INTRODUCTION

Infections are frequent and important cause of morbidity and mortality in neonatal period.\(^1\) Neonatal infection can be divided by age of onset of symptoms (early-onset if in the first 72 hour of life, late-onset if after 72 hours of life). Intrapartum infections cause mainly early onset sepsis and late onset infections may community or hospital acquired.\(^2\) Neonates may be infected at different times via three different routes: in utero (transplacental), intrapartum (ascending), and post-partum (nosocomial or community). The timing of exposure, inoculum size, immune status and virulence of infectious agents influence the manifestations of disease.\(^3\)

Several factors influence the incidence of neonatal infection, such as the mode of delivery, gestational age, birth weight, maternal age, maternal immunization status, and the presence of maternal infections such as chorioamnionitis. Moreover environmental factors can influence the incidence of infection, e.g. the prevalence of organism in community, the place of birth and neonatal stay (home or hospital), socio-economic status and ethnicity.\(^4\) Invasive procedures, the
presence of indwelling catheters, ventricular shunts, endotracheal tubes, and alterations in the skin and mucus membrane barriers may favor infections. Previous used of antibiotics increases the likelihood of infections caused by more resistant bacteria and fungi.4,5

CRITERIA FOR THE DIAGNOSIS OF SEPSIS6
(High sensitivity CRP, serum soluble intercellular adhesion molecule 1, soluble e-selectin and serum amyloid a individually or in combination will be the future specific markers for sepsis)

Proven Sepsis
A positive blood culture or PCR in the presence of clinical signs and symptoms of infection. For CoNS two positive blood cultures or one positive blood culture plus a positive CRP.

Probable Sepsis
Presence of signs and symptoms of infection and at least two abnormal laboratory results when blood culture is negative.

Possible Sepsis
Presence of clinical signs and symptoms of infection plus raised CRP or IL-6/IL-8 level when blood culture is negative.

In industrialized countries, the incidence of neonatal bacterial sepsis is between 1 and 4/1000 live births and that of meningitis between 0.2 and 0.4/1000 live births. In premature infants, especially admitted to NICU, these values can be 10-fold higher.7 These incidence are significantly increased in resource poor countries. With advances in neonatal intensive care, increasingly immature, very low birth weight newborns are surviving, remaining in hospital for long periods of time where they were at risk for hospital acquired infection.4-6

A wide variety of pathogens can affect the newborn, including bacteria, viruses, fungi and protozoa. Common Pathogens of neonatal sepsis in developed countries are (Early onset sepsis- Group B Streptococcus, E. coli, S. aureus, CONS, L. monocytogenes. Late onset sepsis- Coagulase-negative Staphylococcus, E. coli, Klebsiella sp., S. aureus, Candida albicans & P. aureginosa). Common pathogens of neonatal sepsis in developing countries are (Early onset sepsis- Klebsiella, S. aureus, E. coli, Group B Streptococcus, S. pneumonia, Salmonella sp. Late onset sepsis- Klebsiella sp., S. aureus, E. coli, Coagulase-negative Staphylococcus, Pseudomonas, Enterobacter & Candida.8

The purpose of study was to know the bacteriologic profile and recognition of the role and importance of antimicrobial stewardship in preventing infections and minimizing antimicrobial resistance.

MATERIAL AND METHOD
This was a descriptive study conducted over a period of 6 months from October 2017-March 2018, at NICU, Children Hospital and Institute of Child Health, Multan.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical Variables</th>
<th>Hemodynamic Variables/ Tissue Perfusion Variables</th>
<th>Inflammatory Variables</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Temperature instability</td>
<td>Blood pressure 2 SD below normal for age</td>
<td>WBC count &gt;30, 000/10^9/l OR &lt;5000/10^9/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate &gt;180 beats/min or &lt;100 beats/min</td>
<td>Systolic pressure &lt;50mm Hg (newborn day 1)</td>
<td>Immature neutrophil &gt;10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory rate &gt;60 breaths/min plus grunting or de saturations</td>
<td>Systolic pressure &lt;65mm Hg (infants _ 1 month)</td>
<td>Immature: Total neutrophil ratio &gt;0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lethargy/altered mental status</td>
<td>Capillary refill &gt;3 s</td>
<td>CRP &gt;10 mg/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose intolerance (plasma glucose &gt;10 mmol/l)</td>
<td>Plasma lactate &gt;3 mmol/l</td>
<td>Pro-calcitonin &gt;8.1 mg/dl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feed intolerance</td>
<td>IL-6 or IL-8 &gt;70 pg/ml</td>
<td>16S PCR positive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Informed and written consents were obtained from the parents and benefits of study were explained them. A detailed history, such as neonatal age, sex, the mode of delivery, the place of birth and neonatal stay (home or hospital), gestational age (confirmed from the mother by the last date of menstrual period), birth weight, maternal age, prior use of antibiotics, maternal immunization status, the presence of maternal infections such as chorioamnionitis, history of prolong/premature rupture of membrane, socio-economic status, any invasive procedure done on baby before admission in our hospital, the presence of indwelling catheters, ventricular shunts and endotracheal tubes, was obtained. A detailed physical examination including gestation age (using New Ballard Score) and alterations in the skin and mucus membrane barriers (favoring infections) was done.

In this study a total of 173 isolates in the form of blood samples (160), tips of endotracheal tubes and tracheal aspirates were collected from neonates of age ranging from 5 – 19 days who were admitted due to suspected septicemia. Isolates were sent to Shaukat Khanam Memorial Hospital Lahore and Aga Khan University Hospital, Karachi where they were analyzed by using standard microbiological methods. Outcome were recorded on predesigned data collection sheet. Results were analyzed by using statistical tools.

RESULTS
The outcome of microbial testing showed that 91 (53%) isolates showed microbial growth. Out of these 91, 77 (85%) were Bacterial and 14 (15%) were fungal species. The incidence of Gram Negative and Gram Positive bacteria was found to be 62 (68%) and 15 (16%) respectively. (Figure-1)

The most common gram negative specie was Klebsiella Pneumonia 20 (32%) followed by Escherichia Coli 12 (19%) and Acinobacter 8 (13%), among the Enterobacteriaceae class of Gram negative bacteria after Klebsiella Pneumonia, Citrobacter 6 (10%) was the common species. (Figure-2)

Among gram positive bacteria staphylococcus epidermidis 10 (67%) was the most common species followed by Staphylococcus aureus 5 (33%). No other species of Gram Positive bacteria was reported. (Figure-3)

Among fungal species Candida sepsis was the most common and accounted for 13 (93%) of all the samples, only one isolate was found to be positive for Rhodotorula strains. (Figure-4)

Most of the gram negative organisms were sensitive to Aminoglycosides, Imipenem and Cipriofloxacin, few species were also sensitive to colistin/polmyxin-b. (Table-I) Gram positive species were sensitive to Linezolid and Vancomycin whereas third generation cephalosporin’s were found to be more sensitive in Gram Negative species as compared to gram positive. (Table-II) All the fungal species were sensitive to Fluconazole and Voriconazole.
DISCUSSION
For appropriate and empirical treatment, information of bacteriological profile and pattern of sensitivity is most important. Profiles of bacteria and their sensitivity differ in different parts of the world. In the current study, 53% isolates were found to be positive for blood culture positivity. In an Indian study, Sharma RS et al\(^9\) found that 43.6% blood culture were positive while others found similar data as well.\(^{10-12}\)

In the present study, the incidence of Gram Negative and Gram Positive bacteria were found to be 62 (68%) and 15 (16%) respectively. Gram negative organisms constituted a major portion in earlier findings\(^9\) which also correlates with current findings and those of Kamble et al\(^{13}\) and Jain et al.\(^{11}\) Among gram negative organisms most frequently isolated organism was Klebsiella species. Similar results were also found by Jyothi et al.\(^{14}\) In the current study, it was found that most common gram negative specie was Klebsiella Pneumonia (32%) followed by Escherichia Coli (19%) and Acinobacter (13%), among the Enterobacteriaceae class of Gram negative bacteria after Klebsiella Pneumonia, Citrobacter (10%) was the most common species. Sharma et al\(^9\) also found that among gram negative isolates...
prevalence of Citrobacter species was 16.32% but compared to other studies done previously by Nayar et al\(^\text{15}\) (2.1%), Bhat et al\(^\text{16}\) (3.05%), this was quite a high number.

Among gram positive bacteria staphylococcus epidermidis (66%) was the most common species followed by Staphylococcus aureus (34%). Similar results have been found by earlier findings\(^\text{9,10}\) that shown that geographical patterns are quite similar in this part of the world.

In this study, most of the gram negative organisms were sensitive to Aminoglycosides, Imipenem and Ciprofloxacin, few species were also sensitive to colistin/polmyxin-b. Gram positive species were sensitive to Linezolid and Vancomycin, third generation cephalosporin’s were found to be more sensitive in Gram Negative species as compared to gram positive. All the fungal species were sensitive to Fluconazole and Voriconazole. Gram negative organisms (Klebsiella species, Citrobacter species), Streptococcus, Staphylococcus are known to be the leading cause of neonatal sepsis around the world.\(^\text{9}\) Because mortality from untreated sepsis can be as high as 50%,\(^\text{17}\) most clinicians believe that the hazard of untreated sepsis is too great to allow them to wait for confirmation in the form of positive culture results. Therefore, most clinicians initiate treatment while awaiting culture results. There are high levels of resistance to routinely used antibiotics among them. We should revise our antibiotic treatment policy and emphasize on rationale antibiotic use. Second and third line antimicrobial should not be used as empirical treatment especially in low income countries like Pakistan where culture and sensitivity facilities not easily available.

**CONCLUSION**

There is an increasing incidence of neonatal septicemia in Tertiary Care settings, which reflects the need to evaluate the incidence of different microbial species after every quarter and study there susceptibility patterns. This will help the community to develop strategies for its effective control, monitoring and choice of antibiotics.
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