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ABSTRACT... The aim of this study was to determine the sensitivity of Periodic acid –Schiff (PAS) staining an early and quick effective diagnostic test of nail clipping with routine tests in the diagnosis of Onychomycosis. The routine gold standard for diagnosis of fungal nail infections has been direct microscopy (KOH mount) and mycological culture which often yield delayed or weak/false negative results. However recent studies have suggested that nail plate biopsy using PAS stain is rapid method of detection that grasped the diagnosis and manage the disease. Study Design: Cross sectional descriptive study. Setting: 320 clinical diagnosed cases of onychomycosis performed at Department of Microbiology, Quaid-e-Azam Medical College Bahawalpur and Department of Histopathology King Edward medical University Lahore. Period: January 2012 to August 2018. Materials and Methods: The parameter looked in the sent investigation were histopathology PAS stain, mycological culture and microscopy. Presences of intensely stained reddish dots or threads like structures in between the cells of nail plate were considered to be positive results on histopathology with periodic acid Schiff (PAS). The microscopic study showed hyphae or spores and growth of organism concluded by morphological colony characteristics on Sabouraud’s dextrose agar (SDA) periodically after 4 weeks. Result: out of total 320 cases, 81.25% was positive for histopathology PAS stain. Culture positivity was 60% and KOH mount recovered 52.5% positive. The combination of PAS stain and culture results showed 90.62% while Culture and KOH were 62.5% in nail clipping specimen. Conclusion: Histopathological PAS technique was found to be more effective than other laboratory methods for the diagnosis of Onychomycosis.
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INTRODUCTION
Onychomycosis is a growing global health problem and dermatophyte, non-dermatophyte, yeast or molds are the causative agents. Infection due to dermatophyte is known as Tinea unguium. The prevalence of the disease is rising over the world and ranges from 2.1% to 9.1%.¹,²

The diagnosis of onychomycosis with routine histopathological H&E examination are not considered for nail clippings. The sensitivity of PAS (Periodic acid-Schiff) is reported in literature as better then mycological culture and KOH microscopy. This increasing reliance makes it apparently “gold standard” in diagnosis of onychomycosis.³ Clinically, Onychomycosis starts as a yellowish discoloration of the nail that are further driven towards thick, rough, crumb and separated, which ultimately developed into debris that is accumulated under the nail bed. This thickening and dystrophy result in pressure erosions of the nail bed and Hyponychium.⁴

Onychomycosis traditionally refers to the infection caused by non-dermatophytes but increasingly it is being used to denote all fungal infections of the nails. The term Onychomycosis is derived from the Greek word “onyx” a nail and “mykes” a fungus,⁵ which can be classified into various types including i) distolateral subungal onychomycosis
(DLSO); ii) superficial white onychomycosis (SWO); iii) proximal subungal onychomycosis (PSO); iv) candida onychomycosis (CO); and v) total dystrophic onychomycosis (TDO). The general risk factors for onychomycosis are nail trauma, diabetes, immunodeficiency, hyperhydrosis, peripheral vascular diseases, male gender, poor hygiene, increasing age and chronic exposure of nails to water in candidal onychomycosis. Diabetes mellitus increases the risk of onychomycosis twice and HIV patients are found to be 15 -40% as compared with the general population. There is higher prevalence of dermatophyte in temperate zone and moulds such as aspergillus species and fusarium species found in tropical and subtropical countries.

In childhood, however onychomycosis is rare. It is particularly unusual under 6 years of age. The incidence reaches 0.5 – 2.6% of all children and toenail are affected more commonly than fingernail.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Three hundred twenty patients with clinical suspecting the diagnosis of Onychomycosis reporting to Dermatology outpatient department of Bahawal Victoria Hospital Bahawalpur and JPMC Karachi, a tertiary care hospital, were included in this study. Non-probability convenience sampling technique was applied. The study was carried out from January 2012 to August 2018. Clinical evaluation included detailed history of trauma, occupation, sharing of common facilities, personal habits such as smoking and drinking, personal hygiene, hyperhydrosis and different predisposing diseases. The most severely affected nail was selected for specimen collection. All the three tests (Histopathology PAS stain, KOH mount microscopy and mycology culture) were included in the study. This study included patients of age group 01 days to 70 years irrespective of genders, suffering more than one nails. Patients with a history of use of antifungal drugs, psoriasis, lichen planus, contact dermatitis and other systemic diseases were excluded from the study.

The specimens comprised of nail clipping immersed in 20% KOH were slightly warmed for softening for both low and high power direct microscopy. The presence of fungal elements i.e. hyphae, spores, budding cells and pseudo-hyphae were noted. If fungal elements were detected than nail inserted on SDA (Sabouraud’s dextrose) agar and incubated at 37°C. The growth observed periodically for 4 weeks and then follow colony characteristics by cotton blue solution for identification of species. Nail clippings were fixation in 10% formalin and then it was treated with 4% phenol for softening. The further processing included dehydration, embedding in paraffin blocks sectioning by microtome machine mounting on slide. PAS staining were performed it showed the presences of intensely stained reddish dots or threadlike structures between the cell of nail plate and were considered to be the positive results.

RESULT
Out of three hundred twenty patients, 200 cases were male while 120 was female (Figure-1). Their age ranged from 01 days to 70 years. This study observed that 21 to 30-year age group having more tendency of nail fungal infections that is 50% (Figure-2). PAS staining histopathological examination revealed positive results in 81.25%, while mycological culture showed 60% and direct microscopy showed 52.5% results. It was also observed that the combination of PAS and mycological culture revealed 90.62% positive results respectively while KOH and mycological culture showed 62.5% results. (Table-I). The positive culture showed Dermatophyte were 108 (56.25%) while non-dermatophyte was 84 (43.75%) (Table-II).

PAS staining showing the more accurate for the diagnosis of onychomycosis either single or combination with routine laboratory methods.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Positive n (%)</th>
<th>Negative n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PAS</td>
<td>260 (81.25%)</td>
<td>60 (18.75%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Culture</td>
<td>192 (60%)</td>
<td>128 (40%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KOH</td>
<td>168 (52.5%)</td>
<td>152 (47.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAS and Culture</td>
<td>290 (90.62%)</td>
<td>30 (9.38%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KOH and Culture</td>
<td>200 (62.5%)</td>
<td>120 (37.5%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table-I. Description of diagnostic laboratory methods for the diagnosis of onychomycosis (n=320)
## DISCUSSION

Onychomycosis is the fungal infection of the nail units because fungi cause partial or whole nail destruction. The appropriate diagnostic instrument is vital to ensure clinical identification. We aimed to compare histopathology examination-PAS with culture and direct microscopy, for diagnosis of onychomycosis by evaluating their sensitivity.\(^\text{16}\)

In this study our results PAS histopathological staining reveal 81.25% results which is consistent with Shenoy et al.\(^\text{15}\) who showed PAS 75%. Our results are at par with another authors Alkhayat et al.\(^\text{17}\) that showed PAS stain was positive in 79% cases. Weinberg et al.\(^\text{18}\) that evaluated onychomycosis and found PAS 92% positive, consistent with our results that is 81.25%. PAS staining by Ahmad R et al.\(^\text{19}\) that showed 77% results, is consistent with our results. Comparing the direct microscopy, fungal culture and histological staining by Wilsmann-Theis D et al.\(^\text{20}\) concluded that the sensitivity of PAS is 82% and culture 53% that are consistent with our results PAS is 81.25% and culture is 60% positive. The causative agent for onychomycosis is dermatophyte recovered in our study is 56.25% matched with 50% results by Gianni C et al.\(^\text{21}\) Comparing the different Laboratory techniques in our results, PAS showed 81.25% positive and culture 60% is consistent with Lilly KK.\(^\text{22}\) recovered PAS positive is 98.8% and culture is 57.3%. The study done by Jung MY et al.\(^\text{23}\) that concluded PAS with combination of culture showed 94.1%, and KOH combination with culture showed 70% that is consistent with our results that was 90.62% and PAS with combination of culture results is 94% positive that is consistent with our results that was 81.25% and 90.62 % respectively. The study of Lawry MA et al.\(^\text{24}\) who showed PAS was positive in 85% and PAS with combination of culture was positive in 85% and 90.62 % respectively.

## CONCLUSION

PAS (Periodic acid–Schiff) staining is more efficient techniques for the diagnosis of Onychomycosis than other methods routinely adapted in pathology laboratory.

## RECOMMENDATION

PAS histopathological staining routinely...
performed as a diagnostic tool in pathology laboratory for improving the accuracy for the diagnosis of onychomycosis.
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