FUNCTIONAL OUTCOME OF SUBTROCHANTERIC FRACTURES OF FEMUR FIXED WITH DYNAMIC CONDYLAR SCREW (DCS).

Masroor Ahmed¹, Ghulam Hussain², Mukesh Kumar³, Nida⁴, Rukhsana Hamid⁵

Femur Fracture (STFF).

ABSTRACT... Objectives: To determine functional outcome of Subtrochanteric femur fractures fixed with dynamic condylar screw. Study Design: Single group quasi experimental United Medical and Dental College. study. Setting: Orthopedic Surgery, creek general hospital, united medical and dental college and KVSS SITE hospital Karachi. Period: February 2015 to November 2016. Material & Methods: Hamdard University and KVSS Site All the patients with Type 32A ($A_1 A_{2 and} A_3$) subtrochanteric fractures of femur presenting within two weeks of injury were included in the study. Functional outcome was assessed by modified Schatzker and Lambert Criteria. Results: 79 patients with closed subtrochanteric fractures were included in study. The mean age of the patients was 41.2±12.98 years, mean duration of fracture was 4.58±1.25 days. Male to female ratio was 2.04 to 1, 42(53.16%) were injured in road traffic accident and 37(46.84%) were due to fall, right side were effected in 40.51% cases and left side effected in 59.49% cases. Acceptable functional outcome achieved in 82.28% (65/79) of cases. Conclusion: We conclude that open reduction and internal fixation are the best procedure to treat difficult fractures like subtrochanteric fractures and to avoid complications like implant failure, nonunion, and mal-union. In our study we attained satisfactory results by the use of dynamic condylar screw in patients with subtrochanteric fracture of femur.

Article Citation: Ahmed M, Hussain G, Kumar M, Nida, Hamid R. Functional outcome of

(DCS). Professional Med J 2020; 27(2):225-229.

DOI: 10.29309/TPMJ/2020.27.2.2514

Dynamic Condylar Screw (DCS), Functional Outcome, Subtrochanteric

Subtrochanteric Fractures femur fixed with Dynamic Condylar Screw

Correspondence Address: Dr. Masroor Ahmed United Medical and Dental College. drmasroor68@hotmail.com

Jinnah post graduate and medical

Consultant Orthopedic Surgeon

Assistant Professor of Physiology

Fatima Memorial College of Dentistry Lahore

Consultant General Surgeon

Article received on: 15/12/2018 Accepted for publication: 25/03/2019

1. MBBS, MRCS, FCPS

Assistant Professor

Assistant Professor

Hospital Karachi. 3. MBBS, MRCS, FCPS

5. MBBS, MRCS, FCPS

2. MBBS, FCPS

4. MBBS, M.Phil

center.

INTRODUCTION

Subtrochanteric fractures report for 10-34% of hip fractures.¹ Subtrochanteric region lies between lesser trochanter to about 5cm distal to it. STFF have bimodal age of distribution with low energy trauma i.e minor fall and minor trauma are the main reason due to osteoporotic bone in old age people and high energy trauma or fall from a height are the main reasons in young aged people.²

Key words:

Subtrochanteric fractures are difficult to treat because of various anatomic, biologic and biomechanical factors which make this region difficult for treating surgeons.³ It is mainly cortical area having poor vascularity, which prolongs the healing time.⁴ there are high tensile and compressive forces in medial cortex distal and lateral to lesser trochanter. Biologically extensive fragment the commination and

compromises healing.5 devitalization bone Surgical treatment is preferred mode of treatment in case of subtrochanteric fractures in adults.⁶ STFF can be fixed in different ways but no single implant is superior to others in terms of fixation⁷ and includes extramedullary as well as intramedullary devices. In the management of proximal and distal femoral fractures specially AO classification, dynamic condylar screw (DCS) is used to maintaining the blood supply and vitality of all fragments by avoidance of medial dissection.8 Present study we find out the outcomes of the

MATERIAL & METHODS

Single group quasi experimental study was carried out in the department of Orthopedic Surgery, creek general hospital, united medical and dental college and KVSS SITE hospital Karachi from 15th February 2015 to 30th November

DCS in the treatment of subtrochanteric fractures.

2016. 72 Sample size is based on patient's visits and received surgery during study duration. Nonprobability convenient sampling technique was used. Patients of both sex, aged between 20 to 70 years that presented within 2 weeks of injury were included in study, and patients with pathological fracture, open fracture or associated with any other head or abdominal injury were excluded from study.

After taking informed consent from the patients regarding study and surgery was operated on elective list under spinal anesthesia. Patient in supine position on a traction table, lateral approach was made to the subtrochanteric region. A guide wire was placed on the anterior surface of the femoral neck to determine the anteversion. Another guide pin was inserted across the femoral neck. An appropriate length condylar screw was then applied over the guide. The condylar screw was engaged in the subchondral bone of the lower quadrant of the femoral head. A suitable side plate was applied after attempting anatomic reduction, At least four screws were applied distal to the main fracture. Post-operative rehabilitation was similar in all the patients with active and passive range of motion starting on 1st postoperative day and non-weight bearing mobilization was allowed in 1st week with crutches.

Patients were discharged after 1 week of surgery and then followed up in outpatient department. Final functional outcome was assessed by criteria laid down by Schatzker and Lambert modified by Radford P.J. and Howell C.J, 1992.⁸ (Table-I) at the end of 3rd month of surgery and good to excellent results were recorded as acceptable. All the data were recorded on pre-designed proforma. Data feeding and analysis was done on statistical software packages (SPSS 18.0).

RESULTS

79 patients with closed subtrochanteric fractures of femur were included in this study. There were 58.2% cases were below 30 years of age and 41.7% cases were between 41 to 70 years of age. The mean age of the patients was 41.2 ± 12.98 years [Range: 21 - 70] and mean duration of

fracture was 4.58 ± 1.25 days [Range: 2-10 as shown in Table-I.

There were 53(67.09%) male and 26(32.91%) female patients. Male to female ratio was 2.04 to 1, 42(53.16%) were injured in road traffic accident and 37(46.84%) were due to fall, similarly right side were effected in 40.51% cases and left side effected in 59.49% cases. Out of 53 male, 60.45% (32/53) were injured due to RTA and 39.6% (39.6%) were fall from height while out of 26 female, 38.5% (10/26) were injured due to RTA and 61.5% (16/26). Surgical procedures on 62 were performed within 5 days while 17 patients were operated in 5 to 10 days.

Functional outcome in our study turned out to be excellent in 29(36.71%), good in 36 (45.57%), moderate in 9(11.39%) and poor in 5(6.33%). Acceptable functional outcome of subtrochanteric femur fractures fixed by dynamic condylar screw was 82.28% (65/79) as shown in Figure-1.

Percentile of acceptable outcome was significantly high in below and equal to 40 years of patients having subtrochanteric femur fractures as presented in Table-II, while acceptable outcome was 84.9% in male and 76.9% in female as shown in Table-III. Similarly percentage of acceptable outcome was also not significant with respect to mode of delivery and duration of fracture as presented in Table-III.





SUBTROCHANTERIC FRACTURES

Excellent	Good (any one of the following)	Moderate (any two of the following)	Poor (any of the following)
Full extension	Loss of length not >1.2cm	Loss of length not >1.2cm	Flexion <90º varus or valgus >15º
Loss of flexion <10°	Varus or valgus deformity of <10°	Varus or valgus deformity of <10°	joint incongruence
No varus or valgus deformity	Flexion loss of not >20°	Flexion loss of not >20°	disabling pain
Perfect joint congruency	Minimal pain	Minimal pain	
No pain			

Table-I. Schatzker and lambert criteria modified by radford P.J. and howell C.J. 1992.

Statistics		Duration of Fracture (days)
Mean		4.58
Lower	38.29	4.30
Upper	44.11	4.86
Median		4.00
Std. Deviation		1.25
Inter quartile Range		1

Table-I. Descriptive statistics of study patients n=79.

Age Groups (Years)	Acceptable Outcome		Total	
	Yes (n=65)	No (n=14)	Iotai	
21 to 30 Years	16(88.9%)	2(11.1%)	18	
31 to 40 Years	26(92.9%)	2(7.1%)	28	
41 o 50 Years	12(75%)	4(25%)	16	
>50 Years	11(64.7%)	6(35.3%)	17	
Chi Savara C. 27, n. 0.070 Linear bulinear Accessibility 5.07 n. 0.000				

Chi-Square=6.87; p=0.076 Linear by Linear Association=5.27 p=0.022

Table-II. Functional outcome of subtrochanteric femur fractures fixed by dynamic condylar screw with respect to age groups.

		Total		
Yes (n=65)	No (n=14)			
45(84.9%)	8(15.1%)	53		
20(76.9%)	6(23.1%)	26		
Chi-Square=0.76; p=0.38				
	45(84.9%) 20(76.9%) Chi-Square	45(84.9%) 8(15.1%) 20(76.9%) 6(23.1%)		

Table-III. Functional outcome of subtrochanteric femur fractures fixed by dynamic condylar screw with respect togender.

DISCUSSION

Treatment of subtrochanteric fractures remains a difficult task, though many implants are available but no single implant is superior to other in terms of fixation of these fractures. Difficulties arise due to biomechanical and anatomical reasons. Main goal in treating these fractures is to achieve anatomical reduction and rigid fixation with adequate union with optimal functional outcome. Dynamic condylar screw provides good fixation in cancellous bone of neck and head along with providing considerable rotational stability.⁹ In our study of 79 patients, the mean age was 41.2 ± 12.98 years; Baumagaertal 1994¹¹ reported a series of 24-subtrochanteric fractures with age range 16-96 years average age 46 years. Regarding Gender status, there were 67.09% males and 32.91% female, Buamgaertel 1994¹¹ reported series of having twenty male (58.7%) and 10 female patient (41.3%) male to female

227

3

Professional Med J 2020;27(2):225-229.

www.theprofesional.com

ratio was (1.4:1) it is evident that male patients are more commonly affected than females. Road traffic accident was found to be a dominant cause of injury as 53.16% were injured in road traffic accident and 37(46.84%) were injured due to fall, Jekic et al 1993¹² reported a series of 63 subtrochanteric fractures 40 patients' sustained fractures due to the road traffic accidents (63.4%).

There is different outcome of subtrochanteric fractures treated with dynamic condylar screws in different studies done in different countries e.g. study carried out by Radford and Howell in Nottingham showed 64% excellent to good results,13 another study carried out in Kuwait showed 83% good results using dynamic condylar screw for subtrochanteric fractures¹⁴ and other study carried out by neogi et al showed 95% good results.¹⁵ In our study acceptable practical outcome of subtrochanteric femur fractures fixed by dynamic condylar screw was 82.28% (65/79). DCS fixation for femoral fractures is a very practical and a satisfactory method of fixation. Minimal striping of the soft tissues and gentle fragmentary manipulation intra operatively remained the main factors for fracture healing and functional outcome.¹⁶ Kulkarni ET al¹⁷ presented excellent and good results in 77% of patients and, failure was in 23% of cases. Sharma in his study that excellent results were obtained in 80% and good in 12% and fair in 8% of patients with subtrochanteric fractures fixed with Dynamic condylar screw.18

CONCLUSION

From our study of 79 patients of subtrochanteric fractures fixed with dynamic condylar screw (DCS), we recommend that the DCS is the excellent implant for management of such fractures because of cost effective ness, availability, functional outcome when comparing with other devices for management of such fractures. We conclude that subtrochanteric fractures are one of the difficult fractures to treat and requires open reduction and internal fixation to avoid complications like non-union, mal-union and implant failure. In our study we achieved sufficient results by the use of dynamic condylar screw in patients with subtrochanteric fracture of

femur

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

Authors hold no conflict of interest in this study. **Copyright**© **25 Mar, 2019.**

REFERENCES

- Laghari MA, Makhdoom A, Pahore MK, Memon A, Memon FA. Subtrochanteric femoral fractures treated by fixation with dynamic condylar screw system. JLUMHS. 2011 Sep; 10(03):134.
- Reiter MJ, Bui-Mansfield LT, O'Brien SD, Tubb CC. Subtrochanteric femur fractures: Review of the complete pathologic spectrum with emphasis on distinguishing imaging features. Journal of computer assisted tomography. 2015 Jan 1; 39(1):47-56.
- Chaturvedi B, Banerjee S, Ali SI. Study of internal fixation of subtrochantric fracture of femur with dynamic hip screw, dynamic condylar screw and proximal femoral nail. International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications. 2015 Oct.
- Saini P, Kumar R, Shekhawat V, Joshi N, Bansal M. Biological fixation of comminuted subtrochanteric fractures with proximal femur locking compression plate. Injury. 2013 Feb 28; 44(2):226-31.
- Krettek C, Müller M, Miclau T. Evolution of minimally invasive plate osteosynthesis (MIPO) in the femur. Injury. 2001 Dec 1; 32:14-23.
- 6. Stover M. Distal femoral fractures: current treatment, results and problems. Injury. 2001 Dec 1; 32:3-13.
- Canale ST, Lavelle DG, Fracture and dislocation of hip. Campbell's operative orthopedics Philadelphia: Mosby Elsevier; 2003.2897
- Shroff A, Herode P, Patel JM, Sadaria MH, Nair V. Comparative study between locking compression plate vs. supracondylar nail for supracondylar femur fractures. Orthopedic Research and Reviews. 2016 Oct 9; 1(1).
- Liu P, Wu X, Shi H, Liu R, Shu H, Gong J, Yang Y, Sun Q, Wu J, Nie X, Cai M. Intramedullary versus extramedullary fixation in the management of subtrochanteric femur fractures: A meta-analysis. Clinical interventions in aging. 2015; 10:803.
- Gamal M, Amin AH, Kelany O. Comparative study between submuscular biological and open anatomic dynamic condylar screw plating for comminuted subtrochanteric fracture femur in adults. J Am Sci 2012; 8(11):421-29.

4

Professional Med J 2020;27(2):225-229.

- Shah MN, Patel JS. Outcomes of retrograde nailing of distal femoral fractures in Indian population: A prospective study. International Journal of Medical Science and Public Health. 2016 Apr 1; 5(4):769-72.
- 12. Tang P, Hu F, Shen J, Zhang L, Zhang L. Proximal femoral nail antirotation versus hemiarthroplasty: A study for the treatment of intertrochanteric fractures. Injury. 2012 Jun 30; 43(6):876-81.
- Ma CH, Tu YK, Yu SW, Yen CY, Yeh JH, Wu CH. Reverse LISS plates for unstable proximal femoral fractures. Injury. 2010 Aug 31; 41(8):827-33.
- Matre K, Havelin LI, Gjertsen JE, Vinje T, Espehaug B, Fevang JM. Sliding hip screw versus IM nail in reverse oblique trochanteric and subtrochanteric fractures. A study of 2716 patients in the Norwegian Hip Fracture Register. Injury. 2013 Jun 30; 44(6):735-42.

- Neogi DS, Trikha V, Mishra KK, Rohilla N, Yadav CS. Biological plate fixation of comminuted sub trochanteric fractures with the Dynamic Condylar Screw: A clinical study Acta Orthop.Belg.2009, 75, 497-503.
- Haider SA, Asghar A. Dynamic condylar screw fixation for comminuted proximal femur fractures. J Surg Pak.2011 Des; 6(4):11.
- 17. Kulkarni SS, Moran CJ. **Results of dynamic condylar** screw for sub trochanteric fractures. Injury. 2003; 34:117-22.
- Sharma V, Sharma S, Sharma S, Singh N, Dang H: Management of sub trochanteric femoral fractures by dynamic condylar screw (DCS). Internet J Orthop Surg. 2009; 11: 2.

AUTHORSHIP AND CONTRIBUTION DECLARATION

Sr. #	Author(s) Full Name	Contribution to the paper	Author(s) Signature
1	Masroor Ahmed	Cheif Author, Main concept, Proof reading.	www.conter-
2	Ghulam Hussain	Review of literature, Proof reading, Data collection.	Home .
3	Mukesh Kumar	References, Review of literature.	Marked Kun
4	Nida	Data analysis.	Nile
5	Rukhsana Hamid	Data analysis, Reclification of reviewing.	Repeternethere.