Association of serum uric acid with systemic blood pressure – A Cross Sectional study.
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ABSTRACT... Objective: To determine association of Serum Uric Acid levels (SUA) in subjects suffering Systemic Blood Pressure and to determine its association with systolic and diastolic blood pressure. Study Design: Cross Sectional Study. Setting: Department of Faculty of Medicine and Allied Medical Sciences, Isra University, Hyderabad, Sindh, Pakistan. Period: January 2018 to September 2019. Material & Methods: 150 diagnosed cases of systemic hypertension and 150 controls were selected through non-probability purposive sampling according to inclusion and exclusion criteria. 2 ml venous blood was taken, centrifuged and sera were used for uric acid. Data was saved in a pre-structured Performa. Statistical software (SPSS v 21.0, IBM, Incorporation, USA) of data variables was analyzed at 95% CI (P ≤ 0.05). Results: Serum uric acid in controls was 2.93±0.72 compared to cases 4.25±1.44 mg/dl (P=0.0001). Hyperuricemia was observed in 58 (38.6%) cases compared to 23 (15.3%) controls. Uric acid shows strong positive association with Systolic BP (r= 0.52*, p=0.0001) and Diastolic BP (r= 0.46**, p=0.0001). Conclusion: We found hyperuricemia in 58 (38.6%) of systemic hypertension cases. Systolic and Diastolic Blood pressure show positive association with uric acid.

INTRODUCTION
Uric acid circulates in the blood plasma that is generated by the normal turnover of nucleic acids. Cellular pool of nucleic acids is a rich source of de-novo synthesis of uric acid. Serum uric acid (SUA) is present as mono-sodium urate (MSU).1,2 Probable association of SUA has been considered since a century. During the 20th century, linkage of serum uric acid and systemic blood pressure has waned and waned because of lack of evident research.2,3 It was first time reported by a previous rat model study4 that was induced hyperuricemia with oxonic acid - an uricase inhibitor, and noticed a decrease in SUA with a uricosuric drug. Elevated serum uric acid is termed as hyperuricemia and has become a hot research topic of recent years. However, the association of SUA and high systemic blood pressure has not been unanimously accepted except the above quoted rat model experiment. Few of randomized trials failed to find this relationship.5,6 Palmer et al6 suggested that the BMI (body mass index) may be a potential confounding factor in the development of uric acid related metabolic disorders. While other studies1,5 could not find deleterious effect of SUA against the endothelial function that is a potential mechanisms for the systemic hypertension.

Systemic blood pressure has become a serious health problem in the developing countries due to high meat intake. One billion people and 7.1 million deaths have been taken by the high systemic blood pressure. Recently, serum uric acid has been has been reported linked with the systemic hypertension. Previous studies5-7 reported positive correlation of hypertension and systemic hypertension. Uric acid has been linked
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to the microalbuminuria in systemic hypertension. Few studies reported hyperuricemia is a risk factor for the systemic hypertension. Previous studies\(^5\) reported 25 – 50% frequency of hyperuricemia in systemic hypertension. Hyperuricemia is now established risk factor for the hyperlipidemia, dyslipidemia, insulin resistance, kidney disease and systemic hypertension. Some studies have reported association of hyperuricemia with systemic hypertension in women\(^7\)\(^-\)\(^9\), few in men\(^10\) and others have reported this association in both genders.\(^11\) A previous study\(^12\) reported significant association of hyperuricemia and systemic hypertension in less than 40 years of age. While other studies\(^13\),\(^14\) demonstrated contrasting occurrence in older than 40 years.

The controversial reports compelled the researchers to investigate further research in indigenous population. The present prospective study was conducted to analyze serum uric acid levels (SUA) in subjects suffering high Systemic BP and to determine its association with systolic and diastolic blood pressure.

**MATERIAL & METHODS**

The present cross sectional study was conducted at the Faculty of Medicine and Allied Medical Sciences, Isra University, Hyderabad, Sindh, Pakistan from January 2018 to September 2019. Study was conducted after ethical approval of the institute (IU: 2019/1200/BM). 150 diagnosed cases of systemic hypertension and 150 controls were selected though non-probability purposive sampling according to inclusion and exclusion criteria. Sample size was calculated by “Rao – software for sample size calculation. Both inpatient and outpatient department patients were included in the study protocol. Diagnosed cases of systemic hypertension, age 40 – 60 years, both gender qualified for inclusion in the study protocol. Patients taking thiazide diuretics, diabetes mellitus, hyperthyroidism, endocrine disorders, kidney disease, alcoholics and Ischemic heart disease were excluded. Volunteers are interviewed, history was taken in detail, and physical examination was performed to exclude any systemic disorder. Volunteers were asked for blood sampling. A pre structured proforma was designed for data entry.

Hypertension was defined as per JNC- VII criteria. Blood pressure was measured with a mercury sphygmanometer. 5 minutes rest in sitting position was allowed for blood pressure reading. 3 readings of systolic and diastolic BP were taken in sitting position. Electric weight machine weighed the subjects. Body mass index (BMI) was estimated by formula; body weight (Kg)/height (m\(^2\)) = BMI. 2 ml venous blood was taken from a prominent vein after tourniquet ligature preferably form ante – cubital fossa. Blood was centrifuged (x3000 rpm for 12 minutes) and sera were used for detection of uric acid by colorimetric method. Serum creatinine was estimated by Jaffe’s method. And BUN was measured from blood urea. Sera were stored at −20°C for later use. Computer based statistical software (SPSS v21.0, IBM, Incorporation, USA) analyzed the data variables. Continuous variables difference was analyzed by Student’s t test and Chi (\(x^2\)) square calculated the categorical variables. Bivariate – method (Pearson’s correlation) was run on SPSS – 21.0 for association of serum uric acid with the research variables. Data variables were analyzed at 95% CI (P ≤ 0.05).

**RESULTS**

Age of controls and cases was 49.24±7.83 and 48.47±6.25 years respectively (P=0.071) (Table-I). Controls subjects included; 121 (80.6%) male and 29 (19.3%) were female while cases included 119 (79.9%) male and 31 (20.6%) female (P=0.083) (Table-II). Body weight and BMI 77.7±12.35 vs. 79.27±13.87 Kg (P=0.30) and 27.53±3.3 and 28.4±3.6 kg/m\(^2\) in control and cases respectively (P=0.0001). Systolic and Diastolic BP, Uric acid, BUN and S. creatinine are shown in Table-I. Serum uric acid in controls was 2.93±0.72 compared to cases 4.25±1.44 mg/dl (P=0.0001). Hyperuricemia was observed in 58 (38.6%) cases compared to 23 (15.3%) controls (\(X^2=21.7, P=0.0001\)) (Table-IV). Association of Pearson’s correlation analysis is shown in table-V. Uric acid shows strong positive association with Systolic BP (\(r= 0.52^*, p=0.0001\)) and Diastolic BP (\(r= 0.46^{**}, p=0.0001\)). Uric acid association with age and body weight was non – significant.
Systemic Blood Pressure

Control (n=150) | Cases (n=150) | P-Value
---|---|---
Age (years) | 49.24±7.83 | 48.47±6.25 | 0.071
Body weight (kg) | 77.7±12.35 | 79.27±13.87 | 0.30
BMI (kg/m²) | 27.53±3.30 | 28.48±3.61 | 0.0001
Systolic BP (mmHg) | 148.3±22.46 | 130.86±8.57 | 0.0001
Diastolic BP (mmHg) | 83.20±15.10 | 70.77±8.55 | 0.0001
Uric acid (mg/dl) | 2.93±0.72 | 4.25±1.44 | 0.0001
BUN (mg/dl) | 3.91±0.15 | 4.30±1.06 | 0.0001
S. Creatinine (mg/dl) | 1.41±0.25 | 1.70±0.95 | 0.0001

Table-I. Findings of control and cases.

Control (n=150) | Cases (n=150) | P-Value
---|---|---
Male | 121 (80.6%) | 119 (79.9%) | 0.083
Female | 29 (19.3%) | 31 (20.6%) |
Total | 150 | 150

Table-II. Gender distribution in control and cases.

Control (n=150) | Cases (n=150) | P-Value
---|---|---
Normouricemia | 71 | 103 | 0.0001
Hyperuricemia | 50 | 16 | 7
Total | 121 | 29 | 119

Table-III. Serum Uric acid levels in control and cases.

Correlation of Uric acid & Systolic BP

Correlation of Uric acid & Diastolic BP

DISCUSSION
The present cross sectional study was conducted at the tertiary care hospital. The study analyzed the serum uric acid levels in systemic hypertension and its association with the systolic and diastolic BP. Uric acid samples of systemic hypertension cases were compared with control (non – hypertensive) and results were calculated on Statistical software. Age, body weight and BMI of cases and controls were matched to exclude any research bias. The age of cases and controls was 49.24±7.83 and 48.47±6.25 years respectively (P=0.071) (Table-I).

Figure-1. Scatter plot shows positive correlation of Systolic BP and Uric acid. (r= 0.52, P=0.0001).

Figure-2. Scatter plot shows positive correlation of Diastolic BP and Uric acid. (r= 0.46, p=0.0001)

Cases and controls belonged to 4th – 6th decade of life. Age finding of present study sample are consistent with the previous studies as they reported 40- 60 years age was commonest. This particular group was selected because the systemic hypertension is common in this age.
A previous study observed similar age in their study. However, a previous study from Pakistan reported young age of 20 years being the majority of cases in their study that is controversial to present and previous studies. In present study, the controls subjects included; 121 (80.6%) male and 29 (19.3%) were female while cases included 119 (79.9%) male and 31 (20.6%) female (P=0.083). Body weight and BMI 77.7±12.35 vs. 79.27±13.87 Kg (P=0.30) and 27.53±3.3 and 28.4±3.6 kg/m² in control and cases respectively (P=0.0001). We observed hyperuricemia in 58 (38.6%) cases compared to 23 (15.3%) controls ($X^2=21.7$, $P=0.0001$) (table 4). This finding of hyperuricemia is in line with previous studies. Serum uric acid in controls was 2.93±0.72 compared to cases 4.25±1.44 mg/dl that revealed statistically significant difference (P=0.0001). The finding is consistent with previous studies. But a previous study observed high uric acid levels of 6.18±1.79 mg/dl in cases compared to 5.60±1.83 mg/dl in controls. The finding of above study is inconsistent to present and previous studies. Controversial results are probably because of living patterns, life style, and in major the dietary habits. We think high uric acid levels of above study are because of high meat intake that is not mentioned properly. In present study, the uric acid in controls was 2.93±0.72 compared to cases 4.25±1.44 mg/dl (P=0.0001). Another previous study reported similar findings of high uric acid levels in those suffering from pre-hypertension and hypertension.

They observed uric acid levels of 5.89±0.97 mg/dl in pre-hypertension mg/dl, 6.56±0.64 mg/dl in hypertension and 4.91±0.88 mg/dl in control. Similar observation of high serum uric acid levels in systemic hypertension patients has been reported in another study. Serum uric acid in systemic hypertension patients in present study was noted as 4.25±1.44 mg/dl that is in agreement with previous studies that found 5.8 mg/dl in hypertensive patients (P<0.05). The present study analyzed the association of serum uric acid with systolic and diastolic BP and found strong positive correlation between them. Our finding of association of Pearson’s correlation is shown in table 5. Uric acid shows strong positive association with Systolic BP ($r= 0.52^*, p=0.0001$) and Diastolic BP ($r= 0.46^{**}, p=0.0001$). Uric acid association with age and body weight was non – significant (P>0.05). This is in accordance to previous study by Vakil et al that observed positive correlation of uric acid with systolic and diastolic blood pressure. The findings of high uric acid in systemic hypertension are supported by other previous studies. The present study findings supported by previous study, suggests cause effect association of uric acid and systemic hypertension.

Limitation of present study is a small sample size belonged of particular ethnicity hence findings may not be generalized for other geographical populations. We cannot ascertain the cause-effect relationship of serum uric acid and systemic hypertension because of cross sectional study design. We conclude that the patients of systemic hypertension must be screened for the serum uric acid levels for better patient outcome along with anti – hypertensive drugs and if needed uricosuric drugs may be prescribed, but this needs further studies at national level.

CONCLUSION
We found hyperuricemia in 58 (38.6%) of systemic hypertension cases. Systolic and Diastolic Blood pressure shows positive association with uric acid. Prospective studies with large sample size are recommended to establish the cause-effect relationship. Systemic hypertension patients should be screened for uric acid and appropriate therapy.
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