ABSTRACT: Introduction: The increasing popularity of phacoemulsification in restoring good visual acuity has also led to an increase in the incidence of its complications like nucleus drop during the procedure. Proper management of such patients not only reduces intravitreal lens fragments related complications but also significantly improves the visual acuity. Objectives: To evaluate the clinical features of the eye with retained Intravitreal lens fragments after the phacoemulsification surgery. We also aimed to investigate the incidence of cystoid macular edema, retinal detachment, suprachoroidal hemorrhage and any other complication in patients after pars plana vitrectomy for retained intravitreal lens fragments. Setting: This study was carried out at the department of ophthalmology, Lahore General Hospital, Lahore. Period: The duration of study was one year 17-05-2004 to 28-04-2005. Study Design: Descriptive prospective. Material & Methods: The reported frequency of dislocated lens material at 0.3% is quiet low. Despite LGH being a referral center for retinal diseases, dropped nuclei and nuclear fragments constitute a very small fraction of the referred cases. Therefore the study was limited to 15 cases only so that it could be completed within specified period. Results: 15 eyes having retained lens matter after phacoemulsification surgery were included. Aphakia was present in 10(66.75%) patients. 5 (33.4%) patients were pseudophakic. Raised intraocular pressure was found in 11 (73.4%) patients, corneal edema in 7 (46.7%), uveitis in 6 (40%) patients. Two (13.4%) patients had retinal detachment at presentation. The range of visual acuity way perception and projection of light to counting fingers in 9 eyes (60%), 2/60-6/60 in 5 eyes (33.4%), 6/24 in 1 (6.64%) eye preoperatively. After vitrectomy, range of visual acuity was 6/9 to 6/18 in 9 patients (60%) and 6/24-6/60 in 6 patients (40%). The comparison of pre-operative visual acuity and that of last follow-up showed p<0.01 (statistically highly significant). Intraocular pressure was raised in only 2 patients (13.3%). No patient developed retinal detachment. Cystoid macular edema was found in only 2 patients (13.4%). No patient developed significant intraocular inflammation after vitrectomy. Conclusions: Patients having retained intravitreal lens fragments presented with raised intraocular pressure, reduced visual acuity, uveitis and retinal detachment. In such patients combined pars plana vitrectomy and secondary intraocular lens implantation reduced the risk of postoperative complications including secondary glaucoma, uveitis and helped in restoration of useful visual acuity.

Key words: Phacoemulsification, Retained Intravitreal Lens Fragments, Vitrectomy

INTRODUCTION

There is no significant medical treatment for cataract. Surgery is the treatment of choice for cataract. Various forms of extracapsular surgery (ECCE) with lens implantation arc the methods in vogue at the moment.

Phacoemulsification (phaco), a modification of ECCE, has found wide spread popularity in last decade. Phacoemulsification is generally carried out on immature cataract. After phacoemulsification intraocular lens (IOL) is implanted. Its advantage over ECCE is the relatively smaller incision that is required which leads to lower postoperative astigmatism and quicker rehabilitation time.

One of serious intraoperative complication of cataract extraction especially with phaco is dropped nuclei fragments or nucleus into vitreous after posterior capsule rupture. The causes may be inadequate experience in phaco surgery, sudden shallowing of anterior chamber, extension of anterior capsulorhexis, performing forceful hydro-dissection or due to some mechanical problem with phacoemulsification machine. (The overall incidence of dropped nucleus or nuclear fragments is 0.3%. The incidence of dropped nucleus by experienced surgeons is 0.2% while that in the hands of inexperienced surgeons it is 0.6%).

The clinical findings in these patients were decreased visual acuity to 6/60 or worse, severe to moderate corneal edema, raised intraocular pressure, pain, prolonged intraocular inflammation, retinal detachment (RD) and suprachoroidal hemorrhage. Reported series with long-term follow-up have found that retinal detachment occurs in about 15% of eye with retained lens fragments. If the lens fragments are in the posterior vitreous aggressive attempts to retrieve them from limbal approach are sometimes complicated by giant retinal tear.

Indirect ophthalmoscopy is very helpful in proper
detection of these nucleus fragments and detection of retinal detachment provided the media is clear. While in case of hazy media B-scan ultrasonography is of help to know the status of retina as well as to detect nuclear fragments or any kind of hemorrhage. The treatment of such patients is pars plana vitrectomy with removal of nuclear fragments or the nucleus with insertion of secondary IOL.

Poor visual acuity, raised IOP, intraocular inflammation, retinal detachment and CME are major complications in non-vitrectomised eyes. However retinal detachment may occur in vitrectomised eyes in very low percentage of patients. The Pars plana vitrectomy (PPV) for retained intravitreal lens fragments yield faster visual rehabilitation and better quality of vision.

Early vitrectomy (fewer than three weeks) is associated with better visual results while late vitrectomy results in limited visual acuity in high percentage of patients as well as increased risk for glaucoma & retinal detachment.

The major inlra-operative complications may be retinal detachment, cystoid macular edema (CME), suprachoridal hemorrhage, retinal breaks formation etc.

Retinal detachment is a well recognized complication in eyes undergoing PPV for removal of retained lens fragments. Despite of favorable retinal reattachment results visual acuity is often poor in such patients. These cases also have high incidence of corneal edema, CME and poor visual acuity. Similarly presence of retinal tear at time of pars plana vitrectomy (PPV) was associated with higher rate of retinal detachment after PPV.

Most of patients of early vitrectomy have good visual outcome (6/12 or better). The causes of poor visual acuity in such patients were cystoid macular edema, retinal detachment, age related maculopathy and myopic maculopathy.

**PURPOSE OF STUDY**

The aims of this study are:

1. To evaluate the clinical features of eye with retained intravitreal lens fragments after phacoemulsification.

2. To investigate the incidence of cystoid macular edema, retinal detachment, suprachoridal hemorrhage and any other complications in patients of Vitrectomy for retained intravitreal fragments.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

This study was carried out at the department of ophthalmology, Lahore General Hospital, Lahore. The reported frequency of dislocated lens material at 0.3% is quiet low. Despite LGH being a referral center for retinal diseases, dropped nuclei and nuclear fragments constitute a very small fraction of the referred cases. Therefore the study was limited to 15 cases only so that it could be completed within specified period. Ocular examination included recording of visual acuity, applanation tonometry, assessment of corneal clarity and degree of uveitis, fundal examination, and an estimation of the amount and nature of the retained lens fragments. Intraocular pressure was considered raised when above 21 mm Hg and then regular anti-glucoma medication was required. Corneal edema was considered significant when both stromal and epithelial edema were present and anterior uveitis was graded by the number of cells in the anterior chamber (1+ =minimum cells and 4+ = marked cells with hypopyon).

Vitrectomy was performed when mere was persistent uveitis and/or raised intraocular pressure (IOP) or when the amount of intravitreal lens material was considered to be great enough to produce chronic inflammation. Removal of lens material was accomplished using a standard three port pars plana vitrectomy technique with either ultrasonic fragmentation or mechanical crushing of lens fragments by the using the illuminated probe against the vitreous cutter port.

The duration of study was one year from 17-05-2004 to28-04-2005. Data was analyzed by SPSS, Version 10, qualitative data analysis with chi square & quantitative data analysis with student’s T test.

**RESULTS**

Fifteen eyes having retained intravitreal lens fragments...
after phacoemulsification were entered in this study. The age range of patients was 28-75 years with mean 59.57±2.95 years (Fig-1). Out of fifteen patients 7 (46.7%) were male and 8 (53.7%) were female (Fig-2).

The range of days between occurrence of complication and operative procedure was 1-60 days with mean 17.3±5.9 days. 10 patients (66.67%) came and were operated in first week, 1 (6.7%) patient in second week, 1 (6.7%) patient in third week and 3 patients (20.01%) after the third week (Table I).

At initial examination pre-operative visual acuity was PL PR in 5 patients (3 hand movement in 1 patient (6.7%), CF in 3 patients (20%), 6/60-2/60 in 5 patients (33.4%) and 6/24 in 1 (6.7%) patient. The visual acuity at end of third month (last follow-up) was 6/9 in 3 patients (20%), 6/12 in 4 patients (26.7%), 6/18 in 2 patients (13.4%), 6/24 in 1 patient (6.7%), 6/36 in 4 patients (26.7%) and 6/60 in 1 (6.7%) patient. \[p=0.0006, \text{p}<0.01 \text{(statistically significant)}\]\ (Table II).

Eleven patients (73.4%) out of 15 had increased intraocular pressure before vitrectomy. The pre-operative Mean IOP was 27 mmHg ±3.58. After vitrectomy only 2 eyes (13.4%) had raised IOP that was controlled medically in one week. \[p=0.0004, \text{p}<0.01 \text{(statistically significant)}\]\ (Table III).

The pre-operative clinical features noted at time of referral are listed in Table no 4. Regarding the posterior segment pre-operative findings nuclear fragments or whole nucleus was present in all cases while retinal detachment and CME was present in 2 (13.4%) patients each (Table-V).

In patients having retained intravitreal lens fragments, referring surgeons left 10 (66.75%) patients aphakic while 5 (33.4%) were pseudophakic. Only PPV was done in 3 patients (20%), PPV & Heavy Liquid in 3 patients (20%), PPV, Silicon oil & secondary IOL in 2 patients (13.3%) and PPV, Heavy Liquids & secondary IOL in 7 patients (46.7%) (Fig,3).

Regarding post-operative complications after vitrectomy the IOP was raised in 2 (13.3%) patients that were controlled medically in two weeks. Retinal detachment was not noted in any patient and CME was present in 2 (13.4%) patients. However it was not clear that whether it
was present pre-operatively or developed after PPV.

**DISCUSSION**

One of the basic human rights is the right to see. Cataract is responsible for blindness in more people than any other single ocular disease. Cataract is not preventable but is surgically curable. Quick rehabilitation following surgery is the aim of all surgeons. Day care surgery in ophthalmology was started in early 80's of last century. Small incision phaco is now a widely accepted and practiced all around the world because it has the potential for faster rehabilitation of the patient's visual acuity.

Dislocation of lens fragments into vitreous during phaco is an uncommon event. However, with wider use of technique this complication has been increasing in frequency. The incidence of dislocation of lens fragments into vitreous during phaco is 0.3% in

### Table II: Visual acuity (operative eyes)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VA</th>
<th>Pre-Op</th>
<th>1st Day</th>
<th>1st week</th>
<th>1st month</th>
<th>3rd month</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6/9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/18</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/24</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/36</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/60</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/60</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/60</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/60</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CF</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HM</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLPR</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table III: Intra-ocular pressure (AT) in operative eyes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IOP(AT) mmHG</th>
<th>Pre-OP</th>
<th>1st day</th>
<th>1st week</th>
<th>1st month</th>
<th>3rd month</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-30</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-40</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;40</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table IV: Clinical features before virectomy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical features</th>
<th>No. of cases</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Poor visual acuity</td>
<td>14 (93.4%)</td>
<td>6/60 or more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raised IOP</td>
<td>11 (73.4%)</td>
<td>21mmHg or more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retinal detachment</td>
<td>2 (13.4%)</td>
<td>Retinal detachment or tear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conjunctival edema</td>
<td>7 (46.7%)</td>
<td>Moderate to severe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uveitis</td>
<td>6 (40%)</td>
<td>AC cells + 2 or more</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table V: Pre & post operative complications (Operative eyes)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical findings</th>
<th>Pre-Op</th>
<th>1st day</th>
<th>1st week</th>
<th>1st month</th>
<th>3rd month</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CME</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fragments &amp; RD</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAD</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig-3. Procedure wise distribution**

[Graph showing distribution of procedures: PPV, PPV+Heavy liquid, PPV-silicon oil & IOL]
accordance with previous reports. The major indications for PPV in this study were removal of the intravitreal lens material to prevent complications and treatment of secondary glaucoma. In our study 11 (73%) out of 15 patients had increased IOP before vitrectomy. After vitrectomy 9 (60%) cases show normal IOP while 2 (13.4%) cases had still raised IOP that was controlled medically in one week. Furthermore when comparison done statistically of pre-operative IOP and IOP of last follow-up it showed p<0.01 [statistically highly significant] (table No IV).Some previous studies had found a low incidence of chronic glaucoma in cases of early vitrectomy whereas other authors did not find statistically any significant relation between the two. A marked inflammatory response is commonly found in a complicated phacoemulsification procedure. Irvine et al reported 4 cases who developed endophthalmitis with hypopyon 1-12 months after phacoemulsification complicated by posteriorly dislocated lens fragments. Similarly Kim et al published a report of five cases of coagulase negative staphylococcal endophthalmitis starting 5 days to 6 days after complicated phacoemulsification. It can be a diagnostic dilemma to differentiate between infective endophthalmitis and lens related uveitis, however vitrectomy has been reported to produce good results.

In present study 6 (40%) cases had significant uveitis and 7 (46.36%) had corneal edema but all had good results after vitrectomy. Retinal detachment is an important factor for determining the outcome of these cases. Wevy et al attributed 26% incidence of post-vitrectomy retinal detachment in their series due to use of fragmatome before vitreous removal as well as the use of heavy liquids. They also reported two retinal tears formed while pushing the nucleus against retina by fragmatome.

In our present study although 2 (13.4%) cases had pre-vitrectomy retinal detachment that needed a second procedure, no patient developed retinal detachment after PPV for lens fragments removal. Lewis et al found perfluorocarbons especially helpful in removing intravitreal lens material along with prevention of retinal detachment. In the present study, in 10 (66.7%) cases where perfluorocarbons liquids were used, no patient developed retinal detachment. An attempt was made to remove all vitreous with special attention to peripheral parts by employing scleral indentation. It was this technique, which explains the absence of new retinal detachment. Furthermore all cases were operated by a single vitreoretinal surgeon having fifteen years experience in vitreoretinal surgery. A previous study has shown 1.4% incidence of retinal detachment after ECCE without any significant relation between retinal detachment and phaco. However this risk increases to 18% where there is associated vitreous loss.

Some authors have proposed that chasing lens material in vitreous by an irrigating fluid steam or non-cutting instruments are major risk factors for development of retinal detachment by inducing traction at vitreous base. The over all incidence of retinal detachment in present study was 2 patients (13.4%) pre-operatively and no retinal detachment occurred post-operatively. Silicon oil was used in both cases to repair the retinal detachment. The incidence of CME is reported to be 1% after ECCE and it increased to 20% if complicated by vitreous loss and/or anterior vitrectomy. Kapsata et al found CME in 11.5% cases while Kim et al has found (his complication in 11% cases after PPV for complicated phaco). In the present study only 2 (13.4%) cases developed CME that resolved with time.

The ideal timing of vitreous surgery for lens fragments removal is controversial. Recent study has suggested that prognoses appear better if PPV is done within one week although a number of studies have found no correlation between visual outcome and timing of PPV. Previous studies however were retrospective and may be well biased by a tendency to operate early on eyes with greater volume of retained lens fragments, a more marked early inflammatory response or raised IOP at an early stage. It is notable that only one study found an increased incidence of retinal complications with early vitrectomy. In the present study it was observed that PPV done within one week showed better visual prognosis and decreased lens matter related complications as compared to cases that were operated after one week. In the present study 10 (66.75%) cases were operated within first week showed better postoperative visual acuity results as compared to 3
(20%) cases that came after third week.

The IOL implantation at the time of primary surgery is a relative contraindication. Fostenberg recommended leaving aphakia if there is intraoperative corneal edema or posterior dislocation of large and/or hard lens fragments posteriorly. Similarly, Wong et al strongly recommended against implantation if lens material dropped into vitreous as it may necessitate the use of fragmentation.

In the present study, IOL implantation was done by the referring surgeons in 5 (33.4%) cases in posterior chamber while remaining 10 (66.37%) cases were aphakic and posterior chamber IOL implantation was done at time of surgery. So we suggest that primary surgeon should insert PC IOL if certain about posterior capsular support and if there is only small amount of retained lens material.

Good visual acuity have been reported after PPV for retained inlavrretal lens fragments. In this study patients had pre-operative visual acuity PLPR-6/60 in 13 patients (86.7%), 6/36 in 2 patients (13.4%) and 6/24 in 1 (6.67%) case. However visual acuity improved to 6/9-6/18 in 9 patients (60%), 6/24-6/36 in 5 patients (33.4%) and 6/60 in 1 (6.67%) case respectively. This last case had late presentation in addition to retinal detachment. The above mentioned post-operative visual acuity was of last follow-up as well as it was corrected with glasses where needed. When comparison was done between pre-operative visual acuity and visual acuity of last follow-up it showed p<0.001 that is statistically highly significant (Table-II).

CONCLUSIONS
We have concluded from our study that combined vitrectomy and intraocular lens implantation in patients having retained lens matter presenting with raised intraocular pressure, reduced visual acuity, uveitis, cystoid macular edema and retinal detachment is an option to be considered to reduce the risk of post operative complications including secondary glaucoma, uveitis and restoration of good visual acuity. If management of such cases is done by vitreoretinal surgeons then there is also very low rate of retinal detachment which is one of the sight threatening complications in such cases. So all anterior segment surgeons should preferably refer such cases to vitreoretinal surgeons for proper management.
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