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ABSTRACT… Establishing the definite causative etiology of pleural effusion is often quite problematic due to the paucibacillary nature of mycobacterium, while malignancy and other bacterial infections also cause pleural effusion. Therefore, knowing the exact cause is mandatory before the start of any anti tuberculosis therapy. The present study was aimed to differentiate among different causes of pleural effusion in suspected TB patients. Study Design: Cross sectional study. Setting: A cross sectional study was carried out at Gulab Devi Chest Hospital over the period of seven months. Total 32 patients were enrolled in the study following the inclusion criteria and after taking written informed consent from the patients and approval from the ethical committee. Period: From 1⁰ September 2014 to 31⁰ March 2015. Materials and Methods: Pleural effusion was aspirated by the registered clinician and the sample was processed for cytology, relative density, culture and PCR. Results: Total of ~10% patients were found positive for bacteria other than MTB and 25% were positive for MTB as evidenced by the growth on culture. Two of the MTB culture positive samples were positive for MTB DNA whereas, one culture negative sample was found positive by PCR. Our findings showed that no patient sample was test positive by AFB smearing which is the most commonly used diagnostic tool for MTB. MTB is the major cause of pleural effusion in our studied population but other bacterial infections cannot be neglected. Moreover, PCR is more robust method of detection as MTB culture takes ~6 weeks for positive results. Conclusion: Therefore, we suggest that the efficacy of the PCR should be tested on larger population and a definite diagnosis should be made before the start of any therapy.
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INTRODUCTION

Pleural effusion is an abnormal collection of fluid in the pleural space. It is the most prominent feature of pleural disease, with causes including cardiopulmonary diseases, inflammation and malignant diseases requiring urgent diagnosis.¹ There are two types of pleural effusions, transudative and exudative.²³ If the ratio of pleural fluid protein to serum protein level is <0.5 then it is transudative pleural effusion which results due to changes in hydrostatic forces, with capillary permeability remaining normal.⁴ Exudative pleural effusion have ratio of >0.5 and are caused by either increased capillary permeability and lymphatic obstruction or inflammation.⁵

Pleural effusion is not a rare etiology in pulmonary tuberculosis (TB) and it is often associated with disease severity and difficulty in diagnosis. Pakistan is ranked fifth with among countries which have high prevalence of pleural TB.⁶ The frequency of pleural effusion in TB patients was approximately 31%.⁷ Pleural effusions can also occurs as a result of infections other than TB, so the definitive diagnosis and etiology of the pleural effusion is mandatory before the start of therapy. Furthermore, previous reports showed that 40% of the cases with bacterial pneumonia have an associated para pneumonic effusion. Bacteria often cause exudative pleural effusions and include Streptococcus pneumonia, Staphylococcus aureus and gram negative organisms such as E.coli and Pseudomonas.⁸
Definite and rapid diagnosis of extra pulmonary tuberculosis is very difficult due to paucibacillary nature of pleural effusion since conventional techniques have limitations. Smear examination of pleural fluid requires mycobacterium tuberculosis (MTB) concentrations of 10,000/mL, so smearing of pleural effusion for diagnosis of TB has very low sensitivity (0 to 1%). Diagnostic value of culture is 12-70% in the most precise studies and is generally considered as gold standard but culture of pleural fluid requires 2 to 6 weeks for confirmation of MTB. This again imposes restriction on the early and definite diagnosis of pleural effusion.

In the past few decades nucleic acid amplification tests like Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) had greatly improved the diagnosis of many infectious diseases in terms of time and accuracy. PCR detects the genomes of the invading organisms in biological samples with high sensitivity and specificity. But the efficiency of PCR in MTB detection from pleural fluid has been reported with variable sensitivity (20-90%) and specificity (70-100%) among different research groups and laboratories. Various factors has been reported to effect the sensitivity of the PCR like quantity of mycobacterium, their nonrandom distribution, the presence of inhibitors, the kind of primer used and the genomic sequence which is amplified.

Therefore, this study has two main objectives; first to determine the exact causative etiology of the pleural effusions and differentiate between Gram positive, Gram negative and MTB by culture and confirmation of MTB by PCR. Second, to find the sensitivity and specificity of PCR in pleural effusion of MTB against the smearing.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

The cross sectional study was designed and conducted at Gulab Devi Chest Hospital, Lahore after written approval from the ethics committee and informed consent of the patients. The duration of the study was seven months and carried out from 1st September 2014 to 31st March 2015. Non probability sampling was done and a questionnaire was designed to record the clinical findings and family history of the patients.

32 patients were selected on the basis of inclusion criteria which includes, patients having pleural effusion with unknown etiology, patients having pleural effusion with other chronic infection, injury, with previous diagnosis. Patients not having pleural effusion and getting treatment of pleural effusion were excluded.

A total of 45ml of effusion sample was aspirated by a registered clinician and divided it into three portions. One portion of 20ml was used for gram culture and cytology, 10ml for MTB culture and smear, 15ml of pleural fluid was used to perform PCR. Total protein and relative mass density was determined using refract meter.

Culturing of gram positive and negative bacteria was done by streaking method according to the guidelines. The initial processes in MTB culture was homogenization and decontamination by Modified Petroff’s method in which 4% sodium hydroxide is used. After centrifugation, the sediment was re-suspended in 1.0 to 1.5 ml of sterile phosphate buffer (pH 6.8). This suspension was then used for inoculation of Lowenstein Jensen Culture medium(Merck Catalogue # 105400) which contain malachite green, glycerol, asparagine, potato starch and coagulated eggs. Plates were incubated at humidified chamber without CO₂ at 37 °C for up to 4 weeks.

For total cell count, cells were counted using improved Neubaur Counting Chamber. One part of pleural fluid was diluted with two parts of Toluidine blue in 1:2 ratio. Counting chamber was filled with fine bore pipette and cells were allowed to settle down for 2 minute. All stained white cells in 5 large squares were counted and multiply by dilution factor and expressed as cell count per liter of pleural fluid.

For the DNA extraction “Cinnagen Co. (DNG – Plus) Kit was used. 15ml of the effusion sample was centrifuged to pellet down any suspensions and cells. Then manufacture’s protocol was followed and briefly described here.100µl of concentrated sample was mixed with 400µl of pre warmed extraction buffer and vortexed for 15–20 seconds. DNA was precipitated by 300ul
of isopropanol centrifuged at 12000 rpm for 10 minutes and supernatant was discarded. The pallet was washed with 70% ethanol and dried at 65°C for 5 minutes. Final Pallet was re-suspended in Tris EDTA (TE) buffer pH 7.5 and store at -20°C until further use.

The PCR reaction contains 8 pmoles of each primer, PCR buffer (1X), MgCl₂ (1.5 mM), dNTPs (250 μM) and TAQ DNA Polymerase (1U) in the final reaction volume of 20 μl. Forward (GCAACCTTGGGAACAATACG) and reverse (CCACGTTGTCATGAAGAGG) primer used were designed by Primer3 webserver against the MTB insertion sequence. The cycling conditions consist of initial denaturation at 95°C for 5 min followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 30 seconds, annealing at 54°C for 30 seconds, extension at 72°C for 30 seconds and final extension at 72°C for 5 minutes. After amplification, 10 μl was taken from each PCR product and loaded on 2% Agarose gel containing ethidium bromide and visualize under UV. Bands corresponding to 163bp size marker and positive MTB DNA were considered positive. A negative control was also run containing no sample but only buffer to validate the reaction.

Smearing were performed for all samples and examined at the Gulab Devi Chest Hospital’s Microbiology Laboratory for the presence of AFB. Standard protocol for Ziehl-Neelsen (ZN) staining was followed and examined under light microscope.

The data analysis was done with SPSS version 16. Mean, range and standard deviations were used to present quantitative data by the simple descriptive analysis.

RESULTS
Mean age of study population was 34.47±15.29 years. Out of total 32 patients, 13 (40.62%) were females and 19 (59.38%) were males (Table-I). The mean lymphocyte, protein and WBC count was 96.87±9.33%, 5.2969±1.78 g/dl and 265.75±185.38 10³/µl respectively (Table-I). The mean value of fluid quantity was 1.6372±2.26 ml/kg. Blood ESR had mean value of 45.3125±3.42 mm/hour. Blood urea had mean 37.7812±1.34 mg/dl. The mean value of neutrophil count was 1.4062±7.95 %. RBC count had mean 30.6250±1.31.

For division according to the nature of the effusion, 30 (93.75%) patients were with exudative pleural effusion whereas, only 2 (6.25%) have transudative pleural effusion. In this study, 2 patients were staphylococcus aureus positive, 1 was pseudomonas positive and 29 had shown no growth on culture for pseudomonas and S. aureus. Two samples which show growth for MTB in culture also test positive for MTB DNA by PCR whereas, one sample was PCR positive but culture negative. In 6 MTB culture positive samples PCR did not detect MTB DNA (Table-II).

The comparison of PCR with smear and culture of pleural effusion show that all samples were smear negative while 2 were positive by PCR out of 8 MTB culture positive samples.
In this study the sensitivity and specificity of PCR was found to be 25.00% and 95.83% respectively in comparison to smearing along with the positive predictive value of 66.67% and negative predictive value of 79.31% (Table-III). Sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value and negative predictive value were calculated according to following formulas.

\[
\text{Sensitivity} = \frac{a}{a+b} \\
\text{Specificity} = \frac{c}{c+d} \\
\text{Positive Predictive Value} = \frac{a}{a+c} \\
\text{Negative Predictive Value} = \frac{b}{b+d}
\]

### Table-III. Sensitivity and specificity of PCR and smear

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statistic</th>
<th>PCR</th>
<th>Smear</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity</td>
<td>25.00%</td>
<td>50.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specificity</td>
<td>95.83%</td>
<td>50.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive Predictive Value</td>
<td>66.67%</td>
<td>25.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative Predictive Value</td>
<td>79.31%</td>
<td>75.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### DISCUSSION

In this study pleural effusion analysis was done in total 32 patients and the results showed the male gender predominate with 59.38%. Same result of male pre-dominancy was found in a study conducted by Einarsson et al. in 2003. Exudative pleural effusions are more common than the transudative and is more helpful in diagnosing the etiology of the specimen. This study (93.75%) and various previous studies reported the same high percentage of the exudative effusion among the selected patients.

In this study 8 (25.00%) patients had tuberculosis pleural effusion, 2 (6.25%) were with malignant pleural effusion and 3 (9.375%) patients were with gram positive staphylococcus aureus and gram negative pseudomonas infection. Previously in one of the study malignancy is more common cause of pleural effusion than tuberculosis. Thus our study is in discordant in this respect, as in our settings tuberculosis is more common cause. This might be due to the difference in the inclusion criteria and the patients enrolled in the study.

Lymphocytosis with significantly increased total white cell count was observed in tuberculous pleural effusion. With lymphocyte count of more than 90% there are more chances of lymphoma and tuberculosis. Results of this study showed that the lymphocyte count and TLC was high in maximum patients. This lymphocytosis can be a helpful marker in defining the etiology of the exudative pleural effusion but it cannot be used as a sole marker in defining the cause of the pleural fluid.

In this study PCR was used to detect MTB from pleural effusion which was either culture positive or negative. Two samples were test positive by PCR which was culture positive and one culture negative sample was also test positive by PCR for MTB DNA. This might be due to non-random distribution or very low yield of the mycobacterium in the sample, as culture requires a minimum threshold of bacteria for growth (10-100 live bacilli) whereas PCR can detect and amplify the single copy of the genome but it cannot differentiate between active or latent infection. This can be achieved by comparing the results of PCR with clinical symptoms and other diagnostic tests like culture and radiological findings. The detection of MTB by PCR in smear and culture negative cases assumes a lot of clinical significance, because these cases can be treated for TB if have clinical symptoms. Whereas, in the absence of PCR one would have to wait for 6 weeks in case of culture or rely on non-specific findings to made a treatment.
regime. MTB smear had specificity 50.00% as compared to PCR specificity 95.83%. Direct smearing of pleural fluid have least diagnostic value because of the need of 10,000 bacilli per ml of fluid.

These results showed that PCR had high specificity (95%) as compared to smear. In this study all samples of pleural effusion were smear negative. So it may be concluded that smear is fast and easy but often produces false negative results for extra-pulmonary TB. The positive and negative predictive values observed in this study shows that NAA tests have high specificity and positivelikelihood ratios.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion tuberculosis is major cause of pleural effusion but there is also some percentage of gram positive and gram negative bacteria that is not negligible. According to this research, PCR can be used as specific and reliable test for the diagnosis of TB. However a combination of conventional methods and nucleic acid amplification test must be applied for the rapid and initial diagnosis of tuberculosis in paucibacillary specimens like pleural effusion.

The major limitation of the study is the small sample size of the population. The results of this study should be validated on larger sample size to standardize the specificity and sensitivity of the PCR.
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