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ABSTRACT: In obstetrics, the rate of caesarean section has consistently expanded globally. Generally, after caesarean section the solid food has been stopped to women for 1st 24 hours so that it could avoid gastro intestinal complications. Mostly in all the cesarean section cases was operated by regional anesthesia where in little intestinal manipulation and short operative time. The aim of this study is to know that the gastrointestinal effect on early feeding versus delayed feeding after uncomplicated cesarean section and the better practice as well as advocated in future. Objective: Evaluation of the gastro intestinal effects with early feeding is better than delayed feeding after cesarean delivery. Study Design: Randomized controlled clinical trial. Setting: Obstetrics & Gynaecological Department, Liaquat University Hospital, and Hyderabad. Period: January 2012 to January 2013. Methodology: A total number of 352 women uncomplicated emergency or elective cesarean section under spinal anesthesia was included in this study. Consenting women were randomly assigned into two groups such as early feeding group and delayed feeding group. Any complaint about anorexia, nausea, vomiting, abdominal discomfort and abdominal distention on physical examination was noted. Results: Rate of ileus, anorexia was significantly low in early feeding groups as compare to delayed feeding group while rate of vomiting and abdominal distension were not significant between groups. Average time of first bowel sound and time of passage of flatus and passage of stool were significant between groups. Conclusion: In conclusion, early feeding fastens the recovery and lowers complication rate.
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INTRODUCTION
In obstetrics, the rate of caesarean section has consistently expanded globally in last few years. The prevalence rate of different countries in cesarean section i.e. USA (29.1%), England (21.5%) and Latin America (40%). Generally, after caesarean section the solid food has been stopped to women for 1st 24 hours so that it could avoid gastro intestinal complications. In previous studies, different systemic review and controlled trials have proven that early feeding is as safe as traditional progressive approach. Mostly in all the cesarean section cases was operated by regional anesthesia requiring little intestinal manipulation as well as short operative time. Another study have not shown any complications after caesarean section in early feeding but another advantages evaluated i.e. decreased hospital stay and early ambulation.

In addition, the early feeding in recent studies have indicated that it may decrease the exhausting protein store, early recovery and discharge from hospital. It was recommended that all selected cases discharge from the hospital after the caesarean birth as a safe, practicable, effectual choice and reasonable. In other comparative study, the early suggestion of ileus before operation with complication of gastro intestinal rates after early oral feeding and delayed feeding under cesarean section. Several other studies have indicated about early oral intake is safe even after bowel resection surgery. Teoh et al has proved in his randomized trial study that no difference in mild ileus symptoms (3.1%). Postoperatively, the bowel sounds were analyzed
in early group 90% and as compare to control group 90.5%. Earlier passage of flatus (in hours) 14.4±9.4 versus 21.0±18.2, first stool (in hours) 44.4±18 versus 65.6±25.4 and discharge Earlier (in hours) 44.3±10.4 versus 62.0±12.7 were found. Chantarasorn V et al in this randomized trial study, the early oral feeding as compare to delayed feeding were analyzed that the early feeding in ileus symptoms (mild) was considered less than conventional group significantly i.e. 19.6% versus 31.1% (p=0.03). The purpose of my study is to know Gastro intestinal effect on early versus delayed feeding after uncomplicated cesarean section and the better of the two will be practice and advocated in future.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The Randomized controlled clinical trial was conducted at Obstetrics & Gynaecological Department, Liaquat University Hospital, Hyderabad from January 2012 to January 2013. After fulfilling the inclusion criteria, all the selected women were informed about this study. Ethical permission was taken from ethical committee at LUMHS after approval of synopsis. Informed consent was taken from every participant. Demographics information was collected including patient’s age, parity, gestational age & indication of cesarean section. Samples were randomized by using computer generated random sequence. Allocation concealment was achieved by placing the allocation in sequentially numbered opaque sealed envelope. Time of start of cesarean section and total duration of cesarean section was noted.

Consenting women were randomly assigned to one of two groups.

1. Early feeding group was offered liquid diet 4 hours after the cesarean section followed by solid diet 6 hours after the cesarean section.
2. Delayed feeding group was offered oral fluid 12 hours after the cesarean section followed by solid diet after 18 hours.

Both groups were examined by hospital staff, House officers & Gynaecology and Obstetrics resident (1-4 years) twice a day to assess the outcome variable. Any complaint about anorexia, nausea, vomiting, abdominal discomfort and abdominal distention on physical examination was noted. Other variable evaluated as post operative time interval to presence of bowel sounds, passage of flatus & stool was recorded in hours. The data was analyzed using SPSS version 10.0. A comparative descriptive analysis of continuous & categorical variables was performed. Chi-square test was applied to compare the incidence of ileus between two groups. Stratification was done with regards to age, gestational age, parity to see the effect on outcome. P-value of < 0.05 will be considered as significant.

RESULTS

A total of 352 women uncomplicated emergency or elective cesarean section under spinal anesthesia was included in this study. Patients were randomly assigned to one of two groups. 176 Patients in early feeding group was offered liquid diet 4 hours after the cesarean section followed by solid diet 6 hours after the cesarean section and 176 patients in delayed feeding group was offered oral fluid 12 hours after the cesarean section followed by solid diet after 18 hours. Age distribution of the cases is presented in Figure-1. Average age of the women in early feeding was 28.38±5.68 years and delayed feeding was 27.60±4.88 years, similarly average gestational age and duration of surgery is also presented in Table-I. Distribution of gestational age of the women is shown in Figure-2. Regarding parity, 28(8%) women had nulliparous 97(27.6%) had primipara, 204(58%) had multipara and 23(6.5%) had grand multipara. Parity status with respect to groups is as shown in Table-II. Comparison of gastro intestinal symptoms and ileus between groups is presented in Table-III. Rate of ileus, anorexia was significantly low in early feeding groups as compare to delayed feeding group while rate of vomiting was also low in early feeding but statistically significant difference was not observed (p=0.19). Rate of abdominal distension was also not significant between groups (p=0.77).
Average time of first bowel sound was also significant between groups (13.75±3.83 hours vs. 16.74±4.16 hours; p=0.0005) as shown in Figure-3. Similarly average time of passage of flatus and passage of stool were also significantly low in early feeding groups than delayed feeding group (19.36±5.71 hours vs. 23.89±7.46 hours p=0.0005) shown in Figure-4 and (36.15±9.77 hours vs. 44.51±8.72; p=0.0005) shown in Figure-5 respectively.
Stratification analysis was performed with respect to age, rate of ileus, anorexia, vomiting were significantly low in early feeding groups as compare to delayed feeding group for below and equal to 30 years of age (Table-IV). Similarly average time of first bowel sound, time of passage of flatus and stool was also significant between groups as presented in Table-V, while rate of ileus, anorexia, abdominal distension was not significant between groups only rate of vomiting was significantly low in early feeding groups as compare to delayed feeding group for above 30 years of age (Table-IV) Similarly average time of first bowel sound, time of passage of flatus and stool was also significant between groups as presented in Table-V. Outcome was also observed with respect to gestational age and parity of the women and presented in 8 to 15.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Early Feeding n=109</th>
<th>Delayed Feeding n=114</th>
<th>P-Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Time of first bowel sound (hrs)</td>
<td>14.00±4.0</td>
<td>16.74±4.44</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time of passage of flatus (hrs)</td>
<td>19.51±6.17</td>
<td>24.14±6.58</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time of passage of stool (hrs)</td>
<td>36.58±9.34</td>
<td>45.56±8.83</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table-IV. Comparison of time related characteristics between groups for gestational age 37 to 38 Weeks
Independent t test
DISCUSSION

Many of the studies have stated that the early oral feeding after laparotomy and caesarean birth is in a satisfactory manner or good health and agreeable for patients. While it compared that some women were not given solid food under 12 hours after cesarean birth, that women was not injected pain killers. About all the researchers have investigated the early solid food may be accepted by the women after cesarean birth and proved no any side effects erupted during the hospital stay.

Many comparative studies of early and delayed feeding after cesarean birth have been published. This was compared beginning time of feeding after quick surgery between groups that in early oral feeding found 8 hours and delayed feeding 12 to 24 hours. In this previous study, women were given liquid diet for four hours (early feeding) and solid food were given to women for six hours after cesarean birth as a consequence of complications was present hemorrhage during the study. There were no significant differences in bowel complications between early and delayed feeding groups.

Uncomplicated emergency or elective cesarean section under spinal anesthesia was included in this study. In present study women in both groups had similar demographic characteristics; including age, parity and gestational age. According to Chantarasorn V et al and Kovavisarach et al also reported same results. Both were proved that no any significant differences in the demographic data between the two groups.

In this study, women in the early feeding group had fewer ileus symptoms when compared with the conventional feeding group. Rate of ileus, anorexia was significantly low in early feeding groups when compare to delayed feeding group while rate of vomiting was also low in early feeding but statistically significant difference was not observed (p=0.19). Rate of abdominal distension was also not significant between groups (p=0.77). This might be the result of early ambulation, as the patient had to get up within 6 hours after surgery to start a solid diet.

When the early oral feeding were given that the bowel function also recovered in short term after procedure to the first active bowel movement which was same consequences found in another study. This might be the positive effect on the gastrointestinal tract, where such stimulation may decrease the length of postoperative ileus.

In this study average time of first bowel sound was also significant between groups (in hours)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GASTRO INTESTINAL Symptoms and Ileus</th>
<th>Early Feeding n=67</th>
<th>Delayed Feeding n=62</th>
<th>P-Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ileus</td>
<td>3(4.5%)</td>
<td>8(12.9%)</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anorexia</td>
<td>10(14.9%)</td>
<td>18(29%)</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vomiting</td>
<td>11(16.4%)</td>
<td>10(16.1%)</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal distension</td>
<td>17(25.4%)</td>
<td>11(17.7%)</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table-V. Comparison of gastro intestinal symptoms and ileus between groups for gestational age 39 to 40 weeks**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gastro Intestinal Symptoms and Ileus</th>
<th>Early Feeding n=121</th>
<th>Delayed Feeding n=108</th>
<th>P-Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ileus</td>
<td>7(5.8%)</td>
<td>13(12%)</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anorexia</td>
<td>26(21.5%)</td>
<td>36(33.3%)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vomiting</td>
<td>14(11.6%)</td>
<td>14(13%)</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal distension</td>
<td>28(23.1%)</td>
<td>23(21.3%)</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table-VI. Comparison of gastro intestinal symptoms and ileus between groups for multipara and grand multipara**
13.75±3.83 versus 16.74±4.16 (p-value=0.0005). Similarly average time of passage of flatus and passage of stool were also significantly low in early feeding groups than delayed feeding group (in hours) 19.36±5.71 versus 23.89±7.46 (p. value=0.0005) and 36.15±9.77 versus 44.51±8.72 (p. value=0.0005).

Teoh et al showed using randomized trial study that there is no difference in mild ileus symptoms (3.1%). After the immediate procedure, the bowel sounds had in 90% (Early group) versus 90.5% (control). In addition earlier passage of flatus (in hours) 14.4±9.4 versus 21.0±18.2, first stool (in hours) 44.4±18 versus 65.6±25.4 and discharge earlier (in hours) 44.3±10.4 versus 62.0±12.7 were found.7 In another randomized trial of early versus delayed feeding by Chantarasorn et al showed that it was low significant rate 19.6% in early oral feeding versus conventional group 31.1% and p-value was 0.03.3

The early oral feeding has been advocated for both its nutritional value and positive effects on the bowel, where such stimulation may help to decrease the duration of postoperative ileus.12

In this present study have a limitation that nutritional instructions were given to women but actual amount of food eaten was not quantified by the use of a food diary. It is fact that the patients and doctors have knowledge about feeding practice of this type of intervention but may be effected the mentioned complications (nausea & abdominal cramping). In addition to recurrent rate of bowel function recognized due to the passage of flatus and in most studies was limiting factor for discharge.

CONCLUSION
In conclusion, after successful caesarean section may be reduced all symptoms of gastrointestinal and complication rate in early oral feeding and it was found that the early oral feeding is a safe, fastens recovery and good health. In addition to other benefits of the early oral feeding are less hospital stay, hospital cost and after the procedure, women need not to be tolerated of hunger and thirst.
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