SURGERY FOR LOWER LID SENILE ECTROPION;
LATERAL TARSAL STRIP VERSUS FULL THICKNESS PENTAGONAL RESECTION

ABSTRACT... drrashadqr@yahoo.com Objectives: To compare the results of lateral tarsal strip procedure with full thickness pentagonal lid resection in the correction of involutional ectropion. Material and Method: A retrospective study of 102 patients who underwent surgery for senile ectropion of lower lid. All the patients underwent either lateral tarsal strip operation or full thickness pentagonal resection. On the basis of signs and site of ectropion, the patients were divided into three main groups; 1) Medial ectropion, 2) General ectropion and 3) ectropion with chronic lid margin changes. Success was defined as relief of symptoms and good lid position. Results: 69% of patients had lateral tarsal strip and 31% of patients had full thickness pentagon resection. Overall success was achieved in 90% patients who underwent lateral strip operation and in 91% patients with full thickness pentagonal lid resection. In patients with medial ectropion the success rate was 87% in lateral tarsal strip procedure compared to 96% with full thickness lid resection. In general ectropion group, the success rate was 92.5% with lateral tarsal strip and 80% with full thickness lid resection. In patients with secondary lid margin changes the success rate was 74% in lateral tarsal strip procedure compared to 87% with full thickness pentagon resection. Conclusion: Both lateral tarsal strip and pentagon resection are effective in the treatment of senile lower lid ectropion,
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INTRODUCTION
Senile ectropion of the lower lid is a common cause of eyelid mal-position encountered by the ophthalmologists. It is associated with structural eyelid changes that
include disinsertion of the lower lid retractors, laxity of
medial and lateral canthal tendons and atrophy and
degeneration of the orbicularis muscle. The lateral
canthal tendon is more prone to laxity than the medial
canthal tendon. The tarsal section of the lower eyelid
being stronger tends to stretch less than the tendons. All
these changes lead to stretching or elongation of lower
lid leading to a point of ectropion formation. Epiphora
occurs as the punctum moves away from the globe and
this is exacerbated by the concurrent eyelid laxity. In
chronic ectropion punctal stenosis, conjunctival
keratinisation & hypertrophy, tarsal thickening and skin
contracture develops leading to ocular irritation. The goal
of surgical repair is to re-establish the anatomical &
physiological relationship of the lacrimal punctum and
lower eyelid margin to the globe.

Two popular procedures for correcting involutional
ectropion of the lower lid are lateral tarsal strip (LTS)
procedure and full-thickness lid resection (FTLR). There
has been no study comparing these two procedures
although Liu had compared various techniques of lid
shortening undertaken for a range of lid mal-positions.
This study compares the outcomes of lateral tarsal strip
procedure with full thickness pentagon lid resection in
senile lower lid ectropion.

MATERIAL AND METHODS
We reviewed retrospectively all patients who underwent
surgery for lower lid senile ectropion from March 1999 to
October 2005. All the operations were performed or
supervised by one surgeon. The surgery performed was
either lateral tarsal strip operation or full thickness
pentagon resection. Excluded from the study were
patients with significant medial canthal tendon laxity
(where the punctum can be pulled laterally beyond the
medial limbus with the eye in primary position), lacrimal
duct obstruction and previous lid surgery. All patients
had full lower lid evaluation preoperatively including
measurement of horizontal lid laxity, medial and lateral
canthal tendon laxity, patency of the lacrimal system,
location of ectropion (either medial or generalized) and
any secondary lid margin changes. According to the site
and signs of ectropion, patients were divided into
following groups;

1) Medial ectropion
2) General ectropion
3) Ectropion with chronic lid margin changes and
4) Ectropion with no lid margin changes.

All Patients with medial ectropion had medial diamond
tarso-conjunctival excision in addition to lid shortening
procedure.

The patients were reviewed on the 10th postoperative
day and then 6 weeks postoperative. At each visit the
position of lid margin was assessed and patients were
enquired regarding the degree of symptomatic relief.
Success was defined as relief of symptoms and good lid
position. The success rates for the two procedures were
compared for all as well as different types of involutional
ectropion.

RESULTS
102 eyes of 78 patients fulfilled the criterion for the study.
There were 48 females and 30 males. The age ranged
from 61 to 96 years (mean of 76 years). 70 eyes
underwent lateral tarsal strip and 32 full thickness lid
resection. The follow up ranged from 6 weeks to 78
months (mean of 14.4 months).

Horizontal lid laxity & Lateral canthal tendon laxity was
present in all 102 cases. Mild medial canthal tendon laxity
(not necessitating medial canthal surgery) was present
in 11 out of 102 cases. 38 eyes had chronic lid margin
changes i.e. keratinisation of the tarsal conjunctiva due
to long-standing ectropion. 52 patients had medial
ectropion and 50 patients had general ectropion. All the
cases with medial ectropion had medial tarso-
conjunctival diamond excision along with lid shortening
procedure.

The demographic details and baseline characters are
shown in (Table I) and the types of ectropion in the both
surgical groups are shown in (Table II).

All patients with medial ectropion also underwent medial
tarso-conjunctival excision. Of the 70 patients in the LTS group, 30 also had concurrent medial tarso-conjunctival excision. Of the 32 patients in the FTLR group, 22 also had concurrent medial tarso-conjunctival excision.

The surgical outcomes are shown in (Table III). The overall success rates were comparable between the two groups, with each group achieving more than 90% success rate. In patients with medial ectropion the FTLR (95.5%) showed better results as compared to LTS (86.6%) but the difference was not statistically significant (p>0.05). In patients with general ectropion, LTS (92.2%) had better success rate than FTLR (80%) but again the difference was not statistically significant (p>0.05). In patients having long-standing ectropion, the FTLR (86.6%) achieved a better results compared with LTS (73.9%).

This difference is again not statistically significant (p=0.88). But comparing the success rate between patients with long standing ectropion (patients with lid margin changes n=38) and patients with fairly recent ectropion (n = 64) the lids with recent onset ectropion fared better. The success rate was 96.8% (62/64) in patients with fairly recent ectropion compared to 78.9% (30/38) in patients with chronic lid margin changes. (p = 0.01).

**Table-I. Basis line characteristics of the two surgical groups**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Lateral Tarsal Strip (70)</th>
<th>Full Thickness Lid Resection (32)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>61-92 (75.65±6.8)</td>
<td>62-96 (76.34±6.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male : Female</td>
<td>1:1.6</td>
<td>1:1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of Ectropion</td>
<td>6-36 Mean (16.2±7.2)</td>
<td>6-36 (17.34±7.98)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow up (Months)</td>
<td>1.5-56 (15.0±13.1)</td>
<td>2-78 (18.44±16.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table-II. Types of Ectropion and Surgery**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Types of Ectropion</th>
<th>Lateral Tarsal Strip</th>
<th>Full Thickness Lid Resection</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>70</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medial Ectropion</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Ectropion</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary lid Margin Changes</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table-III. Success rate of both types of surgeries**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Lateral Tarsal Strip (70)</th>
<th>Full Thickness Lid Resection (32)</th>
<th>Total (102)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Over all (102)</td>
<td>90% (63/70)</td>
<td>90.6% (29/32)</td>
<td>90.2% (92/102)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medial ectropion (52)</td>
<td>86.6% (26/63)</td>
<td>95.5% (21/22)</td>
<td>90.4% (47/52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gen. Ectropion (50)</td>
<td>92.5% (37/40)</td>
<td>80% (8/10)</td>
<td>90.0% (45/50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ectropion with lid changes (38)</td>
<td>73.9% (17/23)</td>
<td>86.6% (13/15)</td>
<td>78.9% (30/38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ectropion with no lid changes (64)</td>
<td>97.8% (46/47)94.1% (16/17)</td>
<td>96.8% (62/64)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**COMMENTS**

The aim of ectropion surgery is to re-oppose the lid to the globe and reduces epiphora. Horizontal lid shortening involving the tarsus plate has traditionally been the treatment of choice. Several procedures have been described dating back to Adam’s full thickness triangular eyelid resection in 1812. Smith popularized the technique of full-thickness resection of the eyelid, and this was the preferred procedure for ectropion repairs for many years. In 1977 Tenzel first described the lateral
canthal sling for senile ectropion, focusing attention on tightening the slack lateral tendon. This was modified by Anderson into the lateral tarsal strip procedure which is gaining popularity. While the technique addresses the problem of lateral canthal tendon laxity seen in many involutional ectropion, it is difficult to master since the periostium is not visualized directly when anchoring the tarsal strip to the orbital periostium giving rise to canthal dystopia. This occurs when the suture is placed too low and anteriorly in the periostium of lateral orbital wall causing post operative lid retraction.

Temporal tenderness and suture granuloma are other complications. In this study 10 patients with lateral tarsal strip developed temporary tenderness at the suture sites that resolved in 1-8 weeks time. With regard to the eyelid, the main complication is persistent punctual ectropion. In the LTS group, we had six eyes with persistent punctual ectropion. Preoperatively, five of these six eyes had medial ectropion and a tarso-conjunctival incision was performed. Of the three recurrent ectropion in the LTS group, two underwent FTLR and one further LTS.

Full thickness pentagonal resection is also a well-accepted lid tightening procedure. When properly executed the results are excellent and there is minimal scarring. In case of medial ectropion, concurrent diamond tarso-conjunctival excision as lazy-T gives good surgical results. Placement of intratarsal and lid margin sutures is relatively time consuming, but the procedure is more easily undertaken by a general ophthalmologist. Complications include lateral displacement of the punctum especially in the presence of lax medial canthal tendon, phimosis of the palpebral aperture, lid notching and trichisis. In this study, one eye with FTLR developed lid notching and one eye developed trichisis. The eye, which developed trichisis, had a long-standing ectropion with secondary lid margin changes. One eye with FTLR developed recurrence which was corrected with LTS.

The overall results for both procedures were similar and comparable with other studies.

Although there was no statistically significant differences in the overall results, ectropions with secondary lid margin changes had a better surgical outcome when FTLR was performed compared to LTS. Similarly, in predominantly medial ectropions, LTS was not as good as FTLR (lazy-T) procedure and this finding is consistent with other studies. However there was statistically significant relation between the success rate and the presence of secondary lid changes. Overall 96.8% (62/64) of patients who had no chronic lid changes (including both procedures) had successful surgery as compared to 78.9% (30/38) with secondary lid margin changes (p = 0.02). If we compare procedures between the two groups, we see that there is no significant difference in the success rate if the FTLR was performed for lids with secondary lid margin changes or not; but in case of LTS, the success rate was 97.8% (46.47) in lids with no margin changes, which dropped to 73.9% (17/23) in patients with lid margin changes (p=0.01). (Table III). This may be explained by the fact that the thickened and inflamed conjunctiva and eyelids were not resected in the LTS as is the case in FTLR. The presence of these lid changes in the LTS can continue to irritate the eye and causes epiphora thus symptoms of watering and irritation persist.

The main weakness in this study was that the patients were not randomly allocated to the two surgical techniques. Therefore, the types of ectropions in both groups were not equally distributed. This may explain why the results did not reach statistical significance.

In summary, our study shows that both LTS and FTLR are effective for all types of involutional lower lid ectropions but the success rate differ for different types of ectropion. LTS is preferable to FTLR in patients without predominantly medial ectropion or chronic eyelid changes. Eyelids with chronic changes and predominantly medial ectropion have a higher success rate with FTLR (including lazy T) than with LTS (including concurrent tarso-conjunctival excision). Thus whilst shortening is the aim of ectropion surgery the type of procedure should be tailored to the site of the ectropion and the duration of lid malposition.
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