# **CARDIOMYOPATHY**;

EFFECT OF LIMITING SALT & WATER INTAKE ON PERIPHERAL **FDFMA IN PATIENTS** 

#### Ammar Asghar<sup>1</sup>, Aneeqa Ilyas<sup>2</sup>, Tuba Jamil<sup>3</sup>, Umair Asghar<sup>4</sup>

ABSTRACT... Background: Peripheral edema is associated with cardiomyopathy. It is commonly observed in patients of heart diseases. It is known that restriction of sodium products can reduce edema. So it was planned to conduct the study and find the effectiveness of salt and water restriction in cardiomyopathy patients having peripheral edema. Objectives: To compare the peripheral edema by restricting salt and water consumption in patients with cardiomyopathy. Study Design: Randomized control trial. Setting: Department of Cardiology, Punjab Institute of Cardiology, Lahore. Duration: Six months. Methodology: 50 cases of cardiomyopathy with peripheral edema were randomly divided in 2 groups. In group A, patients were instructed to reduce salt and water intake per day and in group B, no water and salt restriction was instructed. All patients were followed-up in OPD for 15 days. Then patients were assessed for peripheral edema. Chi square test was applied to compare the frequency of peripheral edema in both groups. P-value < 0.05 was considered as significant. Results: The mean age of the patients was 46.00±12.98 years. There were 48% males and 52% females. Mean duration of cardiomyopathy was 5.56±2.98 years. The mean reduction in salt intake was 0.57±0.22 grams in experimental group and 0.42±0.21 grams in control group (P<0.05). The mean reduction in water intake was 0.72L in experimental group and 0.53L in control group (P<0.05). Peripheral edema was found in 8% in experimental group and 44% in control group (P<0.05). Conclusion: Our study results concluded that salt and water restriction can reduce peripheral edema in patients with cardiomyopathy and thus improve condition of patient.

Key words: Cardiomyopathy, Salt, Water, Restriction, Peripheral Edema.

Article Citation: Asghar A, Ilyas A, Jamil T, Asghar U. Cardiomyopathy; effect of limiting salt & water intake on peripheral edema in patients. Professional Med J 2018; 25(4):497-501. DOI:10.29309/TPMJ/18.4195

The cardiac cause of edema was found in 23% patients.<sup>1</sup> Blood pressure associated ailments, particularly cerebrovascular accidents, coronary artery disease, heart failure, and renal diseases, play important role in increasing morbidity and mortality throughout the world.<sup>2</sup> Worldwide, hypertension is reported in 54% of patients with cerebrovascular accidents while 47% of cases with coronary heart attacks.<sup>3</sup>

There may be two main factors, which if in control, then the chances of hypertension and hypertension related morbidity and mortality can be reduced. These are: BP <120/80mmHg and sodium intake<1500mg/dl. The aim of this recommendation has 2 key features: first is to highlight the remarkable evidence that associate

sodium consumption with high blood pressure and other adverse consequences, & second is to assist as a call to action on behalf of American Heart Association for public, general practitioners, health departments, governments and industry to state this foremost public health problem.4

Salt is major component of food. Sodium, commonly consumed in table salt (sodium chloride). However, it is also consumed in non-chloride forms like sodium bicarbonate and monosodium glutamate. Salt provides about 90% of dietary sodium which a normal person consumes every.<sup>4</sup> Limited salt and water consumption can have a significant improvement in a cardiac health of cardiac patients. Salt & water limitation are suggested for patients with heart diseases in international guidelines.<sup>5,6</sup> But

1 MBBS House Officer Department of Medicine Services Hospital Lahore 2. FCPS (Medicine) Assistant Professor of Medicine

Department of East Medical Ward KEMU, Mayo Hospital Lahore. 3 MBBS

House Officer Department of Surgery Services Hospital Lahore. 4 MBBS

Postgraduate Resident of FCPS Cardiology Punjab Institute of Cardiology, Lahore.

#### **Correspondence Address:**

Dr. Umair Asghar Allied Homes, House No C-468-E, Main Eden Cottage Road, Near Iqbal Park, DHA Phase 03, Defense Lahore umairasghar51@yahoo.com

Article received on: 27/07/2017 Accepted for publication: 15/12/2017

Received after proof reading: 05/04/2018

# INTRODUCTION

very few work has been done in restricting salt and water intake in patients of cardiomyopathy with peripheral edema. So we conducted this study to get the evidence whether restriction of salt and water intake can be helpful in reducing edema and improving patients' condition.

# **OBJECTIVE**

To compare the peripheral edema by restricting salt and water consumption in patients with cardiomyopathy.

# **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Study Design** 

Randomized Controlled trial.

# Setting

Cardiology department, Punjab Institute of Cardiology, Lahore.

# **Study Duration**

6 months.

# **Sample Size**

50cases with 95% confidence level, 12% margin of error and taking percentage of peripheral edema i.e. 23% in cases of cardiomyopathy.

### **Sampling Technique**

Non-probability, consecutive sampling.

#### **Selection Criteria**

Patients aged 30 – 80 years of either gender with cardiomyopathy and peripheral edema. Patients with valvular heart disease, or valvular replacement, patients already had restriction to salt and water were not included.

#### **Data Collection Procedure**

50 patients fulfilling the inclusion criteria were selected. Informed consent was obtained. Demographic information was also be obtained. Then patients were randomly divided in two group by using bingo method. In group A, patients were instructed to reduce salt and water intake per day and in group B, no water and salt restriction was instructed. All patients were followed-up in OPD for 15 days. Then patients were assessed for peripheral edema.

# **Statistical Analysis**

The collected information was analyzed through SPSS 21. Chi square test was applied to compare the frequency of peripheral edema in both groups. P-value<0.05 was considered as significant.

# RESULTS

The mean age of patients was  $45.76 \pm 13.29$  years in experimental group and  $46.24 \pm 12.93$  years in control group. In the trial, there were 14 males and 11 females were randomized to experimental group and 10 males and 15 females were randomized to control group. In experimental group, patients had  $6.24 \pm 2.77$  years of cardiomyopathy while  $4.88 \pm 3.09$  years in control group. In experimental group, 18(72%) patients had previous MI and in control group, 10(40%)patients had previous MI. In experimental group, 12(48%) patients had diabetes and in control group, 12(48%) patients had diabetes. (Table-I)

At baseline, salt intake was 6.48±1.58g in experimental group and 6.22±1.60g in control group. After 15 days, salt intake was 2.70±1.32g in experimental cases while 3.50±1.30g in control cases. The difference was calculated as significant (p=0.035). There was 0.57±0.22g reduction in salt intake in experimental cases while 0.42±0.21g reduction in control cases. The difference was calculated as significant (p=0.015). At baseline, water intake was 4.08±1.25L in experimental cases and 3.72±1.34L in control cases. After 15 days, water intake was 1.06±0.44L in experimental cases while 1.76±1.02L in control cases. The difference was calculated as significant (p=0.003). There was 0.72±0.13L reduction in water intake in experimental cases while 0.53±0.21L reduction in control cases. The difference was calculated as significant (p=0.000). (Table-II)

In experimental group, there were 2(8.0%) who had peripheral edema while 23(92%) patients resolved the peripheral edema. In control group, there were 11(44%) who had peripheral edema while 14(56%) patients resolved the peripheral edema. The difference between both groups was calculated as significant (p=0.004). (Table-III)

#### CARDIOMYOPATHY

, 5	Study group		
Experimental	Control		
25	25		
45.76±13.29	46.24±12.93		
14/11	10/15		
6.24±2.77	4.88±3.09		
18(72%)	10(40%)		
12(48%)	12(48%)		
	25 45.76±13.29 14/11 6.24±2.77 18(72%)		

		Study Group		n velve	
		Experimental	Control	p-value	
	Baseline	6.48±1.58	6.22±1.60	0.567	
Salt intake	After 15days	2.70±1.32	3.50±1.30	0.035	
	Reduction	0.57±0.22*	0.42±0.21	0.015	
	Baseline	4.08±1.25	3.72±1.34	0.330	
Water intake	After 15days	1.06±0.44	1.76±1.02	0.003	
	Reduction	0.72±0.13*	0.53±0.21	0.000	
Table-II. Comparison of salt and water output in both study groups					

= p=0.000 (Paired sample t-test, significant reduction in both groups)

Devinhevel edeme	Group		Tatal			
Peripheral edema	Experimental	Control	Total			
Yes	2(8.0%)	11(44%)	13(26%)			
No	23(92%)	14(56%)	37(74%)			
Total	25	25	50			
Table-III. Comparison of peripheral edema in both groups after treatment						

\*p = 0.004 (Significant)

# DISCUSSION

In our study, the mean age of patients was  $45.76\pm13.29$  years in experimental group and  $46.24\pm12.93$  years in control group. There were 14 males and 11females were randomized to experimental group while 10males and 15 females were randomized to control group. In experimental group, patients had  $6.24\pm2.77$  years of cardiomyopathy while  $4.88\pm3.09$  years in control group. In experimental group. In experimental group, 18(72%) patients had previous MI and in control group, 10(40%) patients had previous MI. In experimental group, 12(48%) patients had diabetes.

At baseline, salt intake was  $6.48 \pm 1.58$ g which was reduced to  $2.70 \pm 1.32$ g in experimental group, while in control group, salt intake was  $6.22 \pm 1.60$ g which was reduced to  $3.50 \pm 1.30$ g. The difference was significant (p<0.005). Thus there was more reduction of salt intake in experimental group  $(0.57\pm0.22g)$  as compared to control group  $(0.42\pm0.21g, p=0.015)$ . At baseline, water intake was  $4.08\pm1.25L$  which was reduced to  $1.06\pm0.44L$  in experimental group and in control group  $3.72\pm1.34L$  which was reduced to  $1.76\pm1.02L$ . The difference was significant (p<0.05). Thus there was more reduction in water intake in experimental group  $(0.72\pm0.13L)$ as compared to control group  $(0.53\pm0.21L)$ . The difference was significant (p=0.000).

In a study Colin Ramirez and co-workerslimited the salt and water intake but with a more complicated diet and found that reduced consumption of salt and fluid and less urinary sodium excretion cause less frequent edema & weakness and improved the condition and quality of life of cardiac patient.<sup>7</sup> These results were consistent with findings of Philipson study i.e. if salt and fluid intake are not controlled, and urinary sodium excretion is high, it would makes it difficult to estimate adherence to dietary restrictions.<sup>8</sup> For so long, fluid limitation of 1.5L daily was recommended for cardiac patients in international guidelines.<sup>9,10</sup> Very limited randomized trials have been done in which fluid restriction in cardiac patients was done. Like, one trial compared one group of fluid-restriction (1L/day) with that of no fluid intake restriction, it showed that insignificant differences present in both groups regarding condition and quality of life of patient. Thus, it was concluded that restricting fluid intake has no clinical advantage in such cases.<sup>11</sup>

In another retrial, Holst et al., compared two different regimens i.e. liberal fluid intake (30-35mL/kg/day) and restricted fluid intake (1.5L/ days). But there was insignificant difference in both groups regarding body weight, clinical condition, quality of life and or working.<sup>12</sup> But, patients who had liberal fluid had less thirst complaints.<sup>13</sup> In another trial, it was evaluated that if limited sodium and water is taken, whether it would improve condition of cardiac patients. Patients in experimental group were advised to take sodium (2-3g/day) while water (1.5L/day) but controls had routine diet plan. Water intake was decreased in experimental group than control group: 1.6±0.4L to 1.2±0.5L vs. 1.7±0.8L to 1.6±0.9L (p=0.04). Consequently, cardiac patients were capable of controlling sodium and water consumption.<sup>14</sup> In our study, with salt and water restriction, peripheral edema was resolved in 23(92%) patients while in 14(56%) as compared to normal diet group (control group). The difference between both groups was found to be significant (p=0.004).

# CONCLUSION

Results of our study concluded that salt and water restriction can reduce peripheral edema in patients with cardiomyopathy and thus improve condition of patient. It is now recommended that salt and water restriction will be advised to cardiomyopathy patients to prevent and control peripheral edema and improve patient condition. **Copyright**© **15 Dec, 2017.** 

#### REFERENCES

1. Shah MG, Cho S, Atwood JE, Heidenreich PA.

Peripheral edema due to heart disease: diagnosis and outcome. Clinical cardiology 2006; 29(1):31-5.

- Lloyd-Jones D, Adams R, Carnethon M, De Simone G, Ferguson TB, Flegal K, et al. Heart disease and stroke statistics—2009 update. Circulation 2009; 119(3):e21-e181.
- Lawes CM, Vander Hoorn S, Rodgers A. Global burden of blood-pressure-related disease, 2001. The Lancet 2008; 371(9623):1513-8.
- Appel LJ, Frohlich ED, Hall JE, Pearson TA, Sacco RL, Seals DR, et al. The importance of populationwide sodium reduction as a means to prevent cardiovascular disease and stroke. Circulation 2011; 123(10):1138-43.
- McMurray JJ, Adamopoulos S, Anker SD, Auricchio A, Böhm M, Dickstein K, et al. ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure 2012. European journal of heart failure 2012; 14(8):803-69.
- Dickstein K, Vardas PE, Auricchio A, Daubert J-C, Linde C, McMurray J, et al. 2010 Focused Update of ESC guidelines on device therapy in heart failure: an update of the 2008 ESC guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure and the 2007 ESC guidelines for cardiac and resynchronization therapy developed with the special contribution of the Heart Failure Association and the European Heart Rhythm Association. European heart journal 2010; 31(21):2677-87.
- Ramírez EC, Martínez LC, Tejeda AO, González VR, David RN, Lafuente EA. Effects of a nutritional intervention on body composition, clinical status, and quality of life in patients with heart failure. Nutrition 2004; 20(10):890-5.
- Philipson H, Ekman I, Forslund HB, Swedberg K, Schaufelberger M. Salt and fluid restriction is effective in patients with chronic heart failure. European journal of heart failure 2013; 15(11):1304-10.
- Dickstein K, Cohen Solal A, Filippatos G, McMurray JJ, Ponikowski P, Poole Wilson PA, et al. ESC guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure 2008. European journal of heart failure 2008; 10(10):933-89.
- 10. Hunt SA, Abraham WT, Chin MH, Feldman AM, Francis GS, Ganiats TG, et al. 2009 focused update incorporated into the ACC/AHA 2005 guidelines for the diagnosis and management of heart failure in adults: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines developed in collaboration with the International Society for Heart

"

**and Lung Transplantation.** Journal of the American College of Cardiology 2009; 53(15):e1-e90.

- Travers B, O'Loughlin C, Murphy NF, Ryder M, Conlon C, Ledwidge M, et al. Fluid restriction in the management of decompensated heart failure: no impact on time to clinical stability. Journal of cardiac failure 2007; 13(2):128-32.
- 12. Holst M, Strömberg A, Lindholm M, Willenheimer R. Liberal versus restricted fluid prescription in stabilised patients with chronic heart failure: result of a randomised cross-over study of the effects on

health-related quality of life, physical capacity, thirst and morbidity. Scandinavian Cardiovascular Journal 2008; 42(5):316-22.

- Van Der Wal MH, Jaarsma T, Moser DK, Veeger NJ, van Gilst WH, van Veldhuisen DJ. Compliance in heart failure patients: the importance of knowledge and beliefs. European Heart Journal 2005; 27(4):434-40.
- Philipson H, Ekman I, Swedberg K, Schaufelberger M. A pilot study of salt and water restriction in patients with chronic heart failure. Scandinavian Cardiovascular Journal 2010; 44(4):209-14.

Without rain nothing grows learn to embrace the storms of your life.

– Unknown –

#### AUTHORSHIP AND CONTRIBUTION DECLARATION Sr. # Author-s Full Name Author=s Signature Contribution to the paper Ammar Asghar 1 Writing of manuscript April 2 Aneeqa Ilyas Guidelines in writing Tune 3 Tuba Jamil Compliance of results + Proofreading mille 4 Umair Asghar **Electrical Analysis**