ABSTRACT... There is a continued debate on fate of spilled bile with gallstones during laparoscopic cholecystectomy, so we felt that the outcome needs further evaluation in detail. Although laparoscopic cholecystectomy become increasingly popular, but it is associated with a slightly higher chances of injury to biliary tree and perforation of gallbladder with spillage of bile only or with gallstones. Objectives: (1) To evaluate fate of spilled bile with gallstones during laparoscopic cholecystectomy. (2) To assess various possible outcomes. (3) Suggestions to prevent these and their management. Design of study: Prospective study. Setting: Surgical unit of Muhammad Medical College Hospital, Mirpurkhas. Period: February 2008 to April 2011. Data source: Total 100 patients who underwent elective laparoscopic cholecystectomy were included. Age, sex, duration of operation, operative findings, duration of hospital stay and post-op complications were recorded in proforma and analyzed on SSP version 10. Material and method: The patients who underwent cholecystectomy, and had intra-operative spillage were short-listed, included in this study and followed up. Short-term follow-up was based on OPD visits for 2 to 3 weeks postoperatively, and long-term follow-up was achieved by regular OPD visits or telephone conversation in patients at a mean of 1.4 years (range 2 to 39 months). All minor or major complications were recorded in preformed proforma. Results: A total of 100 patients underwent laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Among the patients who underwent elective laparoscopic cholecystectomy the incidence of iatrogenic perforation of the gallbladder is around 40%, of whom about 22% had spillage of only bile and 18% in whom spillage of both bile and gallstones. Conclusions: It is concluded that laparoscopic cholecystectomy with gall bladder perforation along and spillage of bile and stones took longer operative time than intact gall bladder. We suggest that attempts should be made to irrigate the operative field to evacuate spilled bile and to retrieve all gallstones spilled during the operative procedure. In our study, we revealed that no harm is caused by retained gallstones during laparoscopic cholecystectomy after long term followup by evaluation.
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INTRODUCTION

Laparoscopic cholecystectomy is now the gold standard for the treatment of symptomatic gallstone disease. Although the overall complication rate is less than the traditional open approach, there are two operative complications that occur with greater frequency during laparoscopy. One is bile duct injury or bile leakage, and the other appears to be late infection due to dropped gallstones.\(^1,2\) During laparoscopic cholecystectomy, because of perforation of the gallbladder, the rate of bile leak and loss of gallstones into the peritoneum has been reported to be between 3% and 33%,\(^1,4\) as compared to our study which is 40%.

During laparoscopic cholecystectomy, usually gallbladder gets perforated while gallbladder dissection from liver bed or while extracting through the port or during holding for retraction of gallbladder. Spillage of gallbladder contents is thought to be relatively innocuous.

Although rarely clinically significant, intra-peritoneal gallstone spillage may cause localized or systemic infection, inflammation, fibrosis, adhesion, cutaneous sinuses, fistula, small bowel obstruction, generalized sepsis, empyema, and intra-abdominal and extra abdominal abscess.\(^3,4\) Most surgeons believe that free intra-peritoneal gallstones are harmless and therefore not a justification for conversion to laparotomy\(^5\) even if a large number is left in situ.

Nevertheless, recognition of this unusual entity is important because the clinical presentation can be confusing and the diagnosis significantly delayed.\(^6,9\) Bile leakage can be diagnosed soon after operation, but intra-peritoneal gallstone spillage can be manifested months
to years after operation, and have a confusing preservation, leading to further diagnostic examinations. Most such diagnostic tests are time consuming and expensive. Because gallstone spillage can have long-term unwanted consequences, conversion to laparotomy as an instant management tool is one of the topics under discussion in laparoscopic cholecystectomy.

In this study, we discuss the option of not converting to laparotomy after intra-peritoneal gallstone spillage as an acceptable approach.

MATERIAL AND METHODS
Among the patients who underwent cholecystectomy, patients who had intra-operative spillage were short listed, included in this study and followed up. Short-term follow-up was based on a OPD visit 2 to 3 weeks postoperatively, and long-term follow-up was achieved by regular OPD visits or telephone conversation at a mean of 1.4 years (range 2 to 36 months).

Operative procedure
A four-trocar technique with a 0-degree angled laparoscopic video camera was used. Dissection of the gallbladder was performed using a combination of electro-cautery and blunt dissection with fine graspers, and the cystic artery and cystic duct were ligated with titanium clips. The gallbladder was removed through epigastric port. When perforation of the gallbladder occurred, attempts were made to retrieve all spilled stones, and the peritoneal cavity was irrigated with saline solution to evacuate the spilled bile. Patients typically received one preoperative and one postoperative dose of antibiotic, most commonly a cephalosporin.

RESULTS
Among the patients who underwent elective laparoscopic cholecystectomy the incidence of iatrogenic perforation of the gallbladder is 40%, of whom about 22% had spillage of only bile and 18% in whom spillage of both bile and gallstones The mean age of the perforated gallbladder group was greater than that of the intact group (46years vs 40years; P < 0.001). Iatrogenic perforation of the gallbladder was higher in the first year (2008) of our experience with laparoscopic cholecystectomy (60%), but the incidence decreased progressively each year thereafter to 20% in 2010. Perforation of the gallbladder occurred during dissection of the gallbladder from the liver in 26% of patients, during extraction through the abdominal wall in 10%) and as a result of intra-operative retraction in 4%. The operative time for patients in the perforated group was slightly longer (100 minutes vs. 46 minutes; P < 001) but of little clinical significance. Mean hospital stay is longer in case of perforated group ranging from 2-3days where as less than 2 days in other group. In our study, we revealed that no harm caused by retained gallstones during laparoscopic cholecystectomy after long term follow-up by evaluation.

**Table-I. Results and observations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Age (Years)</th>
<th>Omental Adhesion</th>
<th>Duration of Surgery (Minutes)</th>
<th>Hospital Stay (Days)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spill group</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Mean 100 minutes</td>
<td>2-3 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-spill group</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Not Present</td>
<td>Mean 46 minutes</td>
<td>&lt; 2 days</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table-II. Learning curve affect on laparoscopic**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Total patient</th>
<th>Bile leakage</th>
<th>Bile + Stone leakage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>8 (32%)</td>
<td>7 (28%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>7 (23.33%)</td>
<td>5 (16.66%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>7 (23.33%)</td>
<td>3 (10%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2 (13.33%)</td>
<td>1 (6.66%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISCUSSION
Laparoscopic cholecystectomy is gold standard treatment for symptomatic cholelithiasis. The procedure, however, is not without complications, most notably a higher incidence of biliary tract injuries compared to open cholecystectomy. There are case reports of gallstones lost at the time of surgery.
subsequently causing intra-abdominal abscesses\textsuperscript{11,12}, empyema,\textsuperscript{13,14} abdominal wall abscesses,\textsuperscript{15,16} cutaneous sinus tracts\textsuperscript{17} and bladder fistulas\textsuperscript{18}. It remains unclear whether stone spillage should be considered an indication for conversion to an open cholecystectomy\textsuperscript{19}. Although these complications appear to be rare, their actual incidences are unknown.

To determine the potential consequence of spilled gallstones in the abdominal cavity a number of animal studies have been undertaken. Welch et al\textsuperscript{20} and Cohen et al\textsuperscript{21} conclude that free intra-peritoneal gallstones are harmless and thus do not warrant exploratory laparotomy. Johnson et al\textsuperscript{22} found that leakage of bile in combination with gallstones was associated with a significant risk of postoperative adhesion formation and possible Intraabdominal abscesses. Gurleyik et al\textsuperscript{23} and Johnston S et al\textsuperscript{24} concluded that chemical composition plays a major role in the fate of intra-abdominal gallstones and that patients who have retained intra-abdominal pigmented stones should be followed closely because of the high prevalence of complications.

Many clinical studies have been undertaken to determine the potential consequence of spilled gallstones in the abdominal cavity. Soper and Dunnegan\textsuperscript{25} and Schafer et al\textsuperscript{26}, who analyzed 10,174 laparoscopic cholecystectomies performed at 82 surgical institutions over a 3-year period, their findings showed that the mortality rate and the incidence of serious complications of retained gallstones are extremely low. They advised surgeons against converting laparoscopic cholecystectomy to an open procedure in case of spillage. These authors emphasized the need for removal of as many calculi as possible during laparoscopy. They also noted that percutaneous drainage of intra-abdominal abscesses in most of their patients was ineffective if the inciting gallstones were not removed\textsuperscript{28,29}.

Almost all surgical approaches have a potential of unwanted or unexpected outcome. The main goal for all surgeons should be to manage their own complications with minimal harm to the patient physically and psychologically\textsuperscript{30}. From this point of view, a complication can be accepted as an unwanted consequence of a surgical approach. We recommend these steps during laparoscopic cholecystectomy in case of spillage:

- Informed consent from patient and family preoperatively and mentioning that dropped stones are common depending on the size of stones and condition of the gallbladder wall and liver bed.
- Every effort should be made to retrieve the gallstones and the peritoneum should be irrigated with copious saline, in case of spillage.
- There is no need for converting the laparoscopic procedure to a laparotomy for spilled stones, but it should be essential to document spilled stones in the operation notes.
- All possible but unlikely consequences of the spillage should be informed to the patient.
- The surgeon should have long term follow up of these patients unlike other routine cholecystectomy, as there is possibility of delayed complications.
- In view of confusing delayed clinical presentation during post-op period, surgeon should to alert to rule out possible complications due to spillage and manage them accordingly.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The outcome as serious complications after intraoperative spillage of gallbladder contents during laparoscopic cholecystectomy is low. The surgeon should inform the patient preoperatively about the possibility of gallstone spillage. If spillage does occur, the patient should be informed postoperatively of the event. Such patients should be kept under close control to avoid wastage time and money for unnecessary examinations, as well as the psychological trauma associated with wrong diagnosis like malignancy as spilled gallstone may mimic malignancy in years to come. Surgeons should not hesitate to record the events and inform the patient about the spillage of gallstones and possible consequences. If gallstones are knowingly spilled within the abdominal cavity, every attempt should be made to remove all gallstones. Because infective complications are rare following gallbladder perforation, conversion to laparotomy is not routinely indicated.
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