

DOI: 10.17957/TPMJ/16.3408

# **BRUCELLOSIS**;

STUDY OF 200 CASES OF BRUCELLOSIS

rmalik706@gmail.com

- FCPS Medicine
   Assistant Prof. Medicine
   Frontier Medical College,
   Abbottabad.
- 2. FCPS General Surgery AYUB Teaching Hospital, Abbottabad.
- Assistant Professor Surgery Frontier Medical College, Abbottabad.

#### Correspondence Address:

Dr. Rafaqat Malik FCPS Medicine Assistant Prof. Medicine Frontier medical college Abbottabad. Address: c/of London book house opposite AYUB Medical College Abbottabad. rmalik706@qmail.com

Article received on: 18/04/2016
Accepted for publication: 15/07/2016
Received after proof reading:

INTRODUCTION

10/09/2016

# Dr. Rafaqat Malik<sup>1</sup>, Dr. Shazma Begum<sup>2</sup>, Dr. Zar Khan<sup>3</sup>

ABSTRACT... Objectives: Brucellosis is one of the most common zoonotic diseases and is still a great health problem in Middle East, the Mediterranean and South Asia. The aim of this study was to evaluate epidemiological and clinical features of brucellosis. Study Design: Cross-sectional study. Period: 1st Jan 2015 to 31st Dec 2015. Setting: Registered medical specialist clinic in a rural area of District Mansehra of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa province of Pakistan. Materials and Methods: In this study, two hundred adult patients with brucellosis were included from a registered medical specialist clinic in Mansehra District of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa province of Pakistan, Diagnosis of brucellosis was made using Standard Tube Agglutination test (STA). Epidemiological and clinical characteristics were evaluated. Results: Out of two hundred patients with brucellosis, 64% were female and 36% male. Fever was the most common symptom (84%), followed by low backache (76%), arthralgias (66%), and headache (59%). Hepatomegaly was seen in 18% while splenomegaly was present in 28%. Use of unpasteurized milk and dairy products was present in 66% of patients while 36% of patients were involved in animal care. Conclusion: Brucellosis should always be considered in patients presenting with fever, low backache, joint pains and headache in endemic areas.

**Key words:** Brucellosis, Clinical features, Epidemiology.

Article Citation: Malik R, Begum S, Khan Z. Brucellosis; study of 200 cases of brucellosis. Professional Med J 2016;23(9):1060-1063. DOI: 10.17957/TPMJ/16.3408

Human brucellosis, more commonly known as undulant fever, is the most frequently found zoonotic disease throughout the world. Annually over 500,000 new cases are reported from all over the globe. Brucellosis is endemic in many regions of world including the Middle East, Asia, Africa, Mediterranean and Latin America. In a study conducted in occupational groups in Pakistan overall sero-prevalence was found to be 6.9%. Islam et al found that prevalence of brucellosis in Bangladesh was 2.5% - 18.6%.

Transmission of brucella to human beings most commonly occurs through direct contact with infected tissues via breaks in skin, ingestion of contaminated unpasteurized milk and milk products and inhalation of aerosols containing brucella. <sup>5,6</sup> Occupations which bring individuals in direct contact with livestock in endemic areas increase risk of acquiring infection. <sup>6</sup> The incubation period varies from few days to several

weeks.<sup>7</sup> Human brucellosis usually presents acutely (less than 2 months) or sub-acutely (2-12 months) as a febrile illness. It can also progress to chronic stage.<sup>8</sup> Brucellosis being a systemic infection has protean of nonspecific clinical manifestations.<sup>9</sup> Acute brucellosis presents with swinging fever, rigors, malaise, headache, joint and muscle pain and scrotal pain.<sup>10</sup> Sub-acute brucellosis is most commonly characterized by persistent and recurrent fever while chronic cases may be complicated by arthritis, osteomyelitis, sacroilitis, spondylitis, meningoencphalitis and endocarditis.<sup>11</sup>

Brucellosis may cause abortion in pregnant women. 12 Fever, hepatosplenomegaley and lymphadenopathy are the most common physical findings. 7

A large health and economic benefit can be achieved by control of brucellosis in animals in countries with limited resources.<sup>13</sup> A study from

BRUCELLOSIS 2

Uganda showed that 47% risk reduction in human brucellosis could be achieved by introduction of pasteurization in urban milk production chains.<sup>14</sup>

### **MATERIAL AND METHODS**

This cross-sectional study was conducted from 1st Jan 2015 to 31st Dec 2015 in a registered medical specialist clinic in a rural area of District Mansehra of Khyber Pakhtunkhwa province of Pakistan. The study included 200 patients of Brucellosis diagnosed on basis of positive Standard Tube Agglutination (STA) test (titer ≥1/160).15 Patients whose age was 15 years or more were included in study. After taking verbal consent, information of clinical features and risk factors were collected from all patients and entered on a structured proforma. Depending upon duration of illness patients were classified as having acute (<3 months), sub-acute (3-12 months) and chronic (>12 month) brucellosis.16 Data was analyzed using SPSS 20.

### **RESULT**

Out of 200 patients 128 (64 %) were female and 72 (36 %) were male. Most of patients (57%) were less than 40 years (Table-I). Most of the patients (56%) were infected by both B. miletensis and B.abortus. B. abortus alone was responsible for 36% cases while B. miletensis for 8%. Fever was the most common symptom while sacroiliac tenderness was the most common sign of brucellosis (Table-II). Out of 200 patients 66 % had history of use of unpasteurized milk and milk products while 36% had history of animal exposure. Most of the patients (44%) presented with sub-acute illness while 33% had acute and 23% had chronic brucellosis.

Age group	Cases	Percentage
15-40 years	114	57
41-60 years	70	35
>60 years	16	8

Table-I. Age Distribution n=200

Clinical Features	Cases	Percentage		
Fever	168	84		
Lower Backache	152	76		
Arthralgia	132	66		
Headache	118	59		
Constitunional sympyoms	80	40		
Sacro-Iliac tenderness	82	41		
Lymphadenopathy	60	30		
Splenomegaley	56	28		
Hepatomegaley	36	18		
Table-II. Frequency of clinical features n=200				

#### **DISCUSSION**

Brucellosis, one of the most common zoonotic diseases, is still an important health problem in underdeveloped countries. In our study most of the patients (64%) were females. Svas L et al15 showed that 72.9% of patients were female and 27.1% were male. Guler H et al<sup>17</sup> and Buzgan T et al<sup>18</sup> also showed female predominance. Brucellosis most commonly affects young people as was seen in our study, where 57% of patients were aged 15-40 years. Gender and age distribution seen in our study is result of regional habits, mostly due to husbandry practices that predisposes females to acquire brucellosis in rural settings. Our results were similar to Fallatah et al<sup>19</sup> and Bosilkovski M et al<sup>20</sup> who respectively found that 60% and 46% patients were younger than 40 years.

Brucella miletensis, one of the four species of brucella, causes the most severe and acute cases of brucellosis.<sup>21</sup> Brucella abortus is more widely distributed throughout the world than B. miletensis.<sup>22</sup> In our study 36% of patients were infected with B. abortus and 56% of patients were infected with both B. abortus and B. miletensis.

Brucellosis is transmitted to humans either through contaminated milk or through contact with infected animals. Unpasteurized milk and its products like soft cheese, yogurts and ice creams may contain large amounts of bacteria and consumption of these is an important route of transmission to humans.<sup>23</sup> The bacterium may also enter human body through lungs or breeches in skin. Boiling or pasteurization of milk and milk

BRUCELLOSIS 3

products kills Brucellae which can survive up to 8 weeks in unpasteurized white soft cheese and are not killed by freezing.<sup>24</sup>

Occupational contact with livestock (livestock owners, shepherds, veterinarians and slaughter house workers) in endemic areas increases risk of acquiring brucellosis.<sup>6</sup>

In our study, 66% of patients had history of use of unpasteurized milk and milk products and 36% patients were involved in domesticated animal care. Our results were comparable with Guler et al<sup>17</sup> who found that 75.6% of patients had history of exposure to unpasteurized dairy products. Buzgan T et al<sup>18</sup> found that 63.6% of patients had a history of consumption of raw milk and dairy products while 42.3% had a history of raising livestock. In other studies, <sup>15,25</sup> lower frequencies of consumption of unpasteurized dairy products were noted.

In our study fever was the most common presentation (84%), followed by low backache, joint pains and headache. Constitutional symptoms (anorexia, asthenia, fatigue and weakness) were seen in 40% of patients. Our results were similar to Eini P et al<sup>26</sup> who found that the most common clinical manifestations were fever (77.4%) and joint pains (70%). Mugahi et al<sup>27</sup> and Sofian M et al<sup>28</sup> also noted fever and joint pains to be the most common presentations of brucellosis.

Physical findings reported in different studies vary to great extent. This variation in physical findings is most probably linked to difference in disease duration.<sup>29</sup> Frequency of splenomegaly (28%) seen in our study was comparable with Dilek et al<sup>30</sup> (26.9%) but much lower than Bosilkovski et al<sup>20</sup> (51%). Frequency of hepatomegaly (18%) seen in our study was similar to that seen by Savas L et al<sup>15</sup> (20.7%).

## **CONCLUSION**

Brucellosis is a great public health problem in countries where consumption of raw milk and/ or its products and unhygienic practices in livestock keeping prevail. Brucellosis presents in many nonspecific ways and needs low threshold in high risk areas for early diagnosis. Human Brucellosis can be prevented by disease elimination from domestic livestock, pasteurization of milk and education of those involve in animal care.

Copyright© 15 July, 2016.

#### **REFERENCES**

- Al Dahouk S, Tomaso H, Nockler K, Neubauer H, Frangoulidis D. Laboratory based diagnosis of brucellosis- a review of the literature. Part II: serological tests for brucellosis. Clin Lab 2003; 49(11-12):577-89.
- 2. Pappas G, Papadimitriou P, Akritidis N, Christou L, Tsianos EV. **The new global map of human brucellosis.** Lancet Infect Dis. 2006; 6:91-9.
- Ali S, Ali Q, Neubauer H, Melzer F, Elschner M, Khan I, et al. Seroprevalence and risk factors associated with brucellosis as a professional hazard in Pakistan. Foodborne Pathog Dis 2003; 10: 500-5.
- Islam MA, Khatun MM, Werre SR, Sriranganathan N, Boyle SM. A review of Brucella prevalence among humans and animals in Bangladesh with special emphasis on epidemiology, risk factors and control opportunities. Vet Microbiol 2013; 166:317-26.
- 5. Doganay M, Aygen B. **Human brucellosis: an overview.** Int J Infect Dis 2003: 7: 173-82.
- 6. Corbel MJ, 2006. **Brucellosis in Humans and Animals.** Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization.
- Schwartz BS. Bacterial and Chlamydial infections. In: Papadakis MA, McPhee SJ, Rabow MW. Current Medical Diagnosis and Treatment. 55th ed. New York: McGraw-Hill Education 2016; 1448.
- 8. Young EJ. **An overview of human brucellosis.** Clinical Infectious Disease 1995; 21: 283-9.
- Solera J, Martinez-Alfro E, Espinosa A. Recognition and optimum treatment of brucellosis. Drugs 1997; 53: 245-56.
- Dockrell DH, Sundar S, Angus BJ, Hobson RP. Infectious disease. In: Walker BR, Colledge NR, Ralston SH, Penman ID. Davidsons Principle and Practice of Medicine. 22<sup>nd</sup> ed. Edinburgh: Churchill Livingstone Elsevier 2014; 333-4.
- Saleem MN, Boyle SM, Sriranganathan N. Brucellosis: a re-emerging zoonosis. Vet Microbiol 2010; 140:392-8.

BRUCELLOSIS 4

- 12. Khan MY, Mah MW, Memish ZA. **Brucellosis in pregnant women.** Clinical Infectious Diseases 2001; 32:1172-7.
- 13. Roth F, Zinsstag J, Orkhon D. Human health benefits from livestock vaccination for brucellosis: case study. Bull World Health Organ. 2003; 81: 867-76.
- 14. Makita K, Fe'vre EM, Waiswa C, Eisler MC, Welbum SC. How human brucellosis incidence in Urban Kampala can be reduced most efficiently? Astochastic risk assessment of informally-marketed milk PLoS One 2010; 5(12):e14188. Doi10.1371/journal.pone.0014188.
- Savas L, Onlen Y, Savas N, Yapar AF, Aydin M, Tugal O. Prospective Evaluation of 140 patients with Brucellosis in the Southren Region of Turkey. Infect Dis Clin Pract 2007; 15:83-8.
- Young EJ. Brucella species. In: Mandell GL, Bennet JE.
   Principles and practice of infectious diseases. 6th ed.
   Philadelphia, Churchill Livingstone; 2005: 2669-74.
- Guler S, KokogluOF, Ucmak H, Gul M, Ozden S, Ozkan F. Human brucellosis in Turkey: different clinical presentations: J Infect Dev Ctries 2014; 8(5): 581-8. doi:10.3855/jidc.3510.
- Buzgan T, Karahocagil MK, Irmaka H, Baran AI, Karsen H, Evirgen O, Akdeniz H. Clinical manifestations and complications in 1028 cases of brucellosis: a retrospective evaluation and review of literature. International Journal of Infectious Diseases 14(2010) e 469-e478.
- Fallatah SM, Oduloju AJ, Al-Dusari SN, Fakunle YM. Human b rucellosis in Northern Saudi Arabia. Saudi Med J 2005; 26(10): 1562-6.
- Bosilkovski M, Krteva L, Dimzova M, Kondova I. Brucellosis in 418 patients from the Balkan Peninsula: exposure-related differences in clinical manifestations, laboratory test results, and therapy outcome. Int J Infect Dis 2007; 11(4): 342-7.
- Bouza E, Sa'nchez-carillo C. Hernango'mez S, Gonza'lez MJ. Laboratory acquired brucellosis: a Spanish national survey. J Hosp Infect 2005; 61(1):80-3

- Dean AS, Crump L, Greter H, Hattendorf J, Schelling E, Zinsstag J. Clinical manifestations of human brucellosis: a systemic review and met-analysis. PLoS NegL Trop Dis 2012; 6(12): e1929.
- Bikas C, Jelastopulu E, Leotsinidis M, Kondakis X. Epidemiology of human brucellosis in a northern area of pelopennse in Greece. Eur J Epidemiol 2003; 18: 267-74.
- Corbel MJ, Beeching NJ. Brucellosis. In: Longo DL, Fauci AS, Kasper DL, Hauser SL, Jameson JL, Localzo J. Harrison Principles of Internal Medicine. 18th ed. New York. The McGraw-Hill companies 2012; 296-300.
- Roushan MRH, Mohrez M, Gangi SMS, Amiri MJS, Hajiahmadin M. Epidemiological features and clinical manifestations in 469 adult patients with brucellosis in Babol, Northren Iran. Epidemiol Infect 2004; 132(6): 1109-14.
- Eini P, Keramat F, Hasanzadehoseinabadi M. Epidemiological, Clinical and Laboratory Findings of Patients with Brucellosis in Hamada, West of Iran. J Res Health Sci 2012; 12(2):105-8.
- Mugahi S, Nashibi R, Alvi SM, Gharkholu S, Njafi K. Clinical Manifestations and Laboratory Findings of Patients with Brucellosis. Arch Clin Infect Dis 2014; 9(1):e17270. doi: 10.5812/archcid.17270.
- Sofian M, Aghakhani A, Velayati AA, BanifazIM, Eslamifar A, Ramezani A. Risk factors for human brucellosis in Iran: a case-control study. Int J Infect Dis 2008; 12: 157-61.
- Nssaji M, Govhary A, Ghorbani R. Epidemiological, clinical and laboratory findings in adult patients with acute brucellosis: a case-control study. Acta Medica Mediterranea 2015; 31:1319-25.
- Dilek I, Durmus A, Karahocagil MK, Akdeniz H, Karsen H, Baran Al, Evirgen O. Haematological complications in 787 cases of acute brucellosis in eastern Turkey. Turk J Med Sci 2008; 38(5):421-4.

AUTHORSHIP AND CONTRIBUTION DECLARATION					
Sr. #	Author-s Full Name	Contribution to the paper	Author=s Signature		
1	Dr. Rafaqat Malik	Author	RA		
2	Dr. Shazma Begum	Co-author	JB-		
3	Dr. Zar Khan	Co-author	Zalen		