenesis of type 2 diabetes mellitus. Am J Med. 2000 Apr 17; 108 Suppl 6a:2S-8S. doi: 10.1016/s0002-9343(00)00336-3. PMID: 10764844.
- Tognon G, Berg C, Mehlig K, Thelle D, Strandhagen E, Gustavsson J, Rosengren A, Lissner L. Comparison of apolipoprotein (apoB/apoA-I) and lipoprotein (total cholesterol/HDL) ratio determinants. Focus on obesity, diet and alcohol intake. PLoS One. 2012; 7(7):e40878. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0040878. Epub 2012 Jul 25. PMID: 22848405; PMCID: PMC3405058.
- Brunner EJ, Marmot MG, White IR, O'Brien JR, Etherington MD, Slavin BM, Kearney EM, Smith GD.
 Gender and employment grade differences in blood cholesterol, apolipoproteins and haemostatic factors in the Whitehall II study. Atherosclerosis. 1993 Sep; 102(2):195-207. doi: 10.1016/0021-9150(93)90162-n. PMID: 8251006.
- Jung I, Kwon H, Park SE, Park CY, Lee WY, Oh KW, Park SW, Rhee EJ. Serum lipoprotein (a) levels and insulin resistance have opposite effects on fatty liver disease. Atherosclerosis. 2020 Sep; 308:1-5. doi: 10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2020.07.020. Epub 2020 Jul 30. PMID: 32771802.

- Rhee EJ, Cho JH, Lee DY, Kwon H, Park SE, Park CY, Oh KW, Park SW, Lee WY. Insulin resistance contributes more to the increased risk for diabetes development in subjects with low lipoprotein (a) level than insulin secretion. PLoS One. 2017 May 16; 12(5):e0177500. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0177500. PMID: 28510610; PMCID: PMC5433708.
- Cegla J, Neely RDG, France M, Ferns G, Byrne CD, Halcox J, Datta D, Capps N, Shoulders C, Qureshi N, Rees A, Main L, Cramb R, Viljoen A, Payne J, Soran H; HEART UK Medical, Scientific and Research Committee. HEART UK consensus statement on Lipoprotein (a): A call to action. Atherosclerosis. 2019 Dec; 291:62-70. doi: 10.1016/j.atherosclerosis.2019.10.011. Epub 2019 Oct 14. Erratum in: Atherosclerosis. 2020 Feb 1; 296:48. PMID: 31704552.

AUTHORSHIP AND CONTRIBUTION DECLARATION

No.	Author(s) Full Name	Contribution to the paper	Author(s) Signature
1	Sikandar Hayat Khan	Corresponding Author, Idear, Sampling lab testing, Statistical data analysis, Medical Writing, Discussion and conclusion.	Komby MANY
2	Ahmed Hayat Afzal	Data compilation, analysis and manuscript finalization.	TIPR
3	Rahat Shahid	Data analysis, Medical writing, Manuscript finalization.	Ca
4	Robina Manzoor	Sampling statistical and data analysis.	(Zohiu