

https://doi.org/10.29309/TPMJ/2021.28.02.6013

# Comparison of intraincisional and intraperitoneal infiltration of local anaesthetic in laparoscopic cholecystectomy to control early postoperative pain.

1. FCPS

Associate Professor Anaesthesia University Medical and Dental College Faisalabad.

2. FCPS Professor of Surgery University Medical and Dental College Faisalabad.

 FCPS Associate Professor Surgery University Medical and Dental College Faisalabad.

4. FCPS Assistant Professor Surgery University Medical and Dental College Faisalabad.

5. FCPS Senior Registrar Surgery University Medical and Dental College Faisalabad.

6. FCPS Assistant Professor Surgery Independent Medical College Faisalabad.

#### **Correspondence Address:**

Dr. Nadia Bano Associate Professor University Medical and Dental College Faisalabad. drsaab@gmail.com

Article received on: 20/08/2020 Accepted for publication: 19/10/2020

# INTRODUCTION

Laparoscopic cholecystectomy is one of the most frequently performed minimally invasive surgical procedures.<sup>1,2</sup> The procedure has many advantages like less postoperative pain,<sup>3</sup> early mobility as well as early hospital discharge as compared to open cholecystectomy.<sup>4</sup> Despite these advantages the procedure is associated with varying degrees of postoperative pain. It is seen in early postoperative hours and then subsides slowly over 1 to 2 days. Pain is particularly more with coughing, movement and deep breathing. Postoperative pain has three components visceral pain, parietal pain and shoulder pain.<sup>5</sup> This pain leads to delayed ambulation as well as longer hospital stay which increases the burden on both the hospital and patients.

Different pain relief methods are being practiced

#### Nadia Bano¹, Nazim Hayat², Saira Saleem³, Farhan Javaid⁴, Ayesha Rehman⁵, Mudassir Jabeen⁵

ABSTRACT... Objective: To compare the effects of intraincisional and intraperitoneal infiltration of local anaesthetic to relieve early postoperative pain in laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Study Design: Randomized Control Trial. Setting: Department of Anaesthesia and Surgery, Madinah Teaching Hospital Faisalabad. Period: July 2017 to March 2018. Material & Methods: A sample of 100 patients with American Society of Anaesthesiologists Physical status (ASA) I and II, undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomy were selected using simple random sampling technique. Patients were randomly assigned into groups A and B. Group A patients received intraperitoneal infiltration of 20 ml solution of 0.25% bupivacaine and group B patients received intraincisional infiltration of 20 ml solution of 0.25% bupivacaine. Results: Demographic characteristics were not significantly different in both groups. Our study showed that Group A patients had better pain relief as compared to group B patients. Visual analogue score (VAS) for pain relief at 0, 3,6,12 and 24 hours was statistically different in both groups. The requirement of rescue analgesia between group A and B was found to be12% and 38% which is statically significant (p value 0.003). Conclusion: intraperitoneal infiltration of Bupivacaine offers better postoperative pain relief after laparoscopic cholecystectomy and is associated with less analgesic requirement in early postoperative period.

Key words: Infiltration, Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy, Local Anaesthetic, Pain Relief.

Article Citation: Bano N, Hayat N, Saleem S, Javaid F, Rehman A, Mudassir. Comparison of intraincisional and intraperitoneal infiltration of local anaesthetic in laparoscopic cholecystectomy to control early postoperative pain. Professional Med J 2021; 28(2):192-196. https://doi.org/10.29309/TPMJ/2021.28.02.6013

> for postoperative pain relief after laparoscopic cholecystectomy such as systemic opioids, NSAIDS, epidural or Intrathecal opioids, local anaesthetic infiltration of surgical site as well as port sites.<sup>6</sup> Each method has its own advantages and disadvantages.

> Local anaesthetic infiltration is simple, safe and cost effective method for pain relief. It avoids complications associated with opioids such as postoperative nausea vomiting and respiratory depression.<sup>7</sup> Patient has smooth recovery and early discharge from hospital. Bupivacaine is an amide local anaesthetic. It has long duration of action. Its onset of action is 1 to 10 minutes while its effect lasts for upto 9 hours.<sup>8</sup>

> Literature shows a number of randomized trials showing advantages of local anaesthetic

infiltration, there are however studies showing no proven benefit especially regarding reduction in opioid requirement. So the clinical significance is in general questionable.<sup>9</sup> This randomized control trial was performed to compare the efficacy of local anaesthetic infiltration at port site with intraperitoneal spillage and intraincisional infiltration for postoperative pain relief after laparoscopic cholecystectomy.

# **MATERIAL & METHODS**

Study was carried out in departments of Anaesthesia and surgery Madina Teaching Hospital Faisalabad from July 2017 to March 2018. One hundred patients of 20 to 70 years age were selected. This was a randomized controlled trial. Patients with ASA I and ASA II. scheduled laparoscopic cholecystectomy to undergo were included in study. Patients were randomly divided into two equal groups A and B. Group A patients received intraperitoneal infiltration of local anaesthetic while group B patients received port site infiltration of local anaesthetic. Patients with acute cholecystitis, choledocholithiasis and previous abdominal surgery were excluded.

After written informed consent, 100 patients prepared for laparoscopic cholecystectomy in general surgery department were selected according to inclusion criteria. Exclusion criteria was strictly followed. During preoperative visit the patients were introduced with visual analogue scale (VAS) showing a 10cm straight line marked from 0 no pain to 10 worst imaginable pain. The patients were told to mark on the line to describe the intensity of pain.

Lottery method was used for random distribution of patients into two groups A and B each consisting of 50 patients.

Detailed data of patients was collected i.e. height, weight, ASA status, baseline blood pressure, heart rate and oxygen saturation prior to anaesthesia administration.

Double blind technique was used for the study. Surgeon was responsible for patient randomization and site of local anaesthetic administration while resident doctor was responsible for data collection. The resident doctor as well as patient were unaware of the site of local anaesthetic administration.

Patients were pre-oxygenated and anaesthesia was induced using Propofol 2mg/kg. Tracheal intubation was achieved with the help of atracurium besylate 0.5mg/kg. Anaesthesia was maintained with 1% Sevoflurane, nitrous oxide and oxygen 1:1. Intraoperative analgesia was given using nalbuphine sodium. Additional doses of atracurium besylate were given if required. Standard monitoring was performed using B.P., heart rate, SpO2, ETCO2 and ECG.

The pneumoperitoneum was established. Intra abdominal pressure was maintained at 12mmHg. All operations were carried out using four ports, 10mm umbilical and 10mm subxiphoid in midline, 5mm in right subcostal area on midclavicular line and another 5mm on the front of axillary line. Group A patients received peritoneal instillation of 20 ml, 0.25% bupivacaine at the end of procedure while group B patients received port site infiltration of 20ml, 0.25% bupivacaine, 6ml for 10mm ports and 4ml for 5mm ports respectively.

Residual muscle paralysis was reversed with neostigmine 0.04mg/kg and atropine 0.01mg/ kg at the end of procedure. After extubation patients were shifted to PACU. After 30 minutes of monitoring patients were shifted to parent ward.

Our outcome variables were; Pain assessment by VAS and requirement of rescue analgesic. Pain assessment was performed at 0, 3, 6, 12 and 24 hours postoperatively. Record of onset of pain and rescue analgesia was maintained by resident doctors. Tramadol 100mg was given as rescue analgesia.

The data was analysed using SPSS version 20. Mean and standard deviation (S.D.) were used for quantitative variables like age, height, weight and numeric pain score. Frequency and percentages were used for qualitative variables like gender, requirement for rescue analgesia. Independent t-test was used to compare quantitative variables like numeric pain scores. Chi square test was used to compare qualitative variables like requirement for rescue analgesia. P-value equal to or less than 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant.

## RESULTS

The study included 100 patients with 50 patients assigned in each group A and B.

Demographic variables were not statically significant between two groups except weight of patients the p value being 0.025. Table-I & II shows quantitative and qualitative variables of two groups and their comparison, respectively.

Regarding the outcome variables, VAS score at 0,3,6,12 and 24 hours was statistically significant when compared between two groups as shown in Table-III. The requirement for rescue analgesia

was also statistically significant between two groups. Requirement for rescue analgesia was 12% in group A as compared to 38% in group Bas shown in Table-IV.

#### DISCUSSION

Although postoperative pain after laparoscopic cholecystectomy is less severe and of shorter duration as compared to open surgery, patients feel pain and discomfort of varying intensity.<sup>10</sup> The pain demands effective pain relief to ensure early mobility, shorter hospital stay and early resumption of routine activities. The pain usually subsides in 1 to 2 days. The postoperative pain after laparoscopy has several components.<sup>11</sup>

The pain usually occurs in right upper quadrant (visceral), port sites (somatic) and shoulder tip (due to pneumoperitoneum).<sup>12</sup>

Group A	Group B	Total	P-Value
40.5±11.72	44.7±12.87	42.6±12.424	0.091
157.86±7.01	$158.38 \pm 6.9$	158.12±6.93	0.709
66.8±9.62	62.76±8.1	64.78±9.08	0.025
32±4.95	30.9±5.78	31.45±5.38	0.309
	40.5±11.72 157.86±7.01 66.8±9.62	40.5±11.72 44.7±12.87   157.86±7.01 158.38±6.9   66.8±9.62 62.76±8.1	40.5±11.72 44.7±12.87 42.6±12.424   157.86±7.01 158.38±6.9 158.12±6.93   66.8±9.62 62.76±8.1 64.78±9.08

Table-I. Quantitative variables comparison of two groups.

Variable	Sub group	Group A	Group B	P-Value
Gender N=100	F: N=85 (%)	42 (49.4%)	43 (50.6%)	0.779
	M: N=15 (%)	8 (53.3%)	7 (46.7%)	
Table-II. Qualitative variables comparison of two groups				

Table-II.	Juanalive	variables	companso	I OI LWO	groups.	

ASA status	I: N=66 (%)	32 (64%)	34 (68%)	0.673
N+1000	II: N=34 (%)	18 (36%)	16 (32%)	

Post-operative VAS Group A Group B P-Value						
VAS at 0 hours 3.22 ±.582 3.82 ±.7201 0.0001						
VAS at 3 hours 3.04 ±.533 3.68 ±.713 0.0001						
VAS at 6 hours 3.04 ±.533 3.68 ±.713 0.0001						
VAS at 12 hours 3.02 ±.515 3.76 ±.847 0.0001						
VAS at 24 hours 3.02 ±.622 3.44 ±.644 0.001						
Table-III. Analysis of VAS of two groups postoperatively.						

Out	come	Group A	Group B	P-Value
Requirement of	No (n=75) (%)	44 (58.7%)	31 (41.3%)	0.002
Rescue analgesia	Yes (n=25) (%)	6 (24%)	19 (76%)	0.003
Table-IV Requirement of rescue analgesic for two groups postoperatively				

Various studies have been carried out to demonstrate postoperative pain control with local anaesthetics after laparoscopic surgery and proved the effective role of local anaesthetics in this regard.<sup>13-15</sup> In this study we analysed the effectiveness of intraperitoneal infiltration of bupivacaine injection in comparison to port site infiltration after laparoscopic cholecystectomy.

GeunJooChoietal<sup>16</sup>concluded that intraperitoneal administration of local anaesthetics is an effective method of postoperative pain relief including visceral, somatic and shoulder pain.

Singh R R et al<sup>17</sup> demonstrated effectiveness of port site instillation of bupivacaine at the beginning and end of laparoscopic procedures. Omar Abuelaish and colleagues from Jordan also reported similar findings.<sup>7</sup> However, Saurabh Agrawal<sup>18</sup> concluded that intraperitoneal instillation of bupivacaine does not reduce postoperative pain significantly. Marcelo S also described similar observations.<sup>19</sup>

A study carried out by Gouda M El- labban et al<sup>20</sup> concluded that intraincisional infiltration of levobupivacaine is more effective than intraperitoneal infiltration for postoperative pain relief. Similarly, Lepner et al<sup>21</sup> observed better postoperative pain relief with port site local anaesthetic infiltration.

We observed that intraperitoneal infiltration of bupivacaine is an effective method of postoperative pain control after laparoscopic cholecystectomy as compared to port site infiltration of local anaesthetic.

#### CONCLUSION

Intraperitoneal infiltration of bupivacaine significantly reduces postoperative pain in laparoscopic cholecystectomy and there is less demand for rescue analgesia as compared to port site local anesthesia infiltration.

### Copyright© 19 Oct, 2020.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Ji W, Ding K, Li LT, Wang D, Li N, Li JS. **Outpatient** versus inpatient laparoscopic cholecystectomy: A single center clinical analysis. Hepatobiliary Pancreat Dis Int. 2010; 9:60-4.
- Johansson M, Thune A, Nelvin L, Lundell L. Randomized clinical trial of day care versus overnight stay laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Br J Surg. 2006; 93: 40-5.
- Gupta RS, Rai A, Vasuniya V, Choudhary D. Comparative evaluation of subcutaneous infiltration (portsite) vs intraperitoneal infiltration of bupivacaine on post laparoscopic cholecystectomy pain. Int Surg J: 2018; 5(2):500-06.
- Pulate PA, Narkhede T, Chandak SS. Laparoscopic cholecystectomy. A cross sectional study. MVP J Med Sci. 2015; 2(3):53-6.
- Bisgard T, Kehlet H, Rosenberg J. Pain and convalescence after laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Eur J Surg. 2001; 167:84-96.
- Coccolini F, Catena F, Pisano M, et al. Open vs laparoscopic cholecystectomy in acute cholecystitis. Systemic review and meta-analysis. Int J Surg: 2015; 18:196-204.
- Abuelaish O, Abudayyeh R, Al-Awamreh T. The effects of preoperative versus postoperative intraincisional Bupivacaine-Lidocaine mixture infiltration on early postoperative pain after laparoscopic cholecystectomy. JRMS. 2015; 22(1):69-74.
- Saha A, Shah S, Waknis P, Aher S, Bhujbal P, Vaswani V. An in vivo study comparing efficacy of 0.25% and 0.5% bupivacaine in infraorbital nerve block for postoperative analgesia. J Dent Anesth Pain Med. 2019; 19(4):209-15.
- Kjærgaard M, Møiniche S, Olsen KS. Wound infiltration with local anesthetics for post-operative pain relief in lumbar spine surgery: A systematic review. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand. 2012; 56(3):282-90. doi:10.1111/ j.1399-6576.2011.02629.x
- Bisgard T, Klarskov B, Rosenberg J, Kehlet H. Characteristics and prediction of early pain after laparoscopic cholecystectomy. 2001; 90(3):261-9.
- 11. Barczynski M, Konturek A, Herman RM. Superiority of preemptive analgesia with intraperitoneal infiltration of bupivacaine before rather than after the creation of pneumoperitoneum for laparoscopic cholecystectomy: A randomized, double blind, placebo-controlled study. Surg Endosc 2006; 20: 1088-93.

- 12. Kim TH, Kang H, Park JS, Chang IT, Park SG. Intraperitoneal ropivacine instillation for postoperative pain relief after laparoscopic cholecystectomy. J Korean Surg Soc. 2010; 79:130-6.
- Pappas-Gogos G, Tsimogiannis KE, Zikos N, Nikas K, Manataki A, Tsimoyiannis EC. Preincisional and intraperitoneal ropivacaine plus normal saline infusion for postoperative pain relief after laparoscopic cholecystectomy: A randomized double-blind controlled trial. Surg Endosc. 2008; 22(9):2036–45.
- Labaille T, Mazoit JX, Paqueron X, Franco D, Benhamou D. The clinical efficacy and pharmacokinetics of intraperitoneal ropivacaine for laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Anaesth Analg. 2002; 94:100–05.
- 15. Betton D, Greib N, Herve S, Joshi GP. The pharmacokinetics of ropivacaine after intraperitoneal administration instillation versus nebulisation. Anaesth Analg. 2010; 111:1140–45.
- Choi GJ, Kang H, Baek CW, Jung YH, Kim DR. Effect of intraperitoneal local anesthetic on pain characteristics after laparoscopic cholecystectomy. World J Gastroenterol. 2015; 21(47):13386-95.

- 17. Singh R R, Bansal D, Singh M et al. Randomized control study of effectiveness and timings of bupivacaine at port site in managing pain after laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Int Surg J 2016; 3:1837-43.
- Marcelo S, Eloisa R, Andiara EG, Analuiza S, Guilherme FB. Effectiveness of local anesthetics in laparoscopic cholecystectomy, A randomized clinical trial. Surgical Laparoscopy Endoscopy & Percutaneous Techniques 2015; 25(4): 317-320.doi: 10.1097/ SLE.000000000000166
- 19. Agarwal S, Pai S. Evaluation of postoperative pain relief with intra-peritoneal bupivacaine instillation in laparoscopic cholecystectomy- A randomized control study. Int Surg J 2017; 4:1195-1200.
- El-labban GM, Hokkam EN, El-labban MA, Morsy K, Saadl S, Heissam KS. Intraincisional vs intraperitoneal infiltration of local anaesthetic for controlling early post-laparoscopic cholecystectomy pain. J Min Access Surg 2011; 7:173-7
- Lepner U, Goroshina J, Samarutel J. Postoperative pain relief after laparoscopic cholecystectiomy. A randomized prospective double blind clinical trial. Scand J Surg. 2003; 92: 121-4.

#### AUTHORSHIP AND CONTRIBUTION DECLARATION

Sr. #	Author(s) Full Name	Contribution to the paper	Author(s) Signature
1	Nadia Bano	1st Author, Conceptional design and writing of manuscript.	J.C.
2	Nazim Hayat	2nd Author, Data collection.	<u>Ille</u>
3	Saira Saleem	3rd Author, Critical review of the article, proof reading.	Chili-
4	Farhan Javaid	4th author, References & Review.	Jan
5	Ayesha Rehman	Data collection, References.	Agrich
6	Mudassir Jabeen	Tasts / references	China.